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## ABSTRACT

## ITALIANO

## VERSO LA SINTESI ATROPO-STEREOSELETTIVA DEL MIRICANOLO

## Introduzione e scopo della tesi

Lo scopo di questa tesi è la sintesi totale del miricanolo, un [7,0]-meta-ciclofano naturale che appartiene alla classe dei diarileptanoidi, composti con uno scheletro 1,7-diarileptanoico. I diarileptanoidi sono ampiamente riconosciuti per i loro effetti terapeutici e per le numerose attività biologiche, come antiinfiammatori, antiossidanti, antitumorali, epatoprotettivi e neuroprotettivi. ${ }^{1}$

I diarileptanoidi sono estratti da prodotti naturali in forma lineare e nelle forme cicliche di [7,0]-meta,metaciclofani e [7,1]-meta,para-ciclofani (Figura A)

Diarileptanoid lineari

[7,0]-metaciclofano

[7,1]-metaparaciclofano

Figura A

Il miricanolo è un diarileptanoide ciclico estratto da diverse specie di Myricaceae come la Myrica cerifera², la Myrica nagi ${ }^{3}$, la Myrica gale ${ }^{4}$ e la Myrica rubra ${ }^{5}$.

E' stato dimostrato che il miricanolo possiede un forte capacità di ridurre i livelli di proteina tau nelle cellule neuronali (effetto anti Alzheimer). ${ }^{2,6}$ Per questo motivo abbiamo focalizzato la nostra attenzione su metodologie che ci potessero condurre alla sintesi stereoselettiva del (+)-aR,11S-miricanolo e del (-)$\mathrm{a} S, 11 R$-miricanolo (Figura B).

Dickey e il suo gruppo di ricerca hanno condotto dei test biologici per valutare i livelli di proteina tau nelle cellule HeLa-C3 trattate con il (+)-aR,11S-miricanolo isolato dalla Myrica cerifera ( $86 \%$ ee) e con il miricanolo racemo commercialmente disponibile ( $9 \%$ ee). Questo studio ha mostrato che soltanto la miscela scalemica del (+)-a $R, 11 S$-miricanolo riduceva i livelli di proteina tau. ${ }^{2}$

Lo stesso gruppo di ricerca ha poi pubblicatto, molto recentemente, un lavoro in cui contrariamente a ciò che era stato dimostrato precedentemente, emerge che il (-)-aS,11R-miricanolo (ottenuto per separazione all'HPLC chirale dal miricanolo racemo di sintesi) risulta il responsabile principale della riduzione del livello di proteina tau. ${ }^{6}$

## MOLECOLE TARGET



Figura B

Il miricanolo racemo è inoltre in grado di abbassare i livelli di ossido nitrico $(\mathrm{NO})^{7}$ e possiede anche delle proprietà di anti-infiammatorie e anti-androgeniche ${ }^{8}$. Inoltre, degli studi molto recenti, hanno dimostrato che esso costituisce un buon candidato per la prevenzione e il trattamento del cancro al polmone. ${ }^{9}$

Alla luce di tutti questi dati bibliografici, appare chiaro come la chiralità assiale e centrale della molecola siano strettamente legate all'attività biologica della stessa. Per questo motivo il lavoro di questa tesi è focalizzato sulla preparazione del miricanolo sia in forma racemica sia enantiopura, mediante un approccio atropo-stereoselettivo. Fino ad ora, soltanto due sintesi del ( $+/-$-)-miricanolo sono state riportate e nessuna sintesi asimmetrica. Il miricanolo racemo è stato ottenuto con delle rese totali molto basse ( $0,21 \%$ in 14 passaggi, come riportato da Whiting $)^{10}$, ( $2.03 \%$ in 7 passaggi partendo da frammenti non commerciali, come decritto da Dickey et $a l.)^{6}$, senza nessun controllo nè della chiralità centrale nè di quella assiale.

## Approccio retrosintetico 1

Il nostro approccio retrosintetico è illustrato nello Schema I. Il miricanolo potrebbe essere ottenuto a partire dal precursore lineare $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ per mezzo di una reazione di Suzuki-Miyaura di tipo domino ${ }^{11}$ che non è mai stata
provata prima su questo tipo di precursori. Il diarileptanoide dialogenato $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ potrebbe essere facilmente ottenuto utilizzando una reazione di cross-metatesi tra gli alcheni terminali $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ e $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$. Il fenolo C-allilico potrebbe essere preparato per riarrangiamento di Claisen dal corrispondente $O$-allilfenolo. ${ }^{12}$ L'alcol homoallilico $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ potrebbe invece derivare da un'allilazione classica racemica o enantioselettiva ${ }^{13}$, e se la configurazione del centro stereogenico di $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ viene fissata come R , si potrebbe arrivare alla sintesi del (+)$\mathrm{a} R, 11 S$-miricanolo.


## Schema I

I passaggi chiave di questa strategia sintetica sono rappresentati da: 1) il riarrangiamento di Claisen; 2) la cross-metatesi; 3) la macrociclizzazione mediante una Suzuki-Miyaura intramolecolare domino.

Come per la maggior parte dei prodotti ciclici, il passaggio chiave della sintesi del miricanolo, è sicuramente la formazione del macrociclo, che nel caso dei diarileptanoidi rappresenta un obiettivo molto importante. Le tensioni imposte dal sistema macrociclico impediscono generalmente la rotazione intorno al legame biarilico dei ciclofani, creando quindi le condizioni per l'atropoisomeria. ${ }^{14}$

## Approccio retrosintetico 2

Un nuovo approccio retrosintetico è stato proposto per la sintesi del miricanolo (Schema II). In questo schema si propone di ottenere il macrociclo mediante una reazione di ring-closing-metatesi del composto $\mathbf{B}_{1}$. Il biarile $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ potrebbe essere ottenuto da un accoppiamento di Suzuki-Miyaura intermolecolare tra il derivato alogenato $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ e l'acido boronico $\mathbf{B}_{3}$.


## Schema II

I passaggi delicati di questo approccio sono costituiti da: 1) l'accoppiamento di Suzuki-Miyaura in presenza di doppi legami terminali; 2) l'alogenazione in presenza della funzione allilica per ottenere il substrato $\mathbf{B}_{2}$.

I due approcci individuati sono stati studiati parallelamente.

## Risultati riguardanti l'approccio 1

Per quanto riguarda lo schema retrosintetico proposto, i frammenti 48 e 71 sono stati sintetizzati con buone rese. (Schema III).


Schema III

Il composto 48 è stato ottenuto in 2 steps (allilazione e riarrangiamento di Claisen) con una resa totale del $98 \%$. L'alcol homo-allilico 71 è stato ottenuto partendo dall'acido propanoico commerciale che è stato esterificato, protetto con un benzile e successivamente trasformato nella corrispettiva ammide di Weireb. ${ }^{15}$ Quest'ultima è stata ridotta con DIBAL nell'aldeide 69, che è stata ottenuta con un'ottima resa. L'aldeide 69 è stata anche utilizzata per la preparazione dell'alcol homo-allilico in forma enantiomericamente arricchita, utilizzando un allile complessato con il reattivo $(R, R)$ Duthaler-Hafner ${ }^{16}$. L'alcol homo-allilico con il centro chirale di configurazione $R$ è stato isolato con una resa del $70 \%$ e con un eccesso enantiomerico del $90 \%$. In definitiva, l'alcol 71 è stato preparato in 5 passaggi con una resa complessiva del $60 \%$ e il suo analogo otticamente attivo è stato ottenuto nello stesso numero di passaggi con una resa totale del 59\%. Per il momento, abbiamo scelto di usare solo il composto 71 racemo nella successiva reazione di cross-metatesi, lo scopo è stato quello di mettere a punto una metodologia efficace per la formazione diastereoselettiva del macrociclo che sia poi utilizzabile anche per l'approccio enantioselettivo. La reazione di cross-metatesi tra gli alcheni terminali 48 e 71 è stata ampiamente studiata fino a trovare le condizioni ottimizzate che ci hanno permesso di ottenere il diarileptanoide lineare 75 con una resa dell $80 \%{ }^{17}$ Su questo prodotto sono state effettuate, in condizioni di idrogenazione catalitica, la rimozione del doppio legame e la debenzilazione del fenolo.

Successivamente il prodotto è stato completamente protetto sulle funzioni fenoliche e su quella alcolica con il gruppo benzilico. La dibromurazione, condotta sul prodotto protetto, ha portato ad isolare il substrato $\mathbf{8 9}$ con un'ottima resa. Il substrato $\mathbf{8 9}$ è stato sottoposto a diverse reazioni di Suzuki-Miyaura domino ${ }^{11}$, come riportato nella seguente tabella.

| Prove ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Fonte di boro (1.2equiv.) | Base <br> (10equiv.) | Solvente | T ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | T (h) | Miricanolo benzilato ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | DMSO | 80 | 24 | (10\%) |
| 2 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | KOAc | DMSO | 80 | 24 | - |
| 3 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | Diossano | 80 | 24 | - |
| 4 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | KOAc | Diossano | 80 | 24 | Tracce |
| 5 | Bpin-H | KOAc | DMSO | 100 | 24 | - |
| 6 | Bpin-H | NaOAc | DMSO | 100 | 24 | - |

a. tutte le reazioni sono state condotte utilizzando $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$; b. dopo debenzilazione quantitativa.

## Tabella I

Come mostrato in tabella, la macrociclizzazione appare uno step molto complicato che soltanto nelle condizioni riportate per la prova 1, ci permette di osservare la formazione del prodotto ciclico, la cui resa è stata determinata soltanto dopo la debenzilazione quantitativa. Questo risultato non è del tutto sorprendente, dal momento che la formazione di cicli a 13 termini non è energeticamente favorita, soprattutto se si considara che le porzioni aromatiche coinvolte sono diversamente sostituite.

Ad ogni modo, possiamo affermare di aver ottenuto il miricanolo racemo con una sintesi convergente di 11 steps e una resa totale $<2.55 \%$, considerando che il prodotto finale non è stato isolato perfettamente puro.

## Risultati riguardanti l'approccio sintetico 2

Per il secondo approccio proposto (Schema II) si ha la necessità di preparare i frammenti $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ e $\mathbf{B}_{3}$. Il substrato $\mathbf{5 7}$ viene preparato in maniera quasi-quantitativa a partire dall'allil fenolo $\mathbf{4 8}$ che, messo in reazione con un complesso di iodio molecolare e tert-butilammina, ${ }^{18}$ ci ha permesso di ottenere l'intermedio 52 successivamente protetto con MOMCl (Schema IV).


Schema IV

Studi sugli accoppiamenti di Suzuki-Miyaura intermolecolari tra lo ioduro 57 e l'acido ortho-fenil boronico commerciale ci hanno permesso, in consizioni ottimizzate, di poter ottenere il biarile $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ con una buona resa e soprattutto evitando di osservare l'isomerizzazione del doppio legame allilico (Schema V).


Schema V

Incoraggiati da questi buoni risultati, ci siamo occupati della preparazione di acidi boronici aventi la struttura del frammento $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ (Schema II). La sintesi degli acidi 117a e 119 è descritta nelo schema seguente
(Schema VI). I precursori alogenati e diversamente protetti 71c e 72c derivano dai corrispondenti metil esteri $\mathbf{6 5 a}$ et $\mathbf{6 6 a}$ seguendo la stessa sequenza di reazioni utilizzate per preparare l'alcol homoallilico 71 riportato nello schema III. Dopo numerose prove effettuate sui derivati iodurati, gli acidi 117a e 119 sono stati finalmente ottenuti con rese accettabili utilizzando $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}-\mathrm{LiCl}$ e $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}{ }^{19}$. Prove ulteriori sono in corso per poter ottimizzare questo risultato.


## Schema VI

Tra gli acidi boronici preparati, il substrato 119 appare particolarmente interessante, dal momento che potrebbe essere deprotetto selettivamente a dare il corrispondente ortho-fenolo, molto simile al boronico commerciale utilizzato nello Schema V e usarlo nella reazione di Suzuki-Miyaura nelle condizioni ottimizzate (Schema VII).


Schema VII

Una volta preparato il substarto 120a esso sarà messo a reagire in condizioni di ring closing metatesi per dare il ciclo finale 121.

Un'altra via è stata considerata per poter ottenere il biarile 120a. Questa strategia è stata possibile in seguito agli studi condotti sulla trasformazione dei fenoli in condizioni ossidative. Come riportato nello schema
seguente, l'alcol homoallilico 72 è stato benzilato nel corrispondente 106, e dopo la deprotezione selettiva dal gruppo MOM si è ottenuto il fenolo 107 in maniera quantitativa (Schema VIII).


Schema VIII

Il fenolo 107 è stato successivamente trattato con PIDA e acido acetico ${ }^{20}$ a dare il dienone-acetato desiderato $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ con una buona resa. Attualmente sono in corso prove per la formazione del reattivo di Grignard dello ioduro 57. L'idea è quella di ottenere il biarile $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ attraverso un attacco del Grignard sul dienone 108, uno shift 1,2 e l'eliminazione del gruppo uscente acetato.

Altre startegie di sintesi per potere ottenere il miricaolo nella maniera più efficace possibile sono state proposte. Una via supplementare potrebbe essere, ad esempio, quella in cui l'acido boronico precedentemente preparato viene trasformato nel corrispettivo estere pinacolico. Quest'ultimo potrebbe altresì essere ottenuto mediante reazioni palladio catalizzate sul substrato iodurato precursore (Schema IX). L'estere boronico, più stabile dell'acido, potrebbe essere impiegato nella reazione di cross metatesi a dare un diarileptanoide lineare altamente funzionalizzato. Quest'ultimo, utilizzato nella reazione di SuzukiMiyaura classica, potrebbe dare in maniera più efficiente il macrociclo del miricanolo rispetto a quanto osservato nella reazione di Suzuki-Miyaura di tipo domino. Inoltre, lo stesso estere boronico potrebbe essre impiegato come partner in una reazione di accoppiamento di tipo intermolecolare a dare il biarile corrispondete che potrebbe essere ciclizzato mediante ring closing metatesi (Schema IX).


## Schema IX

Lo studio di tutte queste vie considerate ci porteranno a decretare la strategia migliore per poter portare a termine la sintesi diastereo ed enantioselettiva della stessa molecola.

## Test biologici

Durante la preparazione dei precursori lineari del miricanolo mediante cross-metatesi, sono stati sintetizzati una serie di analoghi a struttuta diarilalchilica con catene carboniose più corte (4 o 6 atomi) o più lunghe (10 atomi).







Figura C

I prodotti sintetizzati (Figura C) sono stati utilizzati per valutare l'effetto anti-infiammatorio su cellule umane U937 e su cellule murine BV-2. La vitalità cellulare è stata determinata mediante il saggio colorimetrico MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide]. ${ }^{21}$

Lipopolisaccaride (LPS) è stato impiegato per innescare l'infiammazione nelle due linee cellulari considerate (U937 e BV-2). I livelli di ossido nitrico (NO) e di specie reattive all'ossigeno (ROS) sono stati misurati. I valori finali registrati sono stati confrontati all'attività espressa alla stessa concentrazione dalla curcumina, un diarileptanoide naturale, la cui attività anti-ossidante è ampiamente riconosciuta. Il confronto tra le molecole da noi preparate e la curcumina ha mostrato che la maggior parte dei prodotti sintetizzati hanno un'attività paragonabile o qualche volta migliore della curcumina. Le molecole particolarmente attive sono risultate essere la 71bis e 72bis. Questi composti, oltre che la lora attività antiossidante, appaiono strutture molto interessanti, visto che non sono mai state descritte prima in letteratura.
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## FRANÇAIS

## VERS LA SYNTHESE ATROPO-STEREOSELECTIVE DU MYRICANOL

## Introduction et but de la thèse

L'objectif de ce travail de thèse est la synthèse totale du myricanol d'une manière racémique puis stéréosélective. Ainsi le myricanol est un [7,0]-metacyclophane naturel qui appartient à la famille des 1,7diarylheptanoïdes, composé constitué de deux noyaux benzéniques reliés par une chaine à 7 carbones. Ces molécules sont reconnues comme étant de puissants agents thérapeutiques avec des activités biologiques intéressantes comme par exemple, des propriétés anti-inflammatoires, antioxydantes, anti-tumorales, hépatoprotectives et neuroprotectives. ${ }^{1}$ Ces composés sont extraits de plantes/baies et se présentent soit sous une forme acyclique, soit cyclique comme pour les [7,0]-meta,meta-cyclophanes et les [7,1]-meta,para-cyclophanes (Figure A)


Linear diarylheptanoid

[7,0]-metacyclophane

[7,1]-metaparacyclophane

Figure A

Le myricanol est un diarylheptanoïde cyclique extrait de nombreuses species of Myricaceae as Myrica cerifera ${ }^{2}$, Myrica nagi ${ }^{3}$, Myrica gale ${ }^{4}$, Myrica rubra ${ }^{5}$.

Une activité biologique remarquable est le fort potentiel du myricanol, à réduire le niveau des protéines Tau, présentes dans le cerveau humain (effet anti Alzheimer). ${ }^{1,2}$ En effet, en 2011, Dickey, a montré que le $(+)$-aR,11S-myricanol énantioenrichi du Myrica cerifera ${ }^{3}$ ( $86 \%$ ee) permettait de réduire significativement le niveau des protéines Tau dans les cellules HeLa-C3, et ceci de manière plus efficace que le racémate commercialement disponible ( $9 \%$ ee). Très récemment, Dickey est parvenu à séparer les deux énantiomères du myricanol par chromatographie liquide, puis a montré que c'était l'atropoénantiomère, à savoir le (-)-
aS, $11 R$-myricanol, qui était à l'origine de la diminution des cellules Tau. ${ }^{21}$ Ceci montre bien l'importance de pouvoir préparer de facon énantiosélective les deux énantiomères pour poursuivre les investigations sur leur activité biologique.

Par ailleurs le myricanol sous sa forme racémique est également capable d'inhiber la production de $\mathrm{NO}^{7}$ et possède des propriétés anti-inflammatoires et des effets anti-androgèniques ${ }^{8}$. De plus, il a été montré récemment qu'il était un candidat clinique pour la prévention et le traitement du cancer du poumon. ${ }^{9}$

Actuellement seules deux synthèses racémiques du (+/-)-myricanol et aucune préparation asymétrique n'ont été rapportées dans la littérature. Ainsi le myricanol racémique a été obtenu avec un rendement très faible de $0,21 \%$ en 14 étapes par Whiting ${ }^{10}$ et plus récemment par Dickey et al. ${ }^{1}$ en 7 étapes avec un rendement de $2.03 \%$ à partir de matières premières avancées, mais dans les deux cas, sans aucune allusion à un contrôle de la chiralité centrale ou axiale de ce composé.

Compte tenu de la forte activité biologique du myricanol, ce travail de thèse a été consacré à une voie de préparation modulable et convergente du myricanol (synthèse racémique) mais aussi vers la préparation atropo-stéréosélective du (+)-a $R, 11 S$-myricanol ou du (-)-aS,11R-myricanol (Figure B). ${ }^{2,6}$

## TARGET MOLECULES



Figure B

## Approche rétrosynthétique 1

Notre première approche rétrosynthétique du myricanol est illustrée par le Schéma I. Le myricanol, sous une forme racémique ou énantiopure, serait obtenu à partir du dérivé seco $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ par l'intermédiaire d'une réaction de Suzuki-Miyaura domino ${ }^{11}$ qui n'a jamais été réalisé sur ce type de précurseur. Le diarylheptanoide dihalogéné $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}$ peut être facilement obtenu par métathèse croisée entre les oléfines terminales $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ et $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$. Le phénol $C$-allylique $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2}}$ peut être obtenu par un réarrangement de Claisen à partir de l'O-allylphénol correspondant, préparé à partir du 2,3 diméthoxyphénol. ${ }^{12}$ L'alcool homo-allylique $\mathbf{A}_{3}$. est
envisagé à partir d'un dérivé de l'acide propanoique. On peut aussi synthétiser le dérivé $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{3}}$ avec un carbinol stéréogène, suivant la molécule finale souhaitée, à l'aide d'une allylation énantiosélective. ${ }^{13}$


## Schéma I

Les étapes clés de cette stratégie synthétique sont 1) la métathèse-croisée; et 2) la macrocyclisation ${ }^{14}$ à l'aide d'un couplage domino intramoléculaire de Suzuki-Miyaura.

## Approche rétrosynthétique 2

En parallèle, une seconde approche rétro synthétique a été étudiée avec deux autres étapes clés (Couplage de Suzuki-Miyaura intermoléculaire et métathèse cyclisante) est représentée sur le Schéma II. Ainsi l'étape de macrocyclisation pour préparer le myricanol serait une étape de métathèse cyclisante à partir du biaryle $\mathbf{B}_{1}$.

Le biaryl $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ peut être construit par un couplage de Suzuki-Miyaura intermoléculaire entre le dérivé halogéné $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ et l'acide boronique $\mathbf{B}_{3}$. Ces deux partenaires de couplage $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ et $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ peuvent être efficacement préparés à partir du 2,3 diméthoxyphenol et de l'acide (4-hydroxyphenyl) propanoique suivant des protocoles similaires à ceux utilisés dans l'approche 1 .


## Schéma II

## Resultats concernant l'approche synthétique 1

Pour ce qui concerne la première approche synthétique proposée, nous avons préparé les fragments clés 48 and 71 avec de très bons rendements (Schéma III).


Schéma III

Le composé 48 a été obtenu en 2 étapes (allylation puis réarrangement de Claisen) avec un rendement total de $98 \%$. L'alcool homo-allylique 71 a été synthétisé en partant de l'acide 3-(4-hydroxyphényl) propanoïque commercial qui a été estérifié puis protégé par un groupement benzyle. L'ester méthylique a été transformé en amide de Weinreb ${ }^{15}$ laquelle a été réduite à l'aide de DIBAL en aldéhyde 69 avec un bon rendement. L'aldéhyde 69 peut être dérivé en alcool homo-allylique 71 sous une forme racémique (rdt $72 \%$ ) ou énantioenrichi (rdt $70 \%$, ee $90 \%$ ) à l'aide d'une réaction d'allyle titanation asymétrique conduite en
présence du réactif énantiopur de Duthaler-Hafner ${ }^{16}$. Finalement l'alcool 71 a été préparé en 5 étapes avec un rendement total de $60 \%$ (forme racémique) et de $59 \%$ (forme énantioenrichie).

La métathèse croisée entre les alcènes terminaux 48 and 71rac a été étudiée en faisant varier différents paramètres comme la température, la quantité de catalyseur, la nature du catalyseur. Après une période d'optimisation, l'utilisation du catalyseur de Grubbs II à basse $\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dans le $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ a permis de préparer le diarylheptanoïde linéaire 75 avec un excellent rendement de $81 \% .{ }^{17}$

Notons qu'à l'aide de la réaction de métathèse croisée, une dizaine de nouvelles molécules dérivés du myricanol, linéaires à longueur de chaine variée, a été synthétisée et leur activité biologique sera testée. Ces résultats seront présentés dans la partie activité biologique. (Figure C)

Après une hydrogénation quantitative de la double liaison, suivi de la benzylation des OH libres, le produit de bishalogénation 89 est obtenu avec un très bon rendement sur 3 étapes. (Schéma III) L'étape de macrocyclisation via un couplage de Suzuki-Miyaura en procédé domino ${ }^{3}$ a été testée sur le dérivé dibromé 89. Une étude d'optimisation des conditions expérimentales pour cette étape a été menée à bien et les résultats sont regroupés dans le Tableau I.

| Entrée $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Boron source <br> $(\mathbf{1 . 2 e q u i v . )}$ | Base <br> $(\mathbf{1 0 e q u i v . )}$ | Solvent | T ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | T (h) | Myricanol <br> benzyléb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $(\text { BPin })_{2}$ | NaOAc | DMSO | 80 | 24 | $\mathbf{( 1 0 \% )}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | KOAc | DMSO | 80 | 24 | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | Dioxane | 80 | 24 | - |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | KOAc | Dioxane | 80 | 24 | Trace |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | PinB-H | KOAc | DMSO | 100 | 24 | - |

a. toute les reactions ont étés conduits avec $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$; b. après debenzylation quantitative.

Tableau I

Seules les conditions testées pour l'entrée 1 permettent de préparer le produit de cyclisation avec un faible mais encourageant rendement en présence de bispinacoborane, d'un excès de base forte à $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. La macrocyclisation apparait donc comme étant une étape très délicate de part l'accès à un cycle à 13 membres. Enfin le myricanol naturel, a été obtenu après une étape supplémentaire de débenzylation quantitative.

Pour conclure, cette voie de synthèse, la plus courte reportée et convergente conduit au myricanol racémique en 11 étapes et avec un rendement total $<2.55 \%$.

## Resultats concernant l'approche synthétique 2

Pour la deuxième approche proposée (Schéma II) les deux partenaires de couplage $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ et $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ ont tout d'abord été préparés. Ainsi le composé iodé 57 a été préparé avec un excellent rendement à partir du phénol allylique 48, préalablement préparé (Schéma III). Celui-ci, mis en réaction avec du diiode et de la tert-butylamine ${ }^{18}$ a permis d'obtenir l'intermédiaire $\mathbf{5 2}$ qui est protégé in situ avec le chlorure de méthoxyéthoxyméthane en condition basique. (Schéma IV).


Schéma IV

Compte tenu des risques d'isomérisation de la double liaison terminale du composé 57, lors du couplage de Suzuki-Miyaura, des études sur le couplage intermoléculaire ont été réalisées avec un acide boronique commercial. Ainsi en présence de palladium(II), le produit de couplage $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ est obtenu avec un rendement satisfaisant (Schéma V).


## Schéma V

Encouragés par ces bons résultats, les acides $\mathbf{1 1 7 a}$ et $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ ont été préparés comme décrit sur le schéma VI. A partir des précurseurs iodés diversement protégés 71c et 72c dérivés des esters méthyliques 65a et 66a, les acides boroniques 117a et 119 ont été obtenus avec des rendements acceptables par un échange halogène métal avec $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}-\mathrm{LiCl}^{19}$ suivi d'un piégeage avec du triméthylborate.


Schéma VI

Les conditions de couplage intermoléculaire de Suzuki-Miyaura préalablement optimisées (schéma V) seront testées en présence du partenaire iodé 57 et de l'acide boronique 119a partiellement déprotégé pour accéder au biaryle 120. Ce dernier après métathèse cyclisante devrait nous conduire au cyclophane 121, un précurseur avancé du myricacol (Schéma VII).


Schéma VII

Une autre voie a été envisagée pour la préparation d'un biaryl similaire à 120a par le biais d'un couplage oxydant. Pour cela, le produit 108 est préparé à partir de l'alcool homoallylique 72. Ce dernier a été benzylé en dérivé 106, puis chimiosélectivement déprotégé en phénol 107 de façon quantitative (Schéma VIII).


## Schéma VIII

Le phénol $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ traité avec du PIDA et de l'acide acétique ${ }^{20}$ forme le diènone-acétate désiré $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ avec un bon rendement. Ainsi un réactif de Grignard préparé à partir de l'iodure 57 et de magnésium peut attaquer l'ènone en position 1,2 et conduire à un premier intermédiaire, qui après migration 1,2 du groupement alcool puis élimination du groupe partant acétate formera le biaryle $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ désiré.


Schéma IX

## Test biologiques

Pendant la préparation des précurseurs linéaires du myricanol par métathèse croisée, une série de 6 nouveaux analogues de structure diarylheptanoique à chaine carbonée courte (de 4 à 6 atomes) ou longue (10 atomes) a été préparée (Figure C).



75b




84


78


Figure C

Les produits synthétisés ont été été testés pour évaluer l'activité anti-inflammatoire sur les cellules humaines U937 et sur les cellules murine microglial BV-2. Pour se faire, la lipopolysaccharide (LPS) a été employée pour activer l'inflammation dans les deux lignées cellulaires U937 et BV-2. La vitalité cellulaire a été déterminée par un test colorimétrique MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide]. ${ }^{21}$

De plus, d'autres tests pour évaluer l'activité antioxydante de ces molécules ont été effectués portant sur le taux d'oxyde nitrique (NO) et d'espèces réactives à l'oxygène (ROS) produits ou absorbés. Les valeurs mesurées ont été comparées à celle d'un autre diarylheptanoide, le curcumin, connu pour son anti-oxydante. La comparaison entre nos molécules et le curcumin a montré que dans la plupart des cas, les nouveaux produits de synthèse, surtout les composés 71bis et 72bis ont une activité comparable, voir meilleure au curcumin.
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## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| 1D | one-dimensional |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2D | two-dimensional |
| A549 | cells adenocarcinomic human alveolar basal epithelial cells |
| ${ }_{[\alpha]}{ }_{\text {D }}$ | specific rotation measured at 589 nm (the sodium D line) |
| A $\beta$ | amyloid beta |
| A | Ångström |
| ACN | acetonitrile |
| AD | Alzheimer's disease |
| aq. | aqueous |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathrm{pin})_{2}$ | pinacolato diboron |
| Bn | benzyl |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Celsius degree |
| CC | column chromatography |
| CD | circular dicroism |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | deuterated chloroform |
| CIP | Cahn-Ingold-Prelog |
| CM | cross-metathesis |
| COSY | correlation spectroscopy |
| Cy | cyclohexane |
| DIBAL-H | diisobutyl aluminium hydride |
| DIPEA | diisopropyl ethyl amine |
| DMAP | 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine |


| DMF | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide |
| :---: | :---: |
| DMSO | dimethyl sulfoxide |
| dppf | 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene |
| $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ | half maximal inhibitory concentration |
| EI | electron-impact ionization |
| EP | petroleum ether (boiling point: $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| equiv. | equivalent |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | ethanol |
| GC | gas chromatography |
| HCl | hydrochloric acid |
| HPLC | high-performance liquid chromatography |
| HeLa | immortal cell line derived from cervical cancer |
| HR | high resolution |
| HSQC | heteronuclear single-quantum correlation |
| IC50 | half maximal inhibitory concentration |
| iNOS | inducible nitric oxide synthase |
| KOAc | potassium acetate |
| (+)-L-DIPT | (+)-Diisopropyl L-tartrate |
| LPS | lipopolysaccharide |
| MeOD | deuterated methanol |
| MeOH | methanol |
| MOM | methoxymethyl |
| MS | mass spectrometry |


| MTT | 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide |
| :---: | :---: |
| MW | microwave irradiation |
| $n$-BuLi | normal-butyl lithium |
| NBS | N -bromosuccinimede |
| NIS | $N$-iodosuccinimide |
| NMP | N -methylpirrolydone |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| NOESY | nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy |
| PCC | piridinium chloro chromate |
| Pd/C | palladium on charcoal |
| $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | Dipalladium-tris(dibenzylideneacetone) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (dppf) | [1,1'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene]dichloropalladium(II) |
| PIDA (or DIB) | (Diacetoxyiodo)benzene |
| PIFA (or BTI) | Bis(trifluoroactoxy)iodobenzene |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | triphenylphosphine |
| ppm | parts per million |
| Py | pyridine |
| RCAM | ring closing alkyne metathesis |
| RCM | ring closing metathesis |
| $\mathrm{R} f$ | retention factor |
| ROS | reactive oxygen species |
| r.t. | room temperature |
| SAR | structure activity relationship |
| SET | single-electron transfer |


| S-Phos | 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2',6'-dimethoxybiphenyl |
| :---: | :---: |
| T | temperature |
| t | time |
| TBDPS | tert-butyldiphenylsilyl |
| TBS | tert-butyldimethylsilyl |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| TIR | tumor inhibition rate |
| TLC | thin layer chromatography |
| TON | turnover number |
| $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | retention time |
| W | Watt |
| X-Phos | 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$-triisopropylbiphenyl |
| X.Phos Pd G2 | Chloro(2-dicyclohexylphosphino-2', $4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-triisopropyl-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl)[2-(2'-amino- <br> 1,1'-biphenyl)]palladium(II) |
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## TOWARDS <br> THE ATROPO-STEREOSELECTIVE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF MYRICANOL

Diarylalkanoids $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, natural phenolic compounds, have been isolated from wood, bark or leaves of many hardwoods including Betulaceae, Leguminosae, Myricaceae, Zimberaceae and Proteaceae ${ }^{1}$. An important subclass of these natural products is represented by the diarylheptanoids, polyoxygenated family bearing a 1,7-diphenylheptane skeleton. It may be divided into two subgroups, i.e. open chain and macrocyclic diarylheptanoids. In the latter the aromatic rings are connected to form a diarylether or a biaryl moiety. The first review of natural diarylheptanoids was published by Cleaton et al. where approximately 120 naturally occurring compounds were reported. In a second review including the literature of 1993-1999, 75 new diarylheptanoids were listed ${ }^{2}$ and during the last decade more than 100 new other ones were reported both for their isolation and synthesis. ${ }^{1,3}$ They are increasingly recognized as potential therapeutic agents for their numerous physiological activities such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antitumor, estrogenic, leishmanicidal, melanogenesis inhibitory, hepatoprotective and neuroprotective., ${ }^{3,4}$ Among them the first isolated and the most investigated natural diarylheptanoid is curcumin and is extracted from turmeric. (Figure 1-1) Curcuma longa, as orange-yellow crystalline powder is called the golden spice for its yellow colour and "miraculous" biological activities. ${ }^{5}$ Some other Curcuma species, such as Curcuma comosa also produce curcumin and other diarylheptanoids with potent biological activities. ${ }^{6,7}$


Figure 1-1 Diarylheptanoids from Nature

[^0]Myricanol ${ }^{8}$, a cyclic and not symmetric diarylheptanoid extracted from Myricaceae species with a [7,0]metacyclophane core, possess biological properties space from anti-oxidant ${ }^{9}$, anti-inflammatory and antiandrogenic ${ }^{10}$ to anti-tau ${ }^{11}$ and anti-cancer ${ }^{12}$.

The aim of this thesis is the total synthesis of racemic myricanol, using new and never explored synthetic methodologies for the formation of its biaryl motif. We will also investigate a synthetic strategy in order to perform the first stereoselective synthesis of myricanol, controlling the axial and the central chirality of this 13-membered macrocycle.

Moreover, because the total synthesis of myricanol involves the preparation of linear diarylheptanoids, a part of this thesis will be focused on the biological studies for the antioxidant activity of its linear precursors.
(Figure 1-2)


Figure 1-2 Target molecules

[^1]
## 2 MYRICANOL: A CYCLIC DIARYLHEPTANOID

### 2.1 THE NATURAL PRODUCT

Myrica is a genus of about 35-50 species of small trees and shrubs in the family Myricaceae, (order Fagales). These species are widely diffuse in Africa, Asia, Europe, North America and South America, and missing only in Australia. Botanists are usually split the genus into two genera on the basis of the catkin and fruit structure, restricting Myrica to a few species, and treating the others in Morella. ${ }^{13}$


Figure 2-1 Myricaceae distribution in the world

Common names include bayberry, bay-rum tree, candleberry, sweet gale, and wax-myrtle. The generic name was derived from the Greek word $\mu$ ррıкך (myrike), meaning "fragrance". The wax coating on the fruit of several species, known as bayberry wax, has been used traditionally to make candles, as described in the novel of The Swiss Family Robinson (written by Johann David Wyss) and modeled on the original Robinson Crusoe history of Daniel Defoe.

The foliage of Myrica gale is a traditional insect repellent, used by campers to keep biting insects out of tents. The fruit of Myrica rubra is an economically important crop in China, sold fresh, dried, canned, for

[^2]juice, and for alcoholic beverages. Its bark is also used in traditional Japanese and Chinese medicine. ${ }^{1416}$ Myrica is used to spice beer and snaps in Denmark.

Various species of Myrica have been studied scientifically for horticultural characteristics or phytochemicals implications with health benefits. Dating to 1951, the horticultural literature includes studies on nitrogen-fixing ability of the root nodules system ${ }^{15}$ and presence of Frankia bacteria having nitrogen-fixing properties in root nodules. ${ }^{16}$

A very rich literature describes the isolation from leaves, roots, bark and fruits of numerous secondary metabolites from Myrica species. The chemical characterizations and their biological properties are also reported. Cyclic diarylheptanoids can be isolated from the M. rubra ${ }^{9,10,17}$, M. cerifera ${ }^{l l}$, M. nagi (esculenta) ${ }^{18}$, M. nana ${ }^{19}$, M. gale ${ }^{20}$, M. adenophora ${ }^{21}$ and M. arborea ${ }^{22}$. Most of extracted compounds from Myrica species are reported in Figure 2-2.

[^3]
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Figure 2-2 Myrica extracts

### 2.1.1 CHARACTERIZATION OF CHEMICAL STRUCTURE

Myricanol 1 and myricanone 3 (Figure 2-2) were extracted and structurally characterized for the first time in 1970 by M. J. Begley and D. A. Whiting. They isolated the compounds from stem-bark of Indian Myrica nagi. ${ }^{811}$. Facing uncommon chemical structures and difficulties to define the right position of the methoxy substituents, the authors performed an X-ray study (using the heavy atom method) to determine without any ambiguity the structure of these new compounds.

Consequently, extracted myricanol $\mathbf{1}$ was brominated and the resulting 16-bromomyricanol $\mathbf{2}$ was used to determine the absolute configuration at $\mathrm{C}-11$ position. CD measurements revealed that myricanol had the same conformation in solution as in the crystalline state. The structure of 16-bromomyricanol obtained from X-ray analysis was compared to extracted myricanol and myricanone defining the correct structure of the two natural products as depicted in Figure 2-3

$1 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$
$2 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$


3

Figure 2-3 Bromomyricanol, myricanol, myricanone

X-ray crystallographic studies performed by Whiting in 1970 revealed two intramolecular hydrogen bonds in 16-bromomyricanol, 17-OH-3-O (2.7 $\AA$ ) and 5-OH-4-O ( $2.8 \AA$ ), and an intermolecular hydrogen bond between 11-OH-5'-O ( $2.8 \AA$ Å). In bromomyricanol one can observe a striking feature bending of the biphenyl nucleus as a consequence of the meta, meta bridge. The two angles at $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 17$ were reported to be $130^{\circ}$ and $126^{\circ}$ respectively. The others two angles (C1-C2-C19 and C2-C1-C18) were described as $114^{\circ}$ and $115^{\circ}$.

Angles around the inter-aryl bond (C-1-C-2) support the claim of such distortion. This bond is closely coplanar with ring A (Figure 2-4) and C-15 is $0,26 \AA$ above the mean plane of ring A. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the two aromatic rings $A$ and $B$ is $33^{\circ}$.


Figure 2-4 Bromo-myricanol configuration assignment by Whiting

Thus, from Whiting and co-workers studies ${ }^{23}$ a 11-R configuration $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27.5}=-65.6^{\circ}\right)$ and a negative Cotton effect was attributed to myricanol extracted from Myrica nagi.

When in 1996 Joshi et al. reported the X-ray analysis of racemic myricanol ${ }^{24}$ they realized that their results were closely similar to those given by Whiting. They observed that the C1-C2-C3 and C2-C1-C17 angles were approximately $128^{\circ}$ and that C1-C2-C19 and C2-C1-C18 measured to an average of $116^{\circ}$. They also found intermolecular hydrogen bonds between 11-OH-5'-O (2.771 Å), 17-OH-11'-O (2.783 Å) and 5-OH11 '-O (2.731 Å).
Surprisingly, myricanol extracted from diverse Myrica species gave different values of $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$. (Table 2-1)

| Myrica species | $[\alpha]_{\text {D }}$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Myrica nagi or esculenta | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{27.5}=-65.6} \\ & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-64} \end{aligned}$ | Begley, M. al. J. Chem. Soc. C 1971, 3634-3642. <br> Sun, D. W.et al. Phytochemistry 1988, 27, 579-583. |
| Myrica rubra | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{D}=-62.9} \\ & {[\alpha]_{D}^{22}=-27.6} \\ & {[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}=-48.3} \\ & {[\alpha]_{D}^{22}=+37.3} \end{aligned}$ | Inoue, T. et al. Yakugaku Zasshi 1984, 104, 37-41. <br> Takeda, Y. et al. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 2569-2573. <br> Matsuda, H. et al. Chem.Pharm. Bull. 2002, 50, 208-215. |
| Myrica cerifera | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=0.0} \\ & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=+48} \end{aligned}$ | Joshi, B. S. et al. J. Nat. Prod. 1996, 59, 759-764. Jones, J. R. et al. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 38-44. |

Table 2-1 Specific rotation for extracted myricanols

[^4]As shown in Table 2-1 the majority of extracted myricanols displayed negative rotations with the exception of one racemate and two positive values. The negative rotation is correlated to the $11 R$-configuration of the brominated derivative 2 of myricanol (Figure 2-3) determined via X-ray cristallography. In the work of Matsuda et al. ${ }^{25}(-)-11 R$-myricanol $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-48.3\right)$ was extracted from Myrica rubra species along with a $(+)-S$-myricanol 5-O- $\beta$-D-glucopyranoside (Figure 2-2) which was cleaved to the corresponding aglycone $(+)-11-S$-myricanol $\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=+37.3\right)$ with $11 S$ configuration confirmed by Mosher's analysis. Joshi et al. ${ }^{24}$ extracted ( $\pm$ )-myricanol from Myrica cerifera in racemic form with a structure confirmed by accurate Xray crystallographic studies and 1D/2D NMR experiments. The myricanol structure is axially dissymmetric due to the twisted biphenyl and contains one asymmetric centre. For this reason, the cyclic diarylheptanoid could exist as two diastereoisomers, each as a pairs of enantiomers: ( $\mathrm{a} S, S$ ), ( $\mathrm{a} R, R$ ) and $(\mathrm{a} S, R)$, (aR,S) $)^{26}($ Figure 2-5).


Figure 2-5 Possible stereoisomers of myricanol

The X-ray crystal structure of ( $\pm$ )-myricanol, isolated by Joshi and co-workers, contains only the enantiomeric pair ( $\mathrm{a} R, S$ ) and ( $\mathrm{a} S, R$ ). To explain this result, they performed some molecular mechanics calculations to minimize the energy of the diastereoisomeric pair ( $\mathrm{a} S, S$ ) and ( $\mathrm{a} S, R$ ). They demonstrated that the $(\mathrm{a} S, R)$ diastereoisomer was more stable than the $(\mathrm{a} S, S)$ diastereoisomer by $2.72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Considering these previously reported informations on the structure of myricanol and matching the NMR and X-ray data with a positive Cotton effect, Jones et $a l .{ }^{11}$ reported for the first time the isolation from Myrica cerifera of (+)-aR,11S-myricanol as a natural product (Matsuda et al. described 9 years before the

[^5]naturally occurring corresponding glycoside). It's important to underline that ( + )-a $R, 11 S$-myricanol was extracted with $86 \%$ ee and not as an enantiopure isomer.

Finally, the isolation of the two possible stereoisomers of myricanol is highly dependant from the Myrica species source, the period of the collect and the methods used to extract the natural product. Therefore a stereoselective synthesis of enantiopure myricanol would be very helpful to study its biological properties.

### 2.2 BIOSYNTHESIS

Considering the importance of natural diarylheptanoids, their biosynthesis has been investigated from many researcher groups. In the case of phenylphenalenone derivatives ${ }^{27}$ it has been reported that two phenylpropanoids and one malonyl-CoA are involved in the formation of the phenylphenalenone skeleton. However, there is no information about the biosynthetic pathways for cyclic diarylheptanoids.

Curcumin (Figure 1-1), the most prominent linear diarylheptanoid, is often recognized as a diferuloylmethane. This name indicates that its biosynthesis involves two cinnamate (ferulate) units (C6$\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) with a central methylene group provided by a malonate unit. ${ }^{28}$ Roughley and Whiting carried out experiments using radioisotope labeled acetate and malonate that revealed a mechanism in which a cinnamate unit reacts with five acetate (or malonate) units followed by a cyclization. ${ }^{29}$ In the Scheme 2-1 are reported the two possible mechanisms suggested by Whiting and co-workers.


Scheme 2-1 Biosynthesis of curcumin

A more recent study of Ramirez-Ahumada et al. ${ }^{30}$ detected the activity of curcuminoid synthase in turmeric, which required both 4-hydroxycinnamyl-CoA esters and malonyl-CoA for curcuminoid biosynthesis.

Concerning the formation of macrocyclic diarylheptanoids, it probably occurs through an intramolecular phenolic oxidative coupling ${ }^{31}$. Due to the respectively para position of the phenol groups and of the linear

[^6]chain, the cyclized natural products will be meta, meta when a biphenyl will be formed and meta, para in the diphenylether cyclophanes ${ }^{3}$. (Scheme 2-2)


Scheme 2-2 Macrocyclization by oxidative coupling

Kawai and co-workers reported in two papers ${ }^{14,32}$ a study on the biosynthesis of myricanol and myricanone. In the first work in 2008, they performed in vivo feeding experiments using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled 4 -coumaric acid to grow Myrica rubra young shoots. Mass spectrometry (MS) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis indicated the involvement of two molecules of 4-coumaric acid for the formation of two cyclic diarylheptanoids, myricanol and myricanone. The NMR analysis of myricanol isolated after administration of 4-[8,9- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ coumaric acid demonstrated that the $\mathrm{C}-8$ and $\mathrm{C}-9$ atoms of 4-coumaric acid were incorporated into C-8, C-9, C-11 and C-12 of the corresponding myricanol. However, because there is no carbon-carbon double bond in the heptane side chain of myricanol and myricanone, it was unclear whether the saturated structure in myricanol was originated from dihydrocinnamic acid precursor(s) or from a cinnamic acid precursor followed by hydrogenation after condensation with a second cinnamate unit.

Moreover, the macrocyclization step and the origin of the methyl ether in myricanol and myricanone also remained to be resolved. In view of these results Kawai et al. proposed a biosynthetic mechanism as showed in Scheme 2-3.

[^7]

Scheme 2-3 First biosynthesis proposed for myricanol and myricanone

In the second paper, they revealed that two molecules of 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionic acid could also be a biosynthetic precursor of myricanol in M. rubra, Scheme 2-4. They observed that both 4-coumaric acid and its dihydro-derivative were incorporated into myricanol. Competitive feeding experiments with 4-[8,9$\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ coumaric acid and 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-[1- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ propionic acid were performed in M. rubra to determine the preferential incorporation of these two precursors. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ studies indicated that 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-[1- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$-propionic acid was preferentially incorporated into myricanol. Analyzing these data, they provided evidence for a biosynthetic sequence originating from 4-coumaric acid and leading to myricanol, through 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-propionic acid, in M. rubra. (Scheme 2-4) The incorporation ratio of 4-[8,9- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ coumaric acid and 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)- $\left[1-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right]$ propionic acid differ between C-9 (1:1.6) and C-11 (1:8.4) in the extracted myricanol. This result appeared very interesting to explain the non-symmetric structure of myricanol. Myricanol has two types of aromatic ring, one with two methoxyl and one hydroxyl group (ring A) and the other with just one hydroxyl function (ring B). If the chain of the diarylheptanoid would be built from the same two precursors, for example, 3-4-(hydroxyphenyl)-propionyl-CoA, the ratio of the incorporation at C-9 and C-11 may be indistinguishable as a consequence of the symmetry. Considering that this ratio is not the same, they asserted that hydroxylation (and/or methylation) of the Aring may occur before the formation of diarylheptanoidic skeleton.


myricanol

Scheme 2-4 Second biosynthesis proposed for myricanol and myricanone

Kawaii et al. in their second publication ${ }^{32}$ affirmed that further study to confirm this hypothesis will be performed using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled caffeic, ferulic acid or their corresponding dihydro derivatives, but until now nothing has been published in this sense.

### 2.3 BIOLOGICALACTIVITIES

Myrica rubra is one of the most spread widely plant of Myricaceae in China and Japan and its bark was used since antiquity in folk medicine as astringent, antidiarrheic, antibacterial, antioxidant and antiinflammatory. The inhibitory effects on the release of $\beta$-hexosaminidase from RBL-2H3 cells were examined, and several diarylheptanoids, myricanol, ( + )-S-myricanol, myricanone, and myricanenes were found to show a good activity ${ }^{25}$. The anti-androgenic activity of extracts of Myrica rubra was shown by Matsuda et. al. which found in myricetin, myricanol and myricanone the main active compounds ${ }^{10}$. Glycosilated myricanol and myricanone were found to inhibit induction of inducible nitric oxide synthase ${ }^{9}$.

Recent reviews on Myrica nagi plant reported in detail the "miraculous" and versatile properties of the extracts that show activities such as hepatoprotective, antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal, antiinflammatory and antiasthmatic. ${ }^{18 \mathrm{~b}), 18 \mathrm{c})}$

Our interest in myricanol has been triggered by the anti-tau activity of this metabolite originaly disclosed by the group of Dickey. ${ }^{11}$ Later on, a potent antitumoral activity against the lung cancer ${ }^{12}$ was described. For this reason this two biological activities will be described with more details.

### 2.3.1 ANTI-TAU ACTIVITY

Tau proteins belong to the family of microtubule-associated proteins (MAPT). They are mainly expressed in neurons where they play an important role in the assembly of tubulin monomers into microtubules to constitute the neuronal microtubules network. Microtubules are responsible to mantain the cell shape and for axonal transport. Moreover, also tau proteins establish some links between microtubules and other cytoskeletal elements or proteins ${ }^{33}$. The proteins work together with a globular protein called tubulin to stabilize microtubules and aid the assembly of tubulin in the microtubules. Tau proteins achieve their control of microtubule stability through isoforms and phosphorylation. When tau proteins become defective and fail to adequately stabilize microtubules, pathologies of the nervous system can develop ${ }^{34}$ (Figure 2-6). Intracellular aggregation of abnormal species of phosphorylated tau is a typical feature of a family of neurodegenerative diseases collectively referred to tauopathies. . More than 15 neurodegenerative diseases belong to this pathology, including Alzheimer and Parkinson. Hyperphosphorylation of tau proteins can

[^8]cause the formation of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) that are intracellular aggregates composed of paired helical filaments (PHFs).


Figure 2-6 Neurofibrillary tangles

The result of the hyperphosphorylation consists in the disintegration of microtubule structure that allows the neuron to the death. It has been discover that while aggregation of hyperphosphorylated protein tau is visible evidence of tauophaties, these neurofibrillary tangles appear to be less toxic than soluble intermediates of protein tau. High levels of tau intermediates, particularly aberrant tau species fail to be cleared from cells, cause cognitive dysfunction leading to Alzheimer disease (AD) an more generally tauophaties. Indeed, agents that degrade or destabilize tau intermediates, clear aberrant tau species from cells, or are able to reduce intracellular tau levels, are promising therapeutics for AD and tauopathies.

Dickey and collaborators highly worked in this sense focusing their attention on the power of myricanol, extract from Myrica cerifera, to destabilize the microtubule associated protein tau.

They isolated the ( + )-aR,11S-myricanol ( $86 \%$ ee from Myrica cerifera) (see 2.1.1) and they investigated protein tau levels in HeLa-C3 cells. After treating these murine brain cells with the same concentrations of the isolated scalemic compound and with commercially available "racemic" myricanol (actually $9 \% e e$ ) they observed that tau levels in HeLa-C3 cells were significantly reduced by enantiomerically enriched (+)$\mathrm{a} R, 11 S$-myricanol $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=35 \mu \mathrm{M}\right) .{ }^{11}$ In the Figure 2-7 were reported the HPLC chiral separation of the
enantioenriched extract of myricanol (A), of the commercial racemate (B) and the profiles obtained to evaluate the tau levels in Hela-C3 (C e D).

In view of these very promising results, Dickey et al. reported two patents: in the first they reported the materials and methods for protein tau reduction in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases using (+)$\mathrm{a} R, 11 S$-myricanol ${ }^{35}$, in the second they described a series of myricanol derivatives investigated for the same biological activity ${ }^{36}$.


Figure 2-7 Anti-tau activity of (+)-aR,11S-myricanol

In contrast with their previous report, the same research group disclosed recently that the reduction of tau levels mainly originated from the $(-)$-aS, $11 R$-myricanol. ${ }^{37}$

In this paper they reported the chemical synthesis of racemic myricanol (see 2.4.3). Chiral HPLC separation and X-ray analysis allowed to confirm that synthetic racemic myricanol is a mixture of two enantiomers $(+)-\mathrm{a} R, 11 S$-myricanol (51\%) and (-)-a $S, 11 R$-myricanol (49\%) (see Figure 2-5). They surprisingly found

[^9]that ( - -aS, $11 R$-myricanol reduced tau levels in both cultured cells and ex vivo brain slices from a mouse model of tauophaty, but its enantiomer did not. They thought that the characteristic conformation of this enantiomer could be better metabolized in the cells, increasing the cell permeability.

Moreover, a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study revealed that the compound (4) resulting from an acid-catalyzed dehydration of myricanol (1) displayed a robust tau-lowering activity, comparable to (-)-aS,11R-myricanol. (Scheme 2-5) The rearranged unexpected molecule (4) was a mixture of two enantiomers $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}[(a R, 10 R)$ and $(a S, 10 S)$ respectively] whose structures were elucidated by X-ray analysis. HPLC chiral separation afforded $(+)-(4)\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+93.6\right)$ and $(-)-(4)\left([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-100\right)$ which optical power wasn't attributed to the structure $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$. The two enantiomers were separately investigated for the tau lowering effect. Both molecules had similar activity against tau and this suggests that in this case the anti-tau activity is independent from chirality.


Scheme 2-5 Myricanol derivatives with anti-tau activity

### 2.3.2 ANTI-CANCER ACTIVITY

As described until now myricanol is a versatile bioactive agent that exhibits many biological activities. However, information regarding the anticancer mechanism of myricanol is limited. In the best of our knowledge anticancer activities of cyclic diarylheptanoids and particularly of myricanol appeared only in literature in 2000 and 2002 with two publications of Ishida J. et al. describing their inhibition of skin tumor ${ }^{38}$ and their use as chemopreventives ${ }^{39}$.

In 2012 appeared a patent submitted by Dai G.H. and collaborators from the Academy of traditional Chinese medicine in which was disclosed the application of myricanol and/or myricanone in preparing antitumor drugs. ${ }^{40}$ The invention abstract speak about antitumor drugs for preventing and/or treating liver cancers, lung cancers, leukemia, stomach cancers and other tumors. They proved that myricanol and myricanone have good antitumor effects and cause low toxicity to normal cells.

Very recently (2014 and 2015) the same research group published two important works disclosing the potent activity of myricanol to reduce and treat human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells.

Lung cancer is generally divided into small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). To this last one belong approximately $75-85 \%$ of all lung cancers. Even if new chemotherapeutic drugs have been tested on the affected people, no significant improvements in patient's prognosis have been achieved. For this reason the development of new therapeutic drugs for lung cancer is fundamentally important. Apoptosis is a physiological process that occurs during embryonic development and tissue homeostasis in adult animals. When a dysregulation of this normal process occurs, disease and death could be the imminent result. Cancer is a consequence of uncontrolled cell proliferation and apoptosis dysregulation. Thus, to develop preventive strategies for the cancer's control, a good point would be a programmed induction of apoptosis. In the study reported on Phytomedicine ${ }^{41}$, Dai G. H. and collaborators shown that myricanol extracted from M. rubra bark significantly inhibited the growth of A549 cells in a dose-dependent manner, with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $4.85 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The cyclic diarylheptanoid decreased colony formation and induced A549 cell apoptosis. In the second study reported on the Internationl Journal of Molecular

[^10]Sciences ${ }^{42}$ they explored the inhibiting effect and mechanism of myricanol on lung adenocarcinoma A549 xenografts in nude mice. For this research forty nude mices with subcutaneous A549 xenografts were randomly divided into five groups: high-dose myricanol ( $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight) group; middle-dose myricanol ( $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight) group; low-dose myricanol ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight) group; polyethylene glycol 400 vehicle group ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$ ); and tumor model group. After 12 days of treatment they calculated the tumor inhibition rate (TIR, \%). (Figure 2-8)


Antitumor effect of myricanol on an A549 cell xenograft model ( $n=8, \bar{x} \pm \mathrm{SD}$ ).

| Group and dose | Body Weight (g) |  | Tumor Weight (g) | TIR (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Begin | End |  |  |
| Myricanol $(40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $20.9 \pm 1.43$ | $24.9 \pm 2.21$ | $1.894 \pm 0.555 *$ | 27.3 |
| Myricanol $(20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $21.4 \pm 1.81$ | $24.8 \pm 2.13$ | $2.239 \pm 0.782 *$ | 14.7 |
| Myricanol $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | $22.1 \pm 1.92$ | $24.4 \pm 2.12$ | $2.628 \pm 1.021$ | 0.81 |
| Vehicle group | $21.7 \pm 1.15$ | $25.0 \pm 2.05$ | $3.079 \pm 0.834$ | - |
| Model group | $21.5 \pm 1.28$ | $25.3 \pm 1.95$ | $3.104 \pm 0.901$ |  |

Figure 2-8 Antitumor effect of myricanol on an A549 cell xenograft model

As clearly showed in Figure 2-8, myricanol-induced inhibition of the A549 xenograft tumor volume in mice administered with myricanol at 40 and $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ body weight concentrations were $39.4 \%$ and $25.5 \%$, respectively. The TIRs of the three myricanol doses ranged from $14.9 \%$ to $38.5 \%$.

Therefore, according to this study, myricanol can significantly decelerate A549 xenograft growth in vivo by inducing apoptosis, for this reason it may be a clinical candidate to prevent and treat lung cancer.

[^11]
### 2.4 STATE OF ART OF CHEMICAL SYNTHESIS

### 2.4.1 GENERAL APPROACH FOR SYNTHESIS OF [7,0]-META-CYCLOPHANES

The biaryl structural motif is a predominant feature in many pharmaceutically relevant and biologically active compounds. As a result, for over a century organic chemists have sought to develop new and more efficient aryl-aryl bond-forming methods. ${ }^{43}$ Cyclophanic natural products comprise an intriguing class of structurally diverse compounds. As inherent for all cyclic compounds regardless of their origin, macrocyclization is naturally the most decisive step, which defines the overall efficiency of the synthetic pathway. Especially in small cyclophanic molecules, this key step constitutes an even greater challenge. Due to the strain imparted by the macrocyclic system, free rotation of the benzene ring(s) is often restricted depending on both the constitution of the tethered and the aromatic portions. ${ }^{44}$ Among cyclophanic natural products, the diarylheptanoids are a structurally sub-class with their scaffold consisting of two benzene rings tethered by an oxygenated aliphatic heptyl chain. (Scheme 2-6)

[7,0]-meta-cyclophane

biaryl alkyl derivatives

B

linear diarylheptanoid

Scheme 2-6 Cyclic diarylheptanoids synthetic approaches

[^12]The synthesis of [7,0]-meta-cyclophanes strictly depends from the adopted strategy. Generally they could be obtained from macrocyclization with the formation of the heptyl chain on a biaryl precursor (Path A) or from a macrocyclization by an aryl-aryl coupling (Path B). In both cases, these macrocyclizations are subjected to many constraints and the control of atropisomery in case of biaryl coupling ${ }^{45}$ increasing the synthetic challenge that represents the preparation of such molecules. The main constraints featuring during cyclization are (1) torsional strain in the ring system; (2) steric interactions between hydrogens inside the 13-membered ring; (3) steric and electronic effects around the coupling sites in the case of an aryl-aryl coupling.

The most common reported macrocyclization reactions are: intramolecular ring closing metathesis (path A), ${ }^{46}$ intramolecular Wittig type reactions (path A), ${ }^{47}$ transition metal catalyzed reactions (path A or B), ${ }^{43}$ intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution (path B) ${ }^{48}$ and intramolecular oxidative coupling (path B). ${ }^{49}$ Considering the two different cyclization pathways A and B, the corresponding precursors could be obtained using different disconnections described below.

Macrocyclization via an intramolecular ring closure of the tethered heptyl chain (Path A)
Biaryl moiety of a [7,0]-meta-cyclophanic structure could be obtained through metal catalyzed crosscoupling reactions such as Ullmann coupling, Suzuki-Miyaura coupling, C-H activation or via intramolecular oxidative coupling.

Macrocyclization via an intramolecular aryl-aryl coupling (Path B)
[7,0]-metacyclophanes can be prepared by intramolecular cyclization of linear diarylheptanoids. These cyclophanes precursors are easily accessible, using common organic reactions as depicted in Scheme 2-7.

[^13]
monoxygenated linear diarylheptnoids
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Scheme 2-7 Construction of linear diarylheptanoic chain

Linear diarylheptanoids can be disconnected according to path "a" featuring a nucleophilic addition to an aldehyde or a carbonyl umpolung on an electrophile; or according to path "b"or "c" which are respectively represented by an aldol condensation and a Wittig type reaction.

Considering these general accesses to [7,0]-meta-cyclophanes, we will see in the next paragraphs how myricanol and myricanone have been already synthesized. Only racemic synthesis have been reported so far.

### 2.4.2 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF MYRICANOL AND MYRICANONE BY WHITING ET AL. (1980)

The first total synthesis of racemic myricanol was reported by Whiting et al., the same research group who first isolated and characterized this natural product. ${ }^{50}$

In three papers they described: 1) the construction of 1,7-diarylheptane skeleton of myricanol and myricanone. 2) the macrocyclization step and 3) they studied the factors affecting the cyclization step.


Scheme 2-8 Total synthesis of myricanol (Whiting et al.)

[^14]According to Scheme 2-8 myricanol was obtained in 14 steps in $0,21 \%$ overall yield. The main reactions used were a Friedel-Crafts acylation on the commercial 1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene, the transformation of the aryl-acyl compound $\mathbf{6}$ into the corresponding aryl-alkyl bromine that was converted into the Grignard reagent 7. The substrate $\mathbf{7}$ reacted with a $p$-benzyloxypropionaldehyde $\mathbf{9}$ to afford the linear diarylheptanoid 10 in $42 \%$ yield which was subsequently protected and di-iodinated to compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ The macrocyclization reaction was performed using $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ as catalyst delivering the desired product $\mathbf{1 3}$ in a surprinsingly low yield ( $7.3 \%$ ) compared to the quite good $46 \%$ obtained for the cyclization giving rise to alnusone (a natural diarylheptanoid with one oxygenated substituent on each aromatic rings). ${ }^{51}$ The last deprotection steps affording myricanol $\mathbf{1}$ were reported without any yield.

Myricanone 3 was prepared according to two different approaches depicted in Scheme 2-9. In one case the linear chain was build using umpolung strategy. Dithiane $\mathbf{1 5}$ was reacted with the iodide 14 in the presence of $n$-BuLi affording the linear diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{1 6}$ which was hydrolyzed into the corresponding ketone $\mathbf{1 7}$.


Scheme 2-9 Total synthesis of myricanone (Whiting et al.)

[^15]Iodination of $\mathbf{1 7}$ followed by $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ catalyzed cyclization gave rise to the myricanone precursor $\mathbf{2 1}$ in $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ yield. The second approach started with the diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{1 0}$ already prepared for the synthesis of myricanol 1 (Scheme 2-9). Mono-bromination of 10 followed by PCC oxidation of hydroxyl group gave bromo-ketone 20 which was subsequently submitted to a photocatalyzed cyclization delivering the cyclophane $\mathbf{2 1}$ in $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ yields. A catalytic hydrogenation of $\mathbf{2 1}$ selectively deprotected the benzyl ethers to afford myricanone 3 .

### 2.4.3 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF MYRICANOL BY DICKEY ET AL.(2015)

Considering the biological importance of myricanol and the related studies on the anti-tau activity ${ }^{11}$, Dickey's group reported a racemic myricanol synthesis last year.

They converted the bromide $\mathbf{2 2}$ into the corresponding phenylpropionaldehyde $\mathbf{2 3}$ by reductive coupling with an allylic alcohol. An ortho-methoxy-directed iodination of the aromatic ring afforded the aldehyde 24 which was coupled with the arylboronic ketone 27 resulting from consecutive iodination and borylation of methylketone $\mathbf{2 5}$. The resulting linear diarylheptanoid 28 was involved in an intramolecular SuzukiMiyaura reaction to give the macrocycle 29 in $22 \%$ yields. The cyclic compound was deprotected to myricanone 3 and subsequently reduced to racemic myricanol in $2.03 \%$ overall yield within 7 steps
(Scheme 2-10).
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Scheme 2-10 Total synthesis of myricanol (Dickey et al.)

The key-step of this synthesis was the intramolecular Suzuki coupling giving the better yield obtain so far for installation of the biaryl core of myricanol. ${ }^{37}$

### 2.4.4 TOWARD THE SYNTHESIS OF O-METHYLMYRICANONE BY DANSOU ET AL.(2000)

Dansou et al. were interested on the synthesis $O$-methylmyricanone, a non-natural analogue of myricanone. ${ }^{52}$ For this purpose they propose the first attempt of the synthesis of myricanol/myricanone derivatives via path A (see 2.4.1).

This synthesis started with the intermolecular construction of the biaryl core using a Suzuki-Miyaura coupling between readily accessible boronic acid $\mathbf{3 0}$ and bromo aldehyde $\mathbf{3 1}$. The resulting coupling product 32 was homologated with two carbons on aldehyde and acylated under Friedel-Craft conditions which undergo simultaneously selective demethoxylation on the more electronrich ring of substrate $\mathbf{3 3}$ to afford the dicyano compound $\mathbf{3 4}$. Clemmensen type reduction of the ketone $\mathbf{3 4}$ followed by protection of the phenol with BnCl gave rise to 35 which was submitted to different conditions of Thorpe Ziegler condensation without any success. The desired intramolecular condensed products $\mathbf{3 6}$ and/or $\mathbf{3 7}$ were not observed (Scheme 2-11).


Scheme 2-11 Towards the total synthesis of $O$-methylmyricanone

[^16]
## 3 DESIGN AND SELECTION OF THE SYNTHETIC ROUTE FOR MYRICANOL

### 3.1 RETROSYNTHETIC APPROACHES

Recent published works demonstrated that both scalemic ( $\mathrm{a} R, S / \mathrm{a} S, R$ ) or pure ( - )-aS,11R-myricanol are effective anti-tau components. ${ }^{11,37}$ In this context, the aim of this thesis is to develop a high-yielding and stereoselective route for the production of synthetic (rac)-myricanol which will also be easily modified to an enantioselective version (see 2.3.1 and 2.3.2).

Considering the structure of myricanol, it was clear that macrocyclization and formation of the $m, m$ heptylene linkage was the key synthetic challenge. Functional group interconversion of myricanol lead to the homoallylic benzyl ether $\mathbf{A}$ from which emerged two broad disconnections Scheme 3-1


Scheme 3-1 Retrosynthetic analysis for myricanol synthesis

Path a (disconnection on the biaryl bond) required the intramolecular biarylic C-C coupling (SuzukiMiyaura coupling, Ullmann coupling, C-H activation, oxidative coupling) of the seco-precursor $\mathbf{C}$. This diarylheptanoid might result from a cross metathesis reaction between the fragments $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ which in turn would be accessible from cheap commercially available starting materials. path $b$ (disconnection on the chain) exploited the ring closing metathesis (RCM) in the construction of the macrocycle $\mathbf{A}$ and required the intermolecular biarylic C-C coupling of partners $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ to afford the cyclization precursor $\mathbf{B}$.

Since we aspired to carry out subsequently an enantioselective version of the synthesis, we speculated, considering the work of Joshi et al. ${ }^{24}$, that the macrocyclization of $\mathbf{B}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ bearing an enantiopure stereogenic carbinol could occur with any diastereoselectivity giving preferentially the natural enantiomer of myricanol.

In the next paragraph, we will present axial chirality and reactions developped in order to prepare atroposelective biaryles.

As a consequence of our retrosynthetic plan, the key reactions are on one hand the intra- (path a) or intermolecular (path b) biaryl coupling reaction and on the other hand the cross metathesis (path a) or the ring-closing metathesis (path b). This last one is proposed for the first time as a key reaction for the myricanol chain formation. Both classes of reactions will be detailed in next paragraph.

### 3.2 CONTROL OF AXIALCHIRALITY

### 3.2.1 BIARYLS, AXIAL CHIRALITY AND CONFIGURATION OF ATROPISOMERS

Axially chiral biaryl skeletons are found in a wide variety of natural products, as alkaloids, coumarins, flavonoids, lignans, polyketides, tannins, terpenes and peptides. ${ }^{45}$ They also served as priviledged framework for chiral reagents ${ }^{53}$ in asymmetric catalysis, chiral phases for chromatography ${ }^{54}$, chiral liquid crystals ${ }^{55}$ and as chiral bioactive compounds in the pharmaceutical industry ${ }^{56}$. Because of their versatility, biaryl compounds represent important synthetic targets.

An axis of chirality is an axis about which a set of atoms/functional groups/ligands is held so that it results in a spatial arrangement that is not superimposable on its mirror image. Biaryls with an axial chirality can exist as atropisomers. Atropisomerism is a special isomerism which arise from a restricted rotation about the single bond that links the aryl moieties (two different planes). The conformers derived from atropisomerism are called atropisomers which can be isolated as separate chemical species. The term atropisomerism was made up in 1933 by Richard Kuhn ${ }^{57}$, but the first time in which this phenomena was observed date back to the 1922 when Christie and Kenner successfully isolated via diastereoselective crystallization with a chiral resolving agent the (aS)- 6,6'-dinitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2,2'-dicarboxylic acid from the corresponding racemic mixture. ${ }^{58}$ (Figure 3-1)

(aS)-6,6'-dinitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2,2'-dicarboxylic acid
Figure 3-1 First atropisomer isolated by Christie and Kenner in 1922

The biphenyl rings sit perpendicular in order to minimize steric hindrance between the four ortho substituents meaning that rotation about the biphenyl bond is greatly slowed.

[^17]An arbitrary but useful definition of atropisomers was given in 1983 by M. Oki who took into account the interconversion of conformers in relation to the temperature. ${ }^{59} \mathrm{He}$ defined some important parameters to describe atropisomerism. The necessary conditions to have axial chirality are 1) a rotationally stable axis and 2) the presence of different substituents on both sides of the axis. The atropisomers are physically separable if, at a given temperature, they have a half-life of $1000 \mathrm{~s}(16.7 \mathrm{~min})$. Moreover, M. Oki indicated the minimum free energy barriers, required to obtain configurationnaly stable biaryls, at different temperatures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G} 200 \mathrm{~K}\left(-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=61.6 \mathrm{kJmol}^{-1}\left(15 \mathrm{Kcalmol}^{-1}\right) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G} 300 \mathrm{~K}\left(27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=93.5 \mathrm{kJmol}^{-1}\left(22 \mathrm{Kcalmol}^{-1}\right) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G} 350 \mathrm{~K}\left(77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=109 \mathrm{kJmol}^{-1}\left(26 \mathrm{Kcalmol}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The configurational stability of axially chiral biaryl compounds is determined by three major factors: 1) the (combined) steric demand of the substituents in proximity to the axis; 2) the existence, length, and rigidity of bridges; and 3) the involvement of atropisomerization mechanisms different from a merely physical rotation about the axis, for example, by photochemically or chemically induced processes ${ }^{60}$.

As known, the configuration of a molecule having a chirality axis may be specified as $R$ or $S$ by application of the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog (CIP) priority rules. In 1958 K. Mislow described the absolute configuration of enantiopure biaryls using the CIP priority rules. ${ }^{61}$ The descriptors $a R$ and $a S$ are sometimes used to distinguish axial chirality from other types (planar, central). Alternatively such molecules may be treated as helices and assigned $M$ (minus) or $P$ (plus) stereochemistry. For compounds with chirality axis, the descriptions $a R$ correspond to $M$ and $a S$ correspond to $P$. The absolute axial configuration for a poly-ortho' substituted biaryl can be denoted by Newman projection along the biaryl axis. (Figure 3-2) After priority assignment to the substituents according to the CIP rules (for example $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}>\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ), the configuration is determined by following the shortest $90^{\circ}$ path from the substituent of highest priority at the proximal ring (A) to the highest-ranking one at the distal ring ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ). If this $90^{\circ}$ turn is counterclockwise the absolute configuration is $M$; if it is clockwise, then the descriptor is $P$.

[^18]CHAPTER 3 : Design and selection of the synthetic route for myricanol
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Figure 3-2 Biaryls configuration assignment

### 3.2.2 SYNTHETIC METHODS TO CONTROL AXIAL CHIRALITY

In this paragraph we will have a brief overview of the main methodologies, described until now, to prepare axially chiral biaryl compounds. Major advances to access such valuable building blocks have been reported in the last 20 years. These different synthetic strategies were discussed in details in an excellent review from Bringmann in $2005^{60}$ and some more specialized articles focused on one type of synthetic route to afford axially chiral compounds. ${ }^{55,62}$

More recently in 2015 a general update combining recent advances and new concepts for the synthesis of axially stereoenriched biaryls have been reported by Colobert et al. ${ }^{63}$ In this recent review modern approaches towards atropisomeric biaryls were presented and divided in four major categories (Scheme 3-2): I) stereoselective construction of biaryl; II) access to chiral biaryls via construction of (an) aromatic ring(s); III) stereoselective transformations of prochiral or racemic biaryls; IV) synthesis of optically enriched biaryls relying on a central-to-axial chirality transfer.

[^19]

Scheme 3-2 Modern approaches toward atropisomeric biaryls

Considering that the retrosynthetic approach proposed for the total synthesis of myricanol is expected through an intermolecular or intramolecular C-C biaryl coupling (see 3.1), we will focused our attention to the category I involving the stereoselective construction of the Ar-Ar bond (Scheme 3-2). The three others categories will not be discussed in this thesis.

## I. STEREOSELECTIVE CONSTRUCTION OF BIARYLS

Because biaryls were found in many important natural and synthetic chemical structures, the linkage of aryl moieties and the asymmetric induction during the coupling were widely studied and described from organic chemists. If steric hindrance around the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar}$ axis is generally essential to ensure the configurational stability of biaryls, it constitutes also an important obstacle to the biaryl coupling. For this reason powerful and innovative asymmetric catalytic systems have been developed. The most investigated methodology for the Ar-Ar coupling concerned the use of transition metals as catalysts allowing different types of coupling such as oxidative, Suzuki-Miyaura couplings and C-H arylation.

## Intermolecular oxidative couplings

Many examples of asymmetric oxidative couplings catalyzed by $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{V}$ and Ru -based chiral catalytic systems were reported for the homocoupling of naphthols. ${ }^{64}$ An highly effective and diastereoselective synthesis of axially chiral bis-sulfoxide ligands via oxidative aryl coupling was described by Zhou and coworkers. ${ }^{65}$ They used a chiral sulfoxide moiety as an ortho-directing group and as chiral inductor too, in order to promote first the direct ortho-metallation of aryl sulfoxides and second the iron-catalyzed C-C coupling. During the radical coupling an excellent diatereoisomeric excess of axially chiral bis-sulfoxides was obtained. (Scheme 3-3)


Scheme 3-3 Diastereoselective oxidative homocoupling of aryl sulfoxides

Examples of intramolecular oxidative and reductive coupling were also reported in literature. Generally, the chirality was transferred by stereogenic tethers ${ }^{66}$ or by the substituents and the strain given by the cyclic system (as occured in natural products) ${ }^{67}$.

## Intramolecular oxidative couplings

An interesting illustration of an atropodiastereoselective intramolecular oxidative coupling was offered by Spring et al. for the total synthesis of ellagitannin natural product sanguiin H-5. Both organomagnesium and organozinc based metallation methodologies were used to efficiently construct the strained medium ring core of the natural product. ${ }^{68}$

[^20]

Scheme 3-4 Intramolecular oxidative coupling for total synthesis of sanguiin H-5

The synthesis of benzylated precursor of sanguiin H-5 was accomplished in one step after either the initial formation of an organomagnesium or organozinc intermediate. An intramolecular oxidative coupling of the resulting diarylcuprate allows diastereoselective and concomitant biaryl bond and medium ring formation (Scheme 3-4). The reaction proceeded with complete diastereoselectivity and in good isolated yield (65\%70\%).

## Diastereoselective and enantioselective intermolecular Suzuki-Miyaura couplings

Among the transition metal catalyzed Ar-Ar coupling, Suzuki-Miyaura was one of the most studied reaction to access chiral biaryls. The first asymmetric version of Suzuki-Miyaura coupling appeared in the late 1990s, when diastereoselective couplings were performed using one chiral partner bearing a motif such as a planarchiral chromium complex or a stereogenic center. ${ }^{69}$ In this context, as depicted in Scheme 3-5-A, benzylic alcohols or $\beta$-hydroxysulfoxides were employed as chiral auxiliaries and for example they are used in the total synthesis of dibenzoxepine derivatives ${ }^{70},(-)$-steganone ${ }^{62 \mathrm{a}), 62 \mathrm{~b}, 71}$ and for the synthesis of the biaryl part of vancomycin. ${ }^{62 c)}$ High diastereoselectivity was also assured employing tert-butyl sulfinyl group as chiral auxiliary ${ }^{62 \mathrm{~d})}$, as described from Colobert et al., the chiral induction was probably due to the coordination between the chiral sulfoxide and the Pd-catalyst. (Scheme 3-5-B). A novel approach to transfer chiral

[^21]information during the biaryl coupling was presented by Lipshutz et al. for the total synthesis of (+)korupensamine B. ${ }^{62 e)}$ In this case, the high atroposelectivity was guaranteed by intramolecular $\pi$ stacking interactions between the electron-rich tetrahydroisoquinoline motif and a temporarily installed aryl ester moiety that hinder one face of the aryliodide, giving a stereocontrolled Ar-Ar coupling (Scheme 3-5-C).


Scheme 3-5 Diastereoselective Suzuki-Miyaura coupling

The intermolecular enantioselective Suzuki-Miyaura biaryl coupling was firstly and independently reported by Buchwald ${ }^{72}$ and Cammidge ${ }^{73}$ that opened the route in this field. The main limitation of this approach was represented by the required high steric hindrance of both coupling partners and by the choice of the appropriate chiral ligand. For this scope have been designed different classes of mono and bidentate ligand

[^22]and Pd-complexes ( $\mathbf{a - j} \mathbf{j}$, Scheme 3-6) in which stereoinduction is enhanced by an "anchoring effect" of a coordinating group (GC). ${ }^{74}$ Despite of these advances the enantioselective Suzuki-Miyaura approach remains still restricted to tri-substituted binaphthyl or phenyl-naphthyl substrates and rarely is applicable to biphenyl compounds. Therefore the example of heterogeneous catalytic system (PEG-supported imidazoindole dicyclohexyl-phosphine copolymer $\mathbf{j}$ ) reported by Uozumi was revealed as a powerful approach to finalize the Ar-Ar coupling with excellent enantiomeric excess ${ }^{74 j}$ (Scheme 3-6)




$R^{1}=$ Alk, OAlk $\mathrm{R})_{2}, \mathrm{CONR}_{2}$
 $\square$ binaphthyl with CG
$\nabla$ naphthyl-phenyl with CG

trisubstituted products: b binaphthyl V naphthyl-phenyl
up to $98 \%$ yield up to $98 \%$ ee
trisubstituted products: tetrasubstituted
V binaphthyl products:
$\square$ naphthyl-phenyl with $\nabla$ binaphthyl
or without CG
up to $90 \%$ yield up to $95 \%$ yield up to $90 \%$ ee


## trisubstitute

products: V naphthyl-phenyl with CG च biphenyl with CG up to $92 \%$ yield


trisubstituted products: trisubstituted products. $\square$ naphthyl-phenyl with $\quad$ naphthyl-phenyl

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { CG } & \text { tetrasubstituted: } \\
\nabla \text { binaphthyl with CG } & \nabla \text { binaphthyl with/without CG }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { up to } 93 \% \text { yield up to } 96 \% \text { yield }
$$

up to $98 \%$ ee

Scheme 3-6 Enantioselective Suzuki-Miyaura coupling
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## Diastereoselective intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura coupling

Concerning the intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (as key step for the preparation of axially chiral cyclic molecules), Zhu and co-workers reported two significant examples. Peptidic moieties are installed between two aromatic units and are used as chiral linkers to induce atroposelectivity during the macrocyclization. With this approach the DEFG ring of complestatin ${ }^{75}$ and the cyclophanic system of arylomycins $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{76}$ were prepared (Figure 3-3).

Zhu et al. 2007


DEFG ring of complestatin

Zhu et al. 2010

core of arylomycin $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ core of arylomycin $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$

Figure 3-3 Natural products prepared by diastereoselective intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura coupling

[^24]
## Atroposelective intermolecular C-H arylation

To the first category individued in the Scheme 3-2 for Ar-Ar formation belongs also the C-H activation approach which is more and more employed to access biaryls. The first atropoenantioselective example of this kind of reaction was described by Yamaguchi and Itami in 2012. ${ }^{77}$ They obtained moderate enantioselectivity with ligands $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ too, as depicted in the following scheme.


Scheme 3-7 Atroposelective direct arylation

These results have surely to be improved in terms of stereoselectivity and efficiency, but constitute an important starting point to underline the potential and challenge of atropostereoselective C - H arylations.

Intramolecular atropoenantioselective C-H direct arylation was also reported in literature for the synthesis of allocolchicinine, a seven-membered ring compound. ${ }^{78}$ Major details concerning this approach will be found in paragraph 4.2.3.2.

[^25]
### 3.3 METATHESIS REACTION

One of the key reactions of our total synthesis is olefin metathesis and according to the designed retrosynthetic paths, we plan to use a cross metathesis (CM) or a ring closing metathesis (RCM) for the synthesis of myricanol.

While no reference to metathetic pathways in obtaining myricanol can be found in literature a great variety of natural compounds with small, medium or macrocycles can now be routinely obtained, taking full advantage of the wide range of synthetic possibilities offered by alkene metathesis. ${ }^{46,79}$ Indeed, working on parameters such as the influence of the catalyst, solvent, temperature and reactant concentrations can provide a good control of the metathesis process. ${ }^{80}$

The first example of "olefin metathesis reactions" was reported in the 1960s and was referred to petroleum industry. ${ }^{81}$ However the catalytic mechanism, still accepted, was proposed only in 1971 by Chauvin and Hérisson. ${ }^{82}$

The reaction proceeds through four steps as depicted in Figure 3-4:
a) [2+2] cycloaddition of an alkene to a metal alkylidene that form a metallocyclobutane;
b) $[2+2]$ cycloreversion to generate ethylene and a substrate-loaded metal carbine;
c) $[2+2]$ cycloaddition of metal carbene with the second olefin;
d) $[2+2]$ cycloreversion regenerate the metal alkylidene catalyst and the cross-coupled olefin.


Figure 3-4 Metathesis reaction mechanism

[^26]The metals employed for olefin metathesis were initially based on tantalum and tungsten. In the late1980s the quest for higher functional group tolerance allowed to the use of molybdenum complex (Shrock's catalyst) which was principally used in ring-closing metathesis (RCM) for the synthesis of many natural products. ${ }^{79}$ Grubbs and co-workers hardly worked on these catalysts and in 1992 reported the first stable ruthenium complex used in both ring-opening and ring closing metathesis (ROM) and (RCM). ${ }^{83}$ Further improvements of Grubbs catalyst allowed to prepare the benzylidene-bis(tricyclohexylphosphine)dichlororuthenium, better known as Grubbs first generation catalyst that is air and moisture stable and highly functional groups-tolerant. ${ }^{84}$ In 1999 was reported the second generation Grubbs catalyst in which one of two phosphines was replaced by a strongly donating N -heterocyclic carbene ligand that drastically improve the stability of the active species and accelerate the rate of initiation. ${ }^{85}$ One year later Hoveyda and Blechert groups, independently reported the synthesis of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation Hoveyda (or Hoveyda-Grubbs) Catalyst. It's widely used in cross metathesis (CM) and ring closing metathesis (RCM), in most circumstances is usually more reactive than the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Generation Grubbs Catalyst at lower temperatures. It's useful for the efficient metathesis of electron-deficient substrates. ${ }^{86}$



Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst


Grubbs catalyst II gen.


Schrock catalyst

Figure 3-5 Metathesis catalysts
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### 3.3.1 CROSS-METATHESIS (CM)

CM is a powerful tool in natural products chemistry particularly for functionalising terminal alkenes, appending a side chain to the core of a complex compound, or coupling two fragments in order to build the entire framework of the target molecule.

This process is extremely facile when the desired olefinic product is symmetrical such that a single is used in the metathesis reaction ${ }^{87}$ but is limited by a lack of control when performed with two different olefinic partners. In this case the reaction can lead to three different products arising from both homocoupling and cross-coupling. One of the first example of a highly controllable intermolecular metathesis was reported by Crowe et al. who were able to take advantage of the differential reactivity of the two coupling partners to control the outcome of the reaction. ${ }^{88}$ Later on, Grubbs et al. proposed a general ranking of olefin reactivity in cross-metathesis based on their relative abilities to undergo homodimerization via cross metathesis and the susceptibility of their homodimers toward secondary metathesis reaction. ${ }^{89}$ As described in the paper, if a metathesis catalyst with the appropriate activity is employed, selective cross metathesis reactions can be achieved with a wide variety of electron-rich, electron-deficient, and sterically bulky olefins.

The olefins are divided in four categories:

- Type I : olefins that undergo rapid homodimerization;
- Type II : olefins that undergo low homodimerization;
- Type III : olefins that undergo no homodimerization;
- Type IV : olefines inert to CM.

According to Grubbs model, if metathesis occurs between two type I olefins, a nonselective cross metathesis will take place and the products will be formed following a statistical distribution since the rate of homodimerization of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ is similar and the reactivity of homodimers and cross-coupled products toward secondary metathesis events are equally probable (Scheme 3-8).

[^28]

Scheme 3-8 Statistical distribution of CM products

In this case, self-dimerization reaction of the more valuable olefin may be minimized by the use of an excess of the more readily available alkene to give the desired cross-coupled product in good yield.

When the CM occurs between two olefins of the same type (non type I) a non selective CM will take place.
Otherwise, if the reactive olefins are of different types (for example type I with type II or type III), a selective CM will occur and final products will not follow the statistical distribution. Indeed, olefins of different types present dimerization rates that are usually slower than CM product formation. Type I olefin may initially homodimerize, but this homodimer could be involved in a secondary metathesis process in which starting terminal olefin is regenerated. Type I olefin and its homodimer readily react with type II/III olefin to give the desired cross product. The final mixture will have not a statistical distribution of coupled products. This is due to the inability of the catalyst to efficiently convert the cross desired product to others via secondary metathesis. (Scheme 3-9)


Scheme 3-9 Selective cross-metathesis between different type olefins

Considering the keys fragments identified for the total synthesis of myricanol (see 3.1) we can categorize the allylbenzene derivative $\mathbf{D}$ as a type I olefin and the homoallylic alcohols $\mathbf{E}$ as a type II olefin that generally exhibit a good CM reactivity. Indeed, homoallylic alcohols, especially when unprotected, are
often superior coupling partners in comparison to their oxidized keto variants, as reported in the Handbook of metathesis. ${ }^{90}$

### 3.3.2 RING CLOSING METATHESIS (RCM)

The power of olefin metathesis reactions in the field of natural products has mainly centered upon its application to the formation of unsaturated system by intramolecular metathesis. Since many synthetic targets are cyclic molecules (natural products, drugs, etc.) ${ }^{91}$ cyclization by RCM is often one of the last synthetic step (the key step) in which a new $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond is formed. In this case cyclization occurs in presence of olefins that are inert towards various reaction conditions and that allow to build the metathesis precursor molecule without compatibility problems with other functional groups. Moreover the final endocyclic double bond could be retain or reduced, depending on the target molecule.

Usually the cycle formation is entropically favoured, above all for small cycle. Otherwise, for the synthesis of macrocycles it could be possible to induce an intramolecular metathesis working at high dilution. Usually for cycle with size $\leq 8$ the double bond configuration is $Z$, whereas for higher number chains the $E / Z$ configuration isn't always predictable.


Scheme 3-10 Ring closing metathesis mechanism

[^29]As showed in Scheme 3-10 the diene could undergo a RCM with elimination of ethylene or could give a polymer by the acyclic diene metathesis polimerization (ADMET). Reaction pathway of diene depends on catalyst, dilution, ring size, and substrate (functional groups and steric factors). Intermolecular ADMET can generally be prevented by using the same techniques (slow addition or high dilution) proven to be effective in many macrolactonization strategies. ${ }^{92}$

Depending on the functional groups on the molecule, the synthesis of 5-7 membered rings appear easy, if catalyst is properly chosen (amine cases are not so easy and need carbamate protecting group) ${ }^{93}$. The choice of catalyst depends on olefin substitution, molybdenum and ruthenium are usually more effective for highly substituted olefins. Usually ruthenium catalysts are preferred to molybdenum ones because they are more easy to handle and more tolerant to various functional groups. ${ }^{94}$

For the medium size rings (7-13 carbon) cyclization appears less evident and sometime substrates are more prone to intermolecular acyclic diene metathesis reactions (ADMET). The cyclization could be favoured using some cyclic conformational constraints on the reacting molecule. ${ }^{95}$

In the case of macrocyclization (rings with more than 13 atoms) some experimental considerations have to be respected. The rate of oligomerization can be controlled by working at high level dilution or by adding slowly the diene to the catalyst solution. In these reactions higher temperature are generally required. The presence of polar groups and steric hindrance close to the double bonds significantly lowers yield. ${ }^{93}$

As part of the remarkable studies on RCM by the group of Fürstner since the early nineteen nineties, leading to the synthesis of naturally occurring macrolactones family ${ }^{96}$, a chemical approach based on RCM as the key step was materialized in 1999, accomplishing on one hand the total synthesis of zeranol and on the other hand, a closer understanding of the essential parameters for successful macrocyclization. ${ }^{97}$

Before Fürstner works, it was believed that only conformationally predisposed dienes could be used for the formation of medium or large cyclic systems. ${ }^{98}$ In contrast, the total synthesis of numerous natural

[^30]macrolides reported latter witnessed that seco-precursors devoid of any conformational constraints can be efficiently cyclized by RCM. RCM was also one of the most efficient approach to cyclize large ring system compared to all current alternatives. ${ }^{99}$ Very recently, the role of the structural or induced preorganization of the macrocyclization precursors have been reviewed. ${ }^{100}$

According to Fürstner and co-workers, since RCM generates two molecules (cyclized product and evaporative loss of ethylene) from one, the gain in entropy should provide sufficient driving force, independently of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ value, to the formation of highly flexible macrocycle from equally flexible acyclic diene precursor. ${ }^{96 b}$ They discovered that neither a conformational predisposition of starting material toward RCM nor the ring size formed are revelant factors for cyclization. Conversely the main influencing factors for RCM appeared to be the functional groups (ester, ketone, ether, urethane, etc.) that could interact with catalyst and furthermore the proper distance between the key substituent and the alkenes to be metathesized.

A significant example in which ring closure was revealed to be more dependant from the site of the closure than from the size of the forming ring was illustrated in Scheme 3-11.


Scheme 3-11 Conformationally unbiased RCM of a natural macrolide

Fürstner and Langemann described the synthesis of a series of musk-odored natural macrolides as the 14membered macrolide $\mathbf{V}$, minor component of Angelica root. ${ }^{96 b}$ They individuated two different terminal

[^31]alkene precursors as starting materials (I and III) and they disclosed that macrolide II was obtained in a much lowered yield ( $10 \%$ ) compared to cyclized compound IV ( $72 \%$ ) under the same conditions. The poor reactivity of III was explained by the possible steric hindrance of the methyl substituent adjacent to the terminal alkene and/or from a possible coordination of catalyst with the proximal ester group giving rise to an unproductive chelate chelate complexe. This effect did not occur on substrate $\mathbf{I}$ where terminal olefins are properly distant, avoiding steric effects as well as possible coordination. ${ }^{101}$

In 1997 Fürstner and Müller reported the first example of the synthesis of a 10-membered ring like jasmine ketolactone ( $Z$ and $E$ isomers) by ring closing metathesis. ${ }^{96 f}$ This approach was much more efficient compared to all known reported synthesis involving other macrolactonization methods. ${ }^{102}$ The synthesis depicted in Scheme 3-12 highlights how RCM was used to prepare a 10 -membered ring which, among the medium rings, are considered to be the most difficult to cyclize. ${ }^{103}$


Scheme 3-12 First synthesis of jasmine ketolactone by RCM

A RCM example that could be considered useful for our scope (as proposed in the retrosynthetic approach for myricanol, paragraph 3.1) is offered by the total synthesis of $O$-methyl $-(R)-(+)$-lasiodiplodin described by Fürstner and Kindler. ${ }^{96 a}$ The preparation of this 12 -membered macrolide was accomplished by a quantitative RCM starting from the corresponding allylbenzene precursor VII, followed by a complete hydrogenation of the double bond in the cyclic system VIII. (Scheme 3-13)

[^32]

Scheme 3-13 Synthesis of $O$-methyl-( $R$ )-(+)-lasiodiplodin by RCM

Although medium-size and macrocyclic rings could be forged efficiently by RCM, the resulting olefins are often obtained as mixtures of $E$ and $Z$ isomers with neither predictable nor controllable ratio. This inherent issue constitute a significant drawback in many total synthesis, as it was for example reported from the epothilone case by Nicolaou and co-workers. ${ }^{104}$ Different approaches have been developed to overcome this drawback, for example, through the implementation of ring-closing alkyne metathesis (RCAM) followed by Lindlar or Birch-type reduction to generate stereoopure $Z$ or $E$ macrocycles respectively. ${ }^{79,105}$ Accordingly, a considerable effort has been expanded in the search for metathesis catalysts exhibiting kinetic selectivity. This has resulted in 2011 to the disclosing of the first example of catalyst system capable of performing a $Z$-selective macrocyclic $R C M$ reaction using tungsten to generate the 15 -membered core of nakadomarin A (Scheme 3-14). ${ }^{106}$

[^33]

Scheme 3-14 Total synthesis of nakadomarin A

On the other hand, Grubbs and co-workers and also other research groups, have developed recently a new family of efficient ruthenium-based catalysts that yield $Z$-selective macrocyclization (up to $95 \%$ ) providing simultaneously high TON values. ${ }^{107}$ The same kind of catalysts has been also used to obtain the almost pure $E$-macrocycle by Z-selective ethenolysis of the $E / Z$ mixture. ${ }^{108}$
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## 4 TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF MYRICANOL

### 4.1 PREPARATION OF FRAGMENTS D AND E TYPE

As previously mentioned, we envisioned to tackle the total synthesis of myricanol via two different convergent synthetic routes starting from the same precursors $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$. The preparation of these easily available compounds will allow us to study key-steps such as the intramolecular biarylic C-C coupling leading to $\mathbf{C}$ (path a) or the intramolecular metathesis leading to $\mathbf{B}$ (path b) (see 3.1).

### 4.1.1 Fragments D type retrosynthetic analysis

Building block $\mathbf{D}$ has to be functionalized with specific substituents such as:
$>$ an allylic part on C 6 in order to test the metathesis reaction;
$>$ a halogen/boronic ester on C 4 in order to perform the Suzuki-Miyaura cross coupling (first reaction) or hydrogen considering a cross metathesis as first reaction;
$>$ a protecting group on the phenol.
The building block $\mathbf{D}$ could be obtained through two pathways starting from commercially available 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde using a Wittig-Horner/double bond isomerisation sequence or from 2,3dimethoxybenzaldehyde using a Claisen rearrangement (see Scheme 4-1).


Scheme 4-1 Retrosynthetic approaches to building block D

### 4.1.1.1 First generation strategy: 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde approach

The synthesis of fragment $\mathbf{D}$ commenced with the selective demethylation of 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde in ortho position to aldehyde using $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ in refluxed toluene (Scheme 4-2). The bromination of the resulting phenol $\mathbf{3 9}$ was first performed quantitatively to give the corresponding benzyl ether 40 ( $92 \%$ over two steps). Surprisingly, the corresponding iodination was totally uneffective after several trials and we decided to try a direct iodination of the phenol 39, unfortunately without any success, which ended our efforts to prepare the iodinated aldehyde 41a. Confident of the positive outcome of the previous bromination, we subjected phenol 39 to the same procedure and obtained after subsequent benzylation, aldehyde 41 in 75\% yields over two steps (Scheme 4-2).

a) Benzylbromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, reflux, 5 h; $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) for $41 \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, r.t., 22 h; for $41 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{COOH}$, r.t., 2 d; $b_{B}$ ) for $42 \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, r.t., 2h; for $42 \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, r.t., 6 d; for $42 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{NIS}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CN}$, r.t., 3d;

Scheme 4-2 Functionalization of 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde

According to the observed overall yields we selected the first sequence (path A) to prepare $\mathbf{4 1}$, since it is a more efficient scale up procedure.

Methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate was used as Wittig reagent for the two-carbon homologation of aldehyde 41 to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 43 (Scheme 4-3). Unsaturated ester $\mathbf{4 3}$ was quantitatively reduced into allylic alcohol 44 and transformed into the corresponding acetate 45.

a) $(\mathrm{Ph})_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOMe}, \mathrm{DCM}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$; b) DIBAL, DCM, $\left.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .10 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{c}\right)$ Acetic anhydride, $\left.\mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{d}\right) \mathrm{Pd}_{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{Sml}_{2}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt} \text {. }}$

## Scheme 4-3 Preparation of a brominated D fragment

With the compound $\mathbf{4 5}$ in hand, we applied the conditions described by Mikami et al., ${ }^{109}$ namely the addition of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in the presence of $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ (2.5equiv.) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10equiv.) as proton source. As no trace of isomerisation product 46 was observed, we embarked on the second synthetic sequence which involved a Claisen rearrangement as the decisive step. This second generation approach represented an important shortcut, since fragment $\mathbf{D}$ could be obtained in four steps instead of seven.

[^35]
### 4.1.1.2 Second generation strategy: 2,3-dimethoxy phenol approach

The synthesis commenced with the preparation of 2,3-dimethoxyphenol from the corresponding aldehyde via Dakin oxidation reaction ${ }^{110}$. (Scheme 4-4)


Scheme 4-4 2,3-dimethoxybenzaldehyde oxidation

We proposed two different pathways in which 2,3-dimethoxyphenol could be employed as starting material. As depicted in Scheme 4-5, the starting phenol could be provided by two synthetic pathways.

An approach a in which a direct halogenation on the phenol or on the protected phenol was considered followed by a Williamson etherification (allylation) and a Claisen rearrangement in order to obtain the target fragment D. A second approach b was proposed which consisted to start with an allylation of 2,3dimethoxyphenol followed by a Claisen rearrangement, after protection of the phenol, halogenation would be studied and could give rise to the highly functionalized fragment $\mathbf{D}$.


Scheme 4-5 Towards fragment D via 2,3-dimethoxyphenol

[^36]
### 4.1.1.2.1 Approach a

One more time considering the next key reaction (the cross coupling Suzuki-Miyaura), we envisioned to prepare the iodinated compound.

First of all, we decided to try iodination on 2,3-dimethoxyphenol.
The first conditions used were described by Khalilzadeh, M. A. et al. ${ }^{111}$ who reported the iodination of 2,3dimethoxyphenol in presence of $\mathrm{HIO}_{4} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ affording the para-iodinated phenol 47 a with $75 \%$ yield. Indeed, the possibility to introduce regioselectively the iodine in the para position to the phenol could be very useful for the synthesis of the fragment $\mathbf{D}$ type. We repeated the same experiment, as reported in Table 4-1 entry 1 , and we didn't obtain the desired product $\mathbf{4 7 a}$, only compound $\mathbf{4 7}$ was isolated in $10 \%$ yield. The structure of the compound 47 was confirmed by 1D and 2D NMR experiments, disclosing that the ortho orientation involved by the hydroxyl group is stronger than the methoxyl group. We tried different halogenation conditions and for every attempt $\mathbf{4 7}$ was the only isolated product with moderate to excellent yield depending on the conditions (Table 4-1, entries 2 and 4).


| Entry | Iodination conditions | Yield (47: 47a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{HIO}_{4} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, Dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} 0$, reflux ${ }^{111}$ | $10 \%:-$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | NIS (1.2 equiv.), TsOH, ACN, rt ${ }^{112}$ | $94 \%:-$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | NIS (1.2 equiv.), TFA, ACN, rt ${ }^{113}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(1.2\right.$ equiv.), THF/H2O, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{114}$ | $50 \%:-$ |

Table 4-1 Iodination study on 2,3-dimethoxyphenol

[^37]With the iodide 47 in hand, we tried to introduce the allylic moiety mediated by a palladium catalysed allylation in presence of allylpinacolborane. ${ }^{115}$ Unfortunately, the three different conditions tested for Suzuki reactions failed and only starting material was quantitatively recovered. (Table 4-2)


| Entry | Boronic | Suzuki conditions | Yield48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.5equiv. | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(7 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{KF}(4 \mathrm{equiv}),. \mathrm{THF}(0.1 \mathrm{M}), 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 9 \mathrm{~h}$ | --/s.m. |
| 2 | 1.5 equiv. | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(7 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{KF}(4 \mathrm{equiv}),. \mathrm{THF}(0.1 \mathrm{M}), 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 13 \mathrm{~h}$ | --/s.m. |
| 3 | 1.5equiv. | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(7 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(9$ equiv. $)$, toluene( $(0.05 \mathrm{M}), 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}$ | --/s.m. |

## Table 4-2 Suzuki-Miyaura reaction on 4-iodo-2,3-dimethoxyphenol

Considering the literature, we suggested that in order to lead iodination in the para position of 2,3dimethoxyphenol, we have to protect the free OH with different bulky protecting groups such as an acetyl group (49) or a more bulky tert-butyldiphenylsilyl group (50) (Table 4-3). On the protected products 49 and 50, prepared in classical conditions, iodination was performed (Table 4-3, entries 1 and 2). Thus with NIS in slightly acid condition, the desired iodinated compound 49a and 50a were obtained with respectively $99 \%$ and $79 \%$ yield. At this stage it was difficult to determine the regioselectivity of the iodination, that's why the compounds have to be deprotected. Deprotection of 49a and 50a gave rise to 47, showing that even with a very bulky protecting group such as TBDPS, the iodination occured preferentially at position 6 compared to 4 .

[^38]

Table 4-3 Para-iodination attempts on protected phenols 49 and 50

We continued our investigation taking into account the results reported by De Rossi and coworkers which described halogenation in presence of $\beta$-cyclodextrin in apolar solvent at room temperature. Indeed $\beta$ cyclodextrin could include in its hydrophobic cavity the phenol ring and could allow a possible regioselective halogenation depending from the disposition of phenol inside the truncated cone of $\beta$ cyclodextrin ${ }^{116}$ (Figure 4-1).


Figure 4-1 Iodination in presence of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-cyclodextrin

The employed new conditions are depicted in Scheme 4-6. Unfortunately, these conditions did not afford the expected para-regioisomer 47a, the only recollected product was the isomer 47.

[^39]

Scheme 4-6 Iodination in presence of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-cyclodextrin

### 4.1.1.2.2 Approach b (Claisen rearrangement)

In approach $b$ the phenol was protected as an allyl ether, in order to use this protecting group in a subsequent regioselective Claisen rearrangement reaction, to install the allyl function in position 6 of 2,3dimethoxyphenol. (Scheme 4-7)


Scheme 4-7 Allylation and Claisen rearrangement approach

Allylation of phenol with allylbromide in presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base and acetone as the solvent afforded after 5 h the corresponding allyl ether $\mathbf{5 1}$ with a quantitative yield. On the substrate $\mathbf{5 1}$ Claisen rearrangement was tried. Several conditions were experimented and as emerge in the Table 4-4 the obtention of 48 as only product hasn't been easy.

Before doing some comments about the results obtained in the Claisen rearrangement, in the next pages we will describe with more details this reaction due to the importance in our synthesis.

## $\underline{\text { Claisen rearrangement }}$

Ludwig Claisen decscribed for the first time in 1912 the thermal rearrangement of allylphenol ethers to the corresponding C-allylphenols ${ }^{117}$, providing a first example of [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement. This reaction can be described as a suprafacial, concerted [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement that proceed via six membered chairlike transition state, formed by a combination of $\sigma$ and $\pi$ overlap of 2 p atomic orbitals belonging to the carbon atoms of both allyl fragments involved.(Scheme 4-8)


Scheme 4-8 Claisen rearrangement transition state

[^40]The elucidation of mechanism for $O$-arylallylphenol arise from experiments led with ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$-labeled allyl phenol ether ${ }^{118}$ that demonstrated the presence of labelled carbon on the benzylic position after the formation of new $\sigma$-bond (Scheme 4-9). If on the allylarylether both ortho-positions are substituted, but not necessarily, the allyl group could undergo a secondary rearrangement (Cope rearrangement) to afford the corresponding para-allylphenol. ${ }^{119}$



$$
R=H \text { or substituent group }
$$

Scheme 4-9 Ortho and para aromatic Claisen rearrangement

Further studies have also clarified that the Claisen rearrangement occurs in an intramolecular way. Indeed, heating separately and simultaneously the substrate $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (Scheme 4-10) the final products were the same in both cases. There was no evidence of crossover products formation $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{6}$ and this indicates that rearrangement must be intramolecular. ${ }^{120}$


Scheme 4-10 Evidence of intramolecular mechanism Claisen rearrangement

[^41]As reported in numerous rewiews ${ }^{121}$ on Claisen rearrangement, the reaction could be catalyzed by Lewis ${ }^{122}$ and Brønsted ${ }^{123}$ acid, by bases, ${ }^{124}$ by thermal conditions ${ }^{125}$, by microwave ${ }^{126}$, by zeolites ${ }^{127}$, as reported in Scheme 4-11.


Scheme 4-11 Claisen rearrangement catalysis

Taking into account literature concerning Claisen rearrangement and considering that this reaction was never performed before on substrate 51, we carried out a screening of possible conditions as reported in the following table.

[^42]

| Entry | Claisen conditions | Conversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (48: 48a) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMF ( 0.13 M ), oil bath, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | s.m. | - |
| 2 | DMF ( 0.8 M ), MW (330W), $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h | 5\% | -: |
| 3 | $N, N$-dimethylaniline, oil bath, $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25 \mathrm{~h}$ | 100\% | 65:35 |
| 4 | $N, N$-diethylaniline, oil bath, $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ | 100\% | 65:35 |
| 5 | NMP, oil bath, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ | s.m. | -:- |
| 6 | neat, MW (330W), $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h | 31\% | 71:29 |
| 7 | neat, heat gun, 1h | 95\% | 65:35 |
| 8 | neat, oil bath, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | 95\% | 67:33 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{AcOH}(0.06 \mathrm{M})$, oil bath, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$ | s.m. | -: |
| 10 | DIBAL, DCM, rt, 1h, 3.5h | s.m. | - : |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$, hexane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1,5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 100\% | 100 : - |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$, hexane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1,5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 100\% | 100:- |

Table 4-4 Claisen rearrangement conditions

We started our test with classical thermal conditions using DMF ${ }^{122}$ (entry 1 ) as solvent heating the reaction mixture in oil bath (entry 1) or with microwaves (entry 2 ) ${ }^{126}$ but no rearrangement occurred in these conditions. Using tertiary aromatic amines as solvent (entry 3 and 4) as reported by Baker A. W. and Shulgin A. T., ${ }^{128}$ we observed the complete conversion of starting material. Unfortunately the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra showed a mixture of two inseparable regioisomers in which the major compound was the ortho rearranged 48. The use of $N$-methylpyrrolidone ${ }^{129}$ as the solvent allowed to recuperate only starting material (entry 5). As observed and as described in literature, solvent appeared as an important factor that could influence the rearrangement reaction. ${ }^{130}$ We decided to use $O$-allylphenol in neat ${ }^{131}$ form, changing just the heating source (entry 6, 7, 8). MW conditions gave a better regioisomeric ratio between 48 and 48a, but the

[^43]conversion of starting material was lower. On the contrary the other two reactions performed using the heat gun and the oil bath respectively, gave a total starting material's conversion and with an average rapport of 66:34 between the two possible products. Conditions with a Brønsted acid ${ }^{132}$ were tried (entry 9) but none rearranged product was observed. Diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL) ${ }^{121 \mathrm{~b}}$ was also employed and again only 51 was recovered at the end of reaction (entry 10). Finally the attempts made with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ gave the complete conversion of $\mathbf{5 1}$ to the only desired regioisomer $\mathbf{4 8}$. ${ }^{133}$

The coordination of aluminum to the oxygen bearing the allyl group allowed to obtain a partially positive charge that favored the concerted mechanism of Claisen rearrangement increasing the rate of the reaction. We need to combine a decrease of the reaction temperature to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the hydrolysis of the reaction after 1.5 h to avoid the second para-rearrangement which could led to the product 48a. The reaction catalyzed by $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ or $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ proceed with the evolution of ethane or methane depending from the aluminum source employed. ${ }^{122,134}$

Moreover a crucial point of this rearrangement is to perform the acid hydrolysis at low temperature (maximum $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (entries 11 and 12). Indeed if the temperature reach higher values, a by-product due to an ortho-demethoxylation 48b was formed in a mixture 1:1 with the expected compound 48. It is important to note that these two compounds are easily separated by column chromatography on silica gel (Scheme 4-12).


Scheme 4-12 Claisen rearrangement with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$

With the optimized conditions for Claisen rearrangement on our substrate we were able to prepare compound 48 in only 3 steps (from 2,3-dimethoxybenzaldehyde to 2,3-dimethoxyallylphenol) with an overall yield of $97 \%$.

[^44]With the idea to prepare the iodinated or brominated phenol 48, we investigated chemo and regioselective halogenation conditions. Classical reagents used as iodine source, NIS and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ were tested in different quantities for the iodination step on the phenol 48. The results of iodination are reported in the following table. (Table 4-5)


| Entry* | Iodination conditions | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) | T (h) | 52 (\%) | 53 (\%) | 54 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {a }}$ | NIS (1equiv.), | 0 | 3 | 0 | 45 | 0 |
| $2^{\text {a }}$ | NIS (2equiv | 0 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 0 |
| $3^{\text {a,c }}$ | NIS (3.5equiv.) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 86 | 0 |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (2equiv.), $i$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ (4equiv.) | rt | 5 | 60 | 0 | 27 |
| $5^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (2equiv), $i$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ (4equiv.) | rt | 3 | 71 | 0 | 3 |
| $6^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1.5equiv), $i$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ (3equiv.) | 0 | 5 | 50 | 0 | 7 |
| $7{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (2equiv), $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ (4equiv.) | rt | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $8^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (2equiv), $t$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ (4equiv.) | rt | 5 | 90 | 0 | 4 |

* regioisomeric ratio evaluated by GC-MS
a solvent $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$
b solvent: toluene, DCM
c. NIS was also remplaced by NBS, but polybrominated products were observed by GC-MS


## Table 4-5 Iodination on 2,3-dimethoxy-6-allylphenol

Surprisingly, iodination at low temperature performed with NIS afforded the undesirable dihydrobenzofuran 53 which could be easily separated from the unreacted starting material 48 (entries 13). The formation of this compound could be rationalyzed by the formation of the iodonium species attacked by the phenol (intramolecular cyclisation). No other products are observed.

In order to see the reactivity of NBS in the same conditions of entry 3 , this reaction was tested but led to a complex mixture of mono or polybrominated compounds, detected by GC-MS analysis (Table 4-5). Surprisingly, the cyclised compound was not formed that showed a strong difference of reactivity for NBS compared to NIS.

When the reaction was carried out with molecular iodine in presence of amine and toluene we observed a really different result from which obtained with NIS. The reaction was performed adding dropwise a solution of phenol 48 in DCM to a mixture of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, iso-butylamine $\left(i-\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}\right)$ and toluene giving preferentially 52 (entry 4). That's means that in these conditions, we were able to introduce in a chemo and regioselective way the iodine on the para-phenol position, leaving allylic function completely untouched. This was a very encouraging and also unexpected result, if we compare the trend of our reaction to that reported from Brookes P. A. et al. ${ }^{135}$ The reaction stopped after 5 h , revealing the formation of products $52(60 \%)$ and $\mathbf{5 4}$ $(27 \%)$ with $13 \%$ of unreacted starting material 48. The ratio of products was evaluated by GC-MS, considering that the unreacted phenol $\mathbf{4 8}$ and the halogenated substrate $\mathbf{5 2}$ were unseparable by column chromatography. With the aim to obtain a better yielf for 52, we repeated the reaction in the conditions reported in entries 5-8. In entry 5 we observed that, stopping the reaction after 3 h the formation of diiodinated product 54 was reduced. This suggests that the formation of $\mathbf{5 4}$ starts only when the concentration of the kinetically favoured iodinated compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ is maximal, that's means that the iodocyclization occurred on the already iodinated substrate $\mathbf{5 2}$ and not on the cyclic iodo-derivative 53. This could explain why product $\mathbf{5 3}$ was never observed. We realized that the use of diiso-propylamine ( $i$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ) was completely unsuccessful (entry 7 , only starting material was recollected). On the contrary tertbutylamine, $t$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ (entry 8) allowed to observe a quite completely selective iodination affording substrate 52 in an excellent conversion.

During the reaction there is an in-situ formation of a charge-transfer complex in which amine acts as donor and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ as acceptor. This complex, stabilized in toluene, constitutes the reacting iodinating species. ${ }^{135,136}$ During the reaction the amine $-\mathrm{I}_{2}$ complex was probably coordinated by the free OH of the phenol $\mathbf{4 8}$. We have no evidence of the mechanism involved in this process, but experimentally we observed that if the iodination reaction was tried on the protected phenol 55 (Scheme 4-13), in the same conditions reported in entry 5 of Table 4-5, none iodinated product was observed, neither on the aromatic ring nor on the allylic chain.

[^45]

Scheme 4-13 Iodination on a protected phenol with amine- $I_{2}$ complex

In order to separate the desired iodinated 52 from the mixture with 48 and 54 (Table 4-5), we performed a protection of the phenolic part. We were pleased to observe that the different iodinated ethers with a OMe (56), OMOM (57) or OBn (58) were efficiently separated from the other products and were obtained with moderate to good yield over two steps. Scheme 4-14.


Scheme 4-14 Protection of iodinated phenol 52

Compounds 56, $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 8}$ constitute three important fragments that will be used to carry out the total synthesis of myricanol.

To follow up the dihydrobenzofuran $\mathbf{5 3}$, we investigated a successfull derivation to diiodinated compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ via classical iodination conditions followed by the preparation of a pinacol boronic ester 54a by a palladium catalysed reaction.


Scheme 4-15 Functionalization of iodocyclized product 53

Scheme 4-15 illustrates how product 53 was additionally functionalized. First of all we tried to introduce another iodine to be transformed in a boronic derivative for an intermolecular biaryl coupling. Using $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in presence of AgOTf, product $\mathbf{5 4}$ was obtained with only $50 \%$ yield. When the essay of Pd-catalyzed borylation was done only trace of product 54a was formed. Therefore thinking that the formation of dihydrobenzofuran $\mathbf{5 3}$ could be considered as a "protecting group" of the allylic double bond, we tried to open the dihydrofuryl ring to restore the allylic moiety. ${ }^{137}$ We tried different reaction conditions on compound 53: the neat product stirred under a tungsten lamp of 100 W ; UV irradiation at $310 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ as Lewis acid. Only when $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ was employed (as reported in the Scheme 4-15) the cycle was opened and 48 was obtained in a quite quantitative yield.

In this approach before starting the study of the key Claisen rearrangement of allylic ether 51 (Table 4-4 and Scheme 4-12), we tried also to perform the halogenation of 51. An essay of iodination was done in presence of NIS with a catalytic amount of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), but after 18h, only unreacted starting product 51 was recovered quantitatively. (Scheme 4-16)



59


Scheme 4-16 Iodination on 1-(allyloxy)-2,3-dimethoxybenzene 51

[^46]Similar conditions (NBS, TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) were used to try the introduction of bromine on the aromatic ring of 1-(allyloxy)-2,3-dimethoxybenzene. Surprisingly, these conditions afforded two unseparable monobrominated regioisomers $\mathbf{6 0}$ and 60a in a ratio of 50:50.


Scheme 4-17 Bromination on the 1-(allyloxy)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol

Unfortunately, even if the reaction was tried at lower temperature $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, in order to see if the regioisomer 60a could be fomed at first during the halogenation, the ratio observed between the brominated compounds 60 an 60a was rigourously similar (50:50) with a decrease of the yield.

### 4.1.2 Conclusion on the $D$ type fragments

Through the different approaches tested for the preparation of $\mathbf{D}$ type's fragments, we can conclude that the 2,3-dimethoxyphenol was the best starting substrate. Effectively, when synthesis was performed with the 2,3-dimethoxyphenol (commercially available substrate) we are able to prepare in only 4 steps considering the approach b the key fragments 56, 57 and 58. (Scheme 4-18). These synthons will be very useful for total synthesis of myricanol, especially for an intermolecular biaryl coupling approach. Moreover, we could also consider the fragment-48 as a good myricanol precursor, taking into account that it could be involved in a cross-metathesis reaction with a fragment $\mathbf{E}$ type. A halogenation step could be planned in a second time.


Scheme 4-18 Summary of fragment $D$ synthesis

The derivatization of allyl iodinated compounds $\mathbf{5 6}, \mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 8}$ to the corresponding boronic species for the study of biaryl coupling reaction will be presented in the section relative to Suzuki coupling.

### 4.1.3 Fragments E type retrosynthetic analysis

The synthesis of fragments $\mathbf{E}$ could be envisioned starting from commercially available 3-(4hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid. For further coupling reaction on this starting product, three different transformations should be realized. Indeed, as depicted in Scheme 4-19, considering the 3-(4hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid as starting point, we have to protect the phenol (blue color), in some instance to introduce an halogen in ortho position to the hydroxyl group (red color) and to transform the carboxylic acid in a more reactive function (green color) as aldehyde or Weinreb amide that could be used to obtain fragment $\mathbf{E}$. The order in which we achieved these three reactions could be different as shown in Scheme 4-19. In addition the presence of a halogen or not will depend by which biaryl coupling reaction we will perform.

Moreover in order to perform a enantioselective synthesis of myricanol, we will have to obtain fragment $\mathbf{E}$ in optically pure form using stereoselective methodologies.


Scheme 4-19 Functionalization of 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propanoic acid

The $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments selected as precursor of myricanol have as common skeleton, a disubstituted phenol bearing in para position a chain of six carbon's atom and in ortho an halogen or a boronic species. In the following scheme are reported the retrosynthetic approaches considered for the preparation of the desired fragments E. 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid should be transformed either in an aldehyde $\mathbf{E}_{1}$ or a Weinreb amide $\mathbf{E}_{2}$ allowing the coupling with respectively an allylborane and an allylGrignard.


Scheme 4-20 Retrosynthetic approaches for E type's fragments

Due to the numerous possibilities to combine the order of functionalization of starting propanoic acid (Scheme 4-19), we divided the reactions performed in two groups. First group of reactions will concern the preparation of $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments without introduction of the halogen in ortho position of the hydroxyl group (Scheme 4-21), second group will concern the halogenated $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments (Scheme 4-22).

### 4.1.3.1 E type's fragments without halogen

The preparation of fragments E without halogen (Scheme 4-21) started from commercially available 3-(4hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid, which was transformed following two different strategies.

The first strategy consist in converting the starting carboxylic acid into the Weinreb amide 61, that was obtained with a $47 \%$ yield. ${ }^{138}$ The amide $\mathbf{6 1}$ was then protected at the phenol position and the benzylic ether 62 was achieved in good yield ( $80 \%$ ). In addition compound 62 can also be synthesized through the methyl ester 64 obtained in a quantitative way from the starting propionic acid. The hydroxyl group was protected as a benzyl ( Bn ) or methoxymethyl (MOM) ether to afford $\mathbf{6 5}$ and $\mathbf{6 6}$ in excellent yields. We observed also that during the MOM protection, if reaction time exceeded 6h, a transesterification occurred in which the methyl group was replaced by the methoxymethyl group to give $\mathbf{6 8}$ in $60 \%$ yield. Moreover the dibenzylated compound $\mathbf{6 7}$ was directly obtained performing a classical benzylation of the starting propionic acid with BnBr allowing to protect not only the phenol, but also the carboxylic acid in a quantitative way. The protected esters $(65,66,68)$ were transformed into the corresponding aldehydes 69 and 70 via ester reduction with DIBAL in dichloromethane. This reduction gave always mixtures of the aldehyde and the corresponding primary alcohol (50/50) that were completely oxidized to the aldehyde with Dess-Martin

[^47]periodinane. Otherwise, protected esters 65 and 68 were converted to Weinreb amide 62 with an average yield of $63 \%$.

Starting from the Weinreb amide 62, addition of the allylmagnesium bromide in THF afforded the $\beta, \gamma-$ unsaturated ketone 63 in $50 \%$ yield while addition of allyl magnesium bromide or allylpinacolborane to the aldehydes $\mathbf{6 9}$ or $\mathbf{7 0}$ gave the corresponding homoallylic alcohols $\mathbf{7 1}$ and $\mathbf{7 2}$ in respectively $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 8 \%}$ and 68\% yield. The yields obtained were quite comparable and even if allylpinacolborane gave better yield, on large scale allylmagnesium bromide was preferred due to its lower cost.

If we compared the overall yield for the synthesis of $\mathbf{6 3}$ and 71, the strategies through the methylester $\mathbf{6 4}$ are undoubtedly more efficient giving on one hand the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 63 in $32 \%$ overall yield in 4 steps (against $19 \%$ in 3 steps through the Weinreb amide) and on the other hand the homoallylic alcohols 71 in $60,4 \%$ overall yield in 4 steps (against $23 \%$ in 4 steps through the Weinreb amide). In addition the homoallylic alcohol 72 was obtained in lower overall yield $(26,5 \%)$ showing different reactivities with the MOM protected ether.

Importantly is the isomerization of $\mathbf{6 3}$ through flash column chromatography giving the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketone even though $10 \%$ of thiethylamine $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ is added to the elution solvent. For this reason 63 would be used in a crude form in the subsequent reaction.


Scheme 4-21 E type's fragments without halogen

### 4.1.3.2 E type's fragments with halogen

As depicted in Scheme 4-22, multiple ways to prepare the desired halogenated fragments $\mathbf{E}$ were tried, showing the versatility with which these intermediates can be managed. Moreover, because the principal aim of this thesis is the total synthesis of a natural product, we tried different pathways to prepare the same substrate as effectively as possible. As for the fragments $\mathbf{E}$ without halogen, we considered the protection of the phenol as a benzyl (Bn) and a methoxymethyl (MOM) ether and the essays of halogenation were done with the aim to introduce iodine and bromine.

a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1h; b) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, 2 h ; c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOCH}_{3} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AlMe}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, reflux, 20h; d) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, NaI , acetone, reflux, 4 h , e) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}{ }_{3}, \mathrm{Nal}$, acetone, reflux, 4 h , or MOMCI, DIPEA, DCM, 6 h ; f) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOCH}_{3} \mathrm{HCl}$, AIMe ${ }_{3}$, DCM, reflux, 20 h ; g) DIBAL, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ then DMP, DCM, r.t, 2 h ; h) allylMgBr or allylpinacolborane, THF, rt; i) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaI}$, acetone, reflux, 4 h or MOMCI, DIPEA, DCM, $6 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{j}$ ) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$, 3 h or $\mathrm{NBS}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}^{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 8 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathbf{k}$ ) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOCH} 3 \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AlMe} \mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, reflux, 20h; I) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, DCM, $3 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathbf{m}$ ) allylMgBr, THF, rt, $5 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathbf{n}$ ) DIBAL, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

Considering the high number of intersection of different paths (Scheme 4-22), all reactions done will be not described in detail, but for each final product we will described the easiest and efficient route. For the synthesis of 71a bearing an iodide in ortho position of the benzylic ether, six possible pathways can be followed. Analyzing the overall yield obtained for each sequence of reactions, we realized that path 5 (Table 4-6) is the most efficient.

| Path | Sequence | Overall yield (71a) | $\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$ steps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{h}$ | $19.6 \%$ | 6 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}^{*}, \mathrm{~h}$ | $30.6 \%$ | 6 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{h}$ | $34.4 \%$ | 6 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ | $33.9 \%$ | 6 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{h}$ | $38.7 \%$ | 6 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{h}$ | $33.9 \%$ | 6 |
|  | $* 2$ steps reduction and oxidation |  |  |

Table 4-6 Possible paths for the synthesis of 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (71a)

The details of this sequence are illustrated in the following Scheme 4-23. Path 5 involved a starting Fischer esterification giving 64, the synthesis of the benzylic ether $\mathbf{6 5}$ using BnBr and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base, the halogenation with $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in ortho-position to obtained the aryliodide $\mathbf{6 5 a}$, the transformation of the methylester into the corresponding Weinreb amide 62a in presence of $N, O-\mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{HCl}$. This amide was then reduced into the aldehyde 69a which was treated whit allylpinacolborane affording the desired homoallylic alcohol 71a in an overall yield of $38.7 \%$ in 6 steps.

a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; i) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, NaI , acetone, reflux, 4 h ; j) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, 2 h ; f) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOCH}_{3} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AlMe} 3$, DCM , reflux; n) DIBAL, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; h) allylpinacolborane, THF, rt, 4h.

Scheme 4-23 Best path for the synthesis of 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (71a)

Considering the preparation of the iodinated phenol protected with MOM 72a, the best overall yield was obtained using a different strategy as for the synthesis of 71a. Indeed, as shown in Scheme 4-24 it was necessary to introduce first iodine to give $\mathbf{6 4 a}$ and then the protecting methoxymethyl group, in order to
avoid the deprotection of the MOM ether during the iodination. ${ }^{139}$ The protected iodinated ester 66a was then reduced with DIBAL ${ }^{140}$ giving as above a $50: 50$ mixture of alcohol and aldehyde detected by GC-MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The mixture was oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane to afford in a quantitative yield the aldehyde 70a which in a crude form was used with allylmagnesium bromide. The final desired fragment 72a was achieved with an overall yield of $22.9 \%$ in 6 steps.

a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; b) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; e) MOMCI, DIPEA, DCM, rt, $6 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{g}$ ) DIBAL, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; then DMP, DCM, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{h}$ ) allylmagnesium bromide, THF, rt, 4h.

Scheme 4-24 Best path for the synthesis of 1-(3-iodo-4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (72a)

Besides the iodinated fragments we worked also on the preparation of a brominated one, which will be useful for further and subsequent reactions to complete the total synthesis. In the Scheme 4-25 is reported the sequence of reactions to prepare the brominated homoallylic alcohol 71b.

a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; i) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, reflux, 4 h ; j) NBS, TFA, $\mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$; g) DIBAL, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; then DMP, DCM, $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; h ) allylmagnesium bromide, THF, $\mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme 4-25 Preparation of 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (71b)

[^48]The brominated compound 71b was obtained using exactly the same strategy as for the synthesis of the iodinated compound 72a except the protection as a benzyl ether and the brominated step instead of the iodination giving 71b in an overall yield of $29.9 \%$ in 6 steps. The special characteristic of 71b is that it has a flower's smell compared to the odorless iodinated 71a.

Considering now the preparation of the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 63a different routes were used, as illustrated in Table 4-7. Path 3 represents the most efficient one, affording the desired product in 5 steps and $33.6 \%$ of yield.

| Path | Sequence | Overall yield (63a) | $\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$ steps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | a,b,c,d,m | $17.0 \%$ | 5 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | a,b,e,f,m | $29.9 \%$ | 5 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | a,i,j,f,m | $33.6 \%$ | 5 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | a,i,k,l,m | $29.68 \%$ | 5 |

Table 4-7 Possible paths for the synthesis of 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hex-5-en-3-one (63a)

The strategy employed is depicted in the following Scheme 4-26 showing the same sequence of reactions as in Scheme 4-23, except the last step which is the addition of allylmagnesium bromide directly to the Weinreb amide 62a with a complete conversion of the starting material but the column chromatography purification allow to isolate the desired fragment $\mathbf{6 3 a}$ with only $50 \%$ yield.

a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 1 h ; i) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaI}$, acetone, reflux, 4 h ; j) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, 2 h ; f) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOCH} 3 \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AlMe} 3, \mathrm{DCM}$, reflux; m ) allylmagnesium bromide, THF, rt, 4h.

Scheme 4-26 Best path for the synthesis of 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hex-5-en-3-one (63a)

### 4.1.3.3 Enantioselective allylations

The total synthesis of myricanol should be envisioned also in a enantioselective way controlling the stereogenic carbinol as well as the axial chirality of the biaryl. In this context we developed a stereoselective synthesis of fragment $\mathbf{E}$ through the enantioselective allylation of the aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ bearing a benzyl ether.

The enantioselective allylation of aldehydes represents one of the most investigated reaction to obtain chiral homoallylic alcohols ${ }^{141}$, useful building blocks for the synthesis of more complex molecules as well as natural products. ${ }^{142}$

The first example of the enantioselective synthesis of homoallylic alcohols was reported by R. W. Hoffman in 1978, he studied the reaction between aliphatic aldehydes and (+)-camphor allylboronic ester derivatives. ${ }^{143}$ After this report, other research groups worked on this very useful reaction. ${ }^{141}$ Importantly, H.C. Brown, for example, reported the application of $\beta$-allyldiisopinocamphenylborane ${ }^{144}$ to the enantioselective allylation with excellent enantioselectivities. Besides W.R. Roush examined the reaction of diisopropyltartrate allylboronate derivatives with aldehydes. ${ }^{145}$ Compared to allylborane derivatives, also allylstannanes ${ }^{146}$ and allylsilanes ${ }^{147}$ have proven to be very efficient reagents in the enantioselective allylation of aldehydes. Lewis acid (Sakurai and Hosomi) ${ }^{148}$ and Lewis base (Denmark ${ }^{149}$ and Kobayashi ${ }^{150}$ ) catalyzed enantioselective allylations with organosilicate intermediates. Moreover, with the

[^49]development of organotitanium chemistry, a series of enantioselective allyltitanation were also described (Hafner and Cossy). ${ }^{151}$

In the following schemes are briefly reported enantioselective methodologies for allylation that will be also used for our synthetic purpose.


Scheme 4-27 Methodologies for enantioselective allylations

[^50]
### 4.1.3.3.1 Allylation with sulfoxide as chiral auxiliary

To perform the enantioselective allylation on 3-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)propanal 69, we choose at first the methodology reported by Massa A. et $a l^{152}$ in which the allylation of an aldehyde was undergone in presence of a chiral sulfoxide as a Lewis base and allyltrichlorosilane. As reported in Scheme 4-27, confition $\mathbf{F}$, the enantiomeric excess of the homoallylic alcohols prepared with this methodology remains moderate (not exceed $60 \% e e$ ).The detailed study of the reaction conditions from the authors showed that the use of $p$ tolylmethylsulfoxide in presence of diisopropylethylamine allowed to avoid the rapid decomposition of the sulfoxide and so the inhibition of the allylation. Moreover, mechanism of this reaction was investigated ${ }^{153}$ combining kinetic measurements, conductivity analysis and quantum chemical calculations. These studies indicated that the reaction proceeds through a dissociative pathway in which an octahedral cationic complex with two sulfoxides is involved (TS) and that the lack of turnover is due to the formation of neutral sulfurane derivatives (Scheme 4-28).


## Scheme 4-28 Mechanism proposed by Massa et al. for the enantioselective allylation with a chiral sulfoxide

Despite the moderate $e e$ reported for this reaction we decided to try the conditions reported by Massa et al. considering that they were never applied to aldehyde such as 69. As depicted in Scheme 4-29, the reaction was stopped after 51 h to obtain only $9 \%$ of desired product with a moderate enantiomeric excess ( $60 \% e e$ ).

[^51]

Scheme 4-29 Enantioselective allylation with chiral sulfoxide

Unfortunately, these conditions didn't afford good results in term of yield and enantiomeric excess. For this reason we didn't investigate further this methodology and we tried the wellknown enantioselective Brown's allylation.

### 4.1.3.3.2 Brown's allylation

Brown asymmetric allylation is one of the most frequently used enantioselective allylation in literature. Ballyldiisopinocamphenylborane $\left(\mathrm{Ipc}_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ has been already used on the aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ to obtain the corresponding pure homoallylic alcohol in high yield and enantiomeric excess. ${ }^{154}$


yield: 74\%
86\% ee

Scheme 4-30 Brown enantioselective allylation

As reported in Scheme 4-30, the (-)-B-allyldiisopinocamphenylborane was initially prepared at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from allylmagnesium bromide and (-)-chlorobis(\{2,6,6-trimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]heptan-3-yl\})borane. To this solution cooled at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise the aldehyde 69 and after 2 h of reaction, the desired $(R)$ homoallylic alcohol $(R)$ - $\mathbf{7 1}$ was obtained with in $74 \%$ yield and $86 \% e e$.

[^52]
### 4.1.3.3.3 Roush allylation

Roush allylation was also tried on aldehyde 69. In a first step $(R, R)$-diisopropyltartrate allylboronate was formed in presence of commercial allylmagnesium bromide, triisopropylborate and and (+)-diisopropyl Ltartrate $\left[(+)\right.$-L-DIPT] ${ }^{155}$ (Scheme 4-31).

allylmagnesium bromide
triisopropylborate
-
( $R, R$ )-diisopropyltartrate allylboronate

Scheme 4-31 ( $R, R$ )-diisopropyltartrate allylboronate preparation

To the crude ( $R, R$ )-diisopropyltartrate allylboronate treated with 4-Å molecular sieves in toluene, was added dropwise a solution of 3-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)propanal 69 in toluene. The reaction stirred for 5h gave after purification the desired ( $R$ )-71 with $64 \%$ yield and $78 \%$ ee (Scheme 4-32).


Scheme 4-32 Roush enantioselective allylation

As the results with Roush's methodology were not satisfying, we decided to use the efficient allyltitanation reported by J. Cossy.

[^53]
### 4.1.3.3.4 Enantioselective allyltitanation

Enantioselective allyltitanation of aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ was already described with allyltributylstannane in presence of BINOL $/(i-\mathrm{PrO})_{4} \mathrm{Ti}^{156}$ or $\left[1,1^{\prime}-\right.$ Binaphthalene $]-2,2^{\prime}-$ diol $/(i-\mathrm{PrO})_{4} \mathrm{Ti}^{157}$.

Cossy et $a l$. reported the efficient enantioselective allyltitanation with the $(S, S)$ Duthaler-Hafner reagent on a differently protected aldehyde 69 (TBS instead of Bn ). ${ }^{158}$

In our case allylmagnesium bromide with $(R, R)$ Duthaler-Hafner reagent in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and after the formation of the allyltitanium species, aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ was added. Purification of crude afforded the desired $(R)-71$ with a very good yield ( $70 \%$ ) and an excellent enantiomeric excess ( $90 \%$ ee).


Scheme 4-33 Enantioselective allyltitanation

[^54]
### 4.1.4 Synthesis of fragments 73 and 74 bearing an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone or alcohol

After the preparation of E type's fragments, useful as precursors of myricanol, we tried to prepare using the same methodology the fragments bearing an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{7 3}$ or alcohol $\mathbf{7 4}$ that could give access to analogues of myricanol.

We employed Weinreb amide 62 and aldehyde 69 (whose preparation has been already described) in reaction with vinylmagnesium bromide to afford the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 73 and the allylic alcohol 74 (Scheme 4-34).

a) DIBAL, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; b) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{c}$ ) vinylmagnesium bromide, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF, 3 h .

Scheme 4-34 Synthesis of fragments 73 and 74

The product $\mathbf{7 3}$ and $\mathbf{7 4}$ were obtained with acceptable yields ( $72 \%$ and $44 \%$ respectively and considering the last synthesis step) and due to their 5 atom carbon's chain, they constitute synthetic precursors of linear diarylhexanoid compounds which could be obtained by a cross metathesis reaction with allyl benzene derivatives (see 4.2.1).

### 4.1.5 Conclusion on the synthesis of E type fragments

In the following figure are reported all the advanced $\mathbf{E}$ type fragments that we prepared starting from the starting common substrate 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid.

All these fragments constitute important synthetic precursors of myricanol or their analogues.


Scheme 4-35 Fragments E type summary

### 4.2 PATH A: MACROCYCLIZATION BY BIARYL FORMATION

As illustrated above, the $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ fragments, useful for the total synthesis of myricanol, were prepared and we are now able to couple them.

Considering the retrosynthetic approach discussed on paragraph 3.1, the $\mathbf{D}$ type and $\mathbf{E}$ type fragments could be involved in two different paths: the first one in which they are used to form first the linear chain (path a) and the second one in which the prepared fragments are used to install first the biaryl core of myricanol (path b).

Now, we will focus our attention on the "path a" that is depicted in the Scheme 4-36. From this path we expected to obtain myricanol from the macrocylization to [7,0]-metacyclophane $\mathbf{A}$, involving a biaryl coupling of linear diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{C}$, which would be obtained from cross-metathesis reaction of fragments D and E.


Scheme 4-36 Path a= intramolecular macrocyclization and cross-metathesis

The formation of carbon-carbon double bonds by olefin metathesis is among the most powerful and applicable synthetic tool of modern organic chemistry. ${ }^{159}$ In particular, cross-metathesis (CM) reactions which can be formally described as the intermolecular mutual exchange of alkylidene (or carbene) fragments between two olefins promoted by ruthenium-based catalysts, have been widely utilized in the synthesis of natural products. ${ }^{160}$ As in the case of most transformations, the two most important questions concerning any CM reaction are those of efficiency and selectivity. The goal is to achieve high yields of the cross-product with minimal amount of competiting dimerization products. In the majority of CM reactions (particularly when the produced olefin is an intermediate of a total synthesis) $E / Z$ selectivity is

[^55]also a crucial issue. ${ }^{106}$ Alongside their efforts, many research groups contributed, since decades, to the discovery of new and more efficient catalysts, in term of activity, selectivity and tolerance to different functional groups.

The coming paragraph will give a detailed description of our efforts and extensive experimentation to this reaction as an efficient tool for the preparation of our coupling precursor $\mathbf{C}$.

### 4.2.1 PREPARATION OF LINEAR DIARYLHEPTANOIDS BY CROSS-METATHESIS REACTION

Considering the Grubbs classification of terminal olefins (paragraph 3.3.1) and the keys fragments identified for the total synthesis of myricanol, we can classify the allylbenzene derivatives $\mathbf{D}$ as a type I olefin and the homoallylic alcohols $\mathbf{E}$ as a type II olefin, both of them generally exhibiting a good CM reactivity. Since the cross-metathesis partners chosen for the formation of the linear chain belong to different classes, the use of an excess of the easily homodimerizable type I (olefin $\mathbf{D}$ fragment) compared to the less homodimerizable type II (olefin $\mathbf{E}$ fragment) is mandatory.

The well-known good reactivity of homoallylic alcohols in cross-metathesis justified their wide use as intermediates in total synthesis. For example Cossy and BouzBouz reported the employment of free homoallylic alcohols in the frame of preparation of C1-C14 fragment of amphidinol 3, ${ }^{161}$ for the total synthesis of (+)-preussin ${ }^{162}$ or for the total synthesis of (-)-centrolobine ${ }^{163}$ (Scheme 4-37, A, B and C). In these reports, cross-metathesis reactions were usually performed with Grubbs II catalyst at room temperature or reflux in DCM, affording the desired coupling products in good yield. Trost et al. reported the total synthesis of furaquinocin B via cross-metathesis of an acetylated homoallylic alcohol and metacrolein using such conditions ${ }^{164}$ (Scheme 4-37, D). Venkateswarlu et al. efficiently cross-coupled in the presence of Grubbs II catalyst, an aromatic homoallylic alcohol and cavichol (parahydroxyallylbenzene) within 2h in refluxing DCM for the synthesis of rhoiptelol C ${ }^{165}$ (Scheme 4-37, E).

[^56]

Scheme 4-37 Examples of homoallylic alcohols employed in CM

To the best of our knowledge, only two examples of CM reactions involving homoallylic alcohols carried out at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ have been described in litterature. Indeed, Ruëidi et Rogano reported in their synthesis of ( + ) and (-)-centrolobine ${ }^{166}$ and (+) and (-)-isocentrolobine. ${ }^{167}$ a CM between a para-disubstituted aromatic homoallylic alcohol and a para-methoxy allylbenzene promoted by the Hoveyda-Grubbs II catalyst. They surprisingly disclosed that the CM was ineffective at room temperature or in refluxing DCM and alternatively observed acceptable yields when the catalyst was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 4-38).

[^57]

## Scheme 4-38 Examples of CM at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Taking into account this literature background, the homoallylic alcohols71 (Table 4-8) or 71a (Table 4-9) and allylphenol 48 were subjected to similar conditions (Grubbs-II catalyst in DCM, they use Hoveyda Grubbs). Some other experiments have been carried out with small modifications, such as stoichiometry (based on one equivalent of the most elaborated partners 71 or 71a), catalyst loading or reaction time and temperature. As previously mentioned, since $\mathbf{4 8}$ was promoted to homodimerization (type I olefin), it had to be use in excess in order to improve the yield of cross-coupling product.

It has to be noted that regarding the second principle of green chemistry, atom economy introduced in the early 1990 by Trost and Sheldon to emphasize the importance of minimizing the waste, the olefin 48 used in excess is easily prepared in just two quantitative steps and it could be also recovered at the end of reaction to be employed in a new process.

The outcomes of CM reactions between homoallylic alcohol 71a and allylphenol 48 are consigned in Table

## 4-8.

The CM reactions were performed using Grubbs II generation catalyst, DCM as solvent and fixing the equivalent of homoallylic alcohol 71a employed to 1 . The equivalents of $\mathbf{4 8}$ and catalyst, the temperature and the reaction time constitute the parameters on which we worked to optimize reaction conditions.

3 or $15 \%$ of catalyst were first added to a DCM solution of 71a and 4 equivalents of allyl phenol 48 at room temperature (Table 4-8, entries 1 and 2). The mixtures were warmed until DCM reflux and after 3.5h, work up and purification lead to only 35 and $40 \%$ yields respectively along with $10 \%$ of the isomerized CM coupling product bearing an $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated alcohol. When the reaction was performed at room temperature (Table 4-8, entry 3), we observed a slight improvement of the yield compared to the precedent trials.


Table 4-8 Attempts of CM reaction between 48 and 71a

Considering the work described by Ruëidi and Rogano, we started to investigate a series of reaction in which Grubbs II catalyst was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pleasingly, when the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature following the catalyst addition at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the coupling displayed an obvious surge in yields whatever any modulation of the other reaction parameters (Table 4-8, entries 4-10). Eventually the optimized yields were obtained for 24 h of stirring of 5 equivalents of 48 in the presence of $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Grubbs II catalyst (Table 4-8, entry 8). We isolated in this case the coupling product in a yield up to $82 \%$ which was not improved by using larger amounts of catalyst and/or 48 (Table 4-8, entry 9,10).

On the other hand, analogous metathesis reactions have been simultaneously performed, involving homoallylic alcohol 71 (Table 4-9). A first set of experiments has been performed by adding Grubs-II or Grubs-Hoveyda catalysts ( $15 \%$ ) at room temperature on a $4 / 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{7 1}$ and $\mathbf{4 8}$ in DCM and warmed to reflux, affording modest yields of olefin 75 spanning from $31 \%$ to $53 \%$ (Table 4-9, entry 1 and 2). In the line of the CM of 71a previously disclosed (Table 4-8), a considerable improvement was observed when the same reaction was repeated at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ giving the coupling product in $70 \%$ yield (Table $4-9$, entry $3)$.


| Entry | 48 | 71 | Grubbs II (mol\%) | Temperature | Time | 75 (yield) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 15 | r.t. to reflux | 5h | $53 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | $15^{\text {b }}$ | r.t. to reflux | 3.5h | $31 \%^{\text {c }}$ |
| 3 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 15 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t | 24h | 70\% |
| 4 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 10 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t | 24h | 72\% |
| 5 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 5 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t | 24h | 78\% |
| 6 | 3 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 5 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t | 24h | 61\% |
| 7 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 3 | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t | 24h | 81\% |
| 8 | 4 equiv. | 1 equiv. | 3 | r.t | 24h | 35\% |

$\mathrm{a} .10 \%$ of isomerized CM product with $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated alcohol; b. Hoveyda-Grubbs was employed; c. $E / Z$ ratio $: 93 / 7$ determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Table 4-9 Attempts of CM between 48 and 71

With the aim to reduce the amount of the expensive Grubbs II catalyst, further reactions were performed by addition of 5 or $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst (Table 4-9, entry 5 and 7) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequent stirring at room temperature to afford the coupling product $\mathbf{7 5}$ in excellent yields ( $78 \%$ and $82 \%$ respectively). One more time, we observed that the same reaction performed directly at room temperature lead to a drastic drop of the yield (Table 4-9, entry 8).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the coupling products $\mathbf{7 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{7 5}$ revealed a stereoisomeric ratio $E / Z$ : $93 / 7$ whatever the reaction conditions used. According to Grubbs ${ }^{89}$ observations, about $30-40 \%$ of $\mathbf{4 8}$ homodimer (48bis) and $10-15 \%$ of $\mathbf{7 1}$ homodimer (71bis) were isolated along with the corresponding expected coupling products (Figure 4-2).


48bis
E/Z 75/25


Figure 4-2 Homodimers from CM

Since CM is a reversible process, we tried to use the homodimer 48bis as a substrate equivalent to $\mathbf{4 8}$ in a CM reaction with 71. Under the conditions depicted in Table 4-9 entry 5, product 76 was obtained in $52 \%$ yield, showing how the side homodimeric product of the parent reaction (48bis) can be recycled and employed in a new CM affording the desired cross-coupling product in good yield.

The cross metathesis described so far have been performed with a low quantity of catalyst in excellent yields on a free homoallylic alcohol (71 or 71a) and a C-allyl phenol 48. Importantly when we tried the CM reaction using acetylated 48 and 71 and following the conditions depicted in entry 5 (Table 4-9), the diacetylated cross-coupled product was obtained in only $21 \%$ yield. This result suggested that the free OH contributes to the efficiency of the reaction.

The effect of free OH (phenol or alcohol) has been already reported in literature. ${ }^{90,168}$ Formann et $a l$. widely described the influence of phenol and free OH in a CM reaction with experiments and mechanistic studies to confirm this hypothesis. ${ }^{169}$ Indeed, phenol used as additive in CM reactions allow to improve the yield and this behaviour could be explained by the presence of the free OH giving a hydrogen bond with the chlorine atom of the catalyst. This interaction could be able to favour the decoordination of one phosphine ligand, on the catalyst allowing more efficiently the formation of the active 14 -electron species. The hydrogen bond is also involved in the stabilization of the hemilabile 14-electron key intermediate species, avoiding its decomposition and allowing the life extension of the active intermediate catalyst. Free OH are

[^58]substantially responsible to accelerate the initial rate of the process and extend the TON of the catalytic cycle (Scheme 4-39).


Scheme 4-39 CM enhanced by free $\mathbf{O H}$

After CM investigations ${ }^{170}, \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments already synthesized were employed in CM in order to study their reactivity and to prepare a series of linear analogues of myricanol whose biological activities will be tested in Chapter 5. An essay was realized employing allyl catechol 48b and homoallylic alcohol 71 (Scheme 4-40). The yield of $\mathbf{7 5 b}$ was really lowered (35\%) compared to that obtained using the same conditions with the allyl phenol $\mathbf{4 8}$ ( $78 \%$ ) (Table 4-9, entry 5). This was probably due to the lower solubility of catechol 48b in DCM at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Indeed, when a solution of compound $\mathbf{4 8 b}$ in DCM was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we started to observe the formation of a quite slurry mixture that became more solid after the addition of catalyst (this situation was never observed with phenol 48). This situation probably slowed down the reaction rate, which could have been restored when room temperature was reached (slurry solution become limpid and homogenous). The resulting yield of $\mathbf{7 5 b}(35 \%)$ is in linear relation with the yield obtained for 75 (35\%) when CM was performed at room temperature (Table 4-9, entry 8). None homodimerization of 48b was observed, or maybe, even if it occurred, the product was not isolated after column chromatography, probably due to its high polarity.

[^59]Moreover we studied the reactivity of the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone 63 and as already reported in the Handbook of metathesis ${ }^{90 a}$, the oxidized form of homoallylic alcohols are in general less reactive in CM. The diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{7 6}$ was recovered with only $32 \%$ of yield and none formation of homodimerized $\mathbf{6 3}$ was observed, conversely to what observed for the substrate 71 (Scheme 4-40).


Scheme 4-40 Preparation by CM of linear diarylheptanoids analogues 75b and 76

The best CM conditions (Table 4-9, entry 5) were also applied on substrates $\mathbf{7 3}$ and $\mathbf{7 4}$ belonging to type II olefins as $\mathbf{7 1}$ (Scheme 4-40). The essay performed with the vinyl ketone $\mathbf{7 4}$ allowed us to isolate two products $\mathbf{7 7}$ and $\mathbf{7 8}$ after a tricky purification by flash chromatography (almost same retention time on TLC). Analysing and comparing the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and the pure obtained fractions after purification, we realized that product 78 wasn't present in crude, but it was probably formed by an intramolecular oxa-Michael cyclization that occurred during the silica gel purification. Therefore we excluded that this cyclization would occur from an olefin cross-metathesis-intramolecular oxa-Michael cascade reaction as reported by You and collaborators who observed this cascade reaction during cross-metathesis with Hoveyda-Grubbs II catalyst of similar substrates. ${ }^{171}$ In addition the CM reaction between $\mathbf{4 8}$ and the allylic alcohol $\mathbf{7 4}$ gave the coupling product in an excellent $81 \%$ yield. For both reactions reported in Scheme 4-41, none homocoupling product of vinyl ketone $\mathbf{7 3}$ and allylic alcohol $\mathbf{7 4}$ was observed, as predicted for type II alkene. ${ }^{172}$

[^60]

Scheme 4-41 Preparation by CM of linear diarylhexanoids shorter analogues 77, 78 and 79

### 4.2.2 FUNCTIONALIZATION OF LINEAR DIARYLHEPTANOIDS

After the preparation of linear diarylheptanoids $\mathbf{7 5}$ and $\mathbf{7 5 a}$, our attention was focused on the halogenation of their aromatic part which will be involved into an intramolecular biaryl cross-coupling to obtain the desired macrocycle. Indeed, Ullmann, Suzuki macrocyclizations or intramolecular biaryl coupling involving a C-H activation step in presence of aryl halides could be the possible approaches we thought about for the installation of the biaryl moiety.

Scheme 4-42 shows the possible routes to prepare highly functionalized diarylheptanoids. Fragments $\mathbf{7 5}$ and 75a could be protected, monohalogenated, di-halogenated, in presence or absence of the double bond on the chain. For example, monohalogenated derivatives could be used in C-H macrocyclization, while dihalogenated compounds could be involved in Ullmann or Suzuki intramolecular coupling.


Scheme 4-42 Functionalization of linear diarylheptanoids

First the protection of the two free OH on $\mathbf{7 5 a}$ was performed. Benzylation with BnBr and NaH in THF afforded the tribenzylated compound $\mathbf{8 0}$ in $50 \%$ yield while using $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and NaI in acetone allowed a regioselective protection of the phenol giving the dibenzylated compound $\mathbf{8 1}$ in $90 \%$ yield; the following protection of the secondary alcohol as a methoxymethyl ether gave 82 in high yield (Scheme 4-43). These mono-halogenated compounds constitute good candidates to perform the C-H macrocyclization involving a C-H activation step.



Scheme 4-43 OH protection on linear diarylheptanoids

The presence of the unsaturation on the chain of starting fragments $\mathbf{7 5}$ and $\mathbf{7 5 a}$ could interfere with the subsequent halogenation of the aromatic rings. For this reason the hydrogenation of the internal olefins of 75 and $\mathbf{7 5 a}$ was performed. Scheme 4-44 clearly shows that the hydrogenated products $\mathbf{8 3}, 84$ and $\mathbf{8 4 a}$ are easily obtained after 18 h of reaction in quantitative yields. However, we have to note that the hydrogenation occured using different methodologies depending on the starting product. For the reduction of the double bond of $\mathbf{7 5}$, classical hydrogenation conditions i.e. $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%$ in MeOH at room temperature was used, affording the reduced and debenzylated linear diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 3}$, which could be considered as the first linear analogue of myricanol. ${ }^{173}$

[^61]
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75a

$91 \%$

Scheme 4-44 Double bond reduction of 75 and 75a

In these conditions the hydrogenolysis favoured also the debenzylation which could be useful if we want to change the protecting group of the benzylated phenol.

For this reason a chemioselective hydrogenation that will not be accompanied with the deprotection of the benzyl group was employed for example the diimide reduction that is widely used in natural product synthesis and that allow to selectively reduce $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond. ${ }^{174}$ In our particular case the cis-diimide arise from the one-pot formation of 2-nitrobenzenesulfonylhydrazide obtained by mixing hydrated hydrazine and ortho-nitrobenzensulfonylchloride, as reported by Carbery and Marsh. ${ }^{175}$ Using this methodology, we were able to prepare in excellent yield substrates $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$ a. It's important to observe that with diimide approach we can reduce the double bond in presence of halogen as iodine. The presence on the same molecule of a double bond and iodine prevent the hydrogenation with Pd which prefers to reduce the C-I bond instead of the double bond.

On phenol 84 with the reduced chain, a series of regioselective halogenations were tried in order to prepare compounds 86 and $\mathbf{8 7}$. Table $\mathbf{4 - 1 0}$ shows the essays of halogenation with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, NBS, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and NIS. We surprisingly discovered that while the brominated $\mathbf{8 6}$ was easily obtained (entry 1 and 2 ), the corresponding iodinated product 87 wasn't isolated using the conditions depicted in entry 3 and 4 . Indeed, in presence of NIS only starting material was recollected after 18 h of reaction (entry 3 ). With stronger conditions as $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and

[^62]$\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}$ frequently used for the iodination of very electronrich benzene ${ }^{71}$, we observed the oxidation of phenol to a dienone, as observed with hypervalent iodine. ${ }^{176}$


| Entry | Halogenantion Conditions | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | Yield | Prod. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{NBS}(1.2$ equiv.), TFA (0.3 equiv.), ACN | r.t. | 18 h | $90 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(1.2$ equiv.), AcOH | r.t. | 18 h | $40 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | NIS(1.2equiv.), TFA(0.3equiv.), ACN | r.t. | 18 h | s.m. | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1.2equiv.), $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}\left(1.2\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 min | - $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |

a. the oxidation of free aromatic phenol was observed

## Table 4-10 Halogenation of diarylheptanoid 84

Tests of halogenation were also performed on protected diarylheptanoids $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 5 a}$, obtained in good yield after treatment of $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 4 a}$ with benzylbromide and NaH in DMF (Scheme 4-45).


Scheme 4-45 Benzylation of linear diarylheptanoids 84 and 84a

The halogenation of $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 5 a}$ were performed using NIS and NBS as halogen sources and depending on the starting substrates, we obtained mono or dihalogenated products, with similar or different halogen on the two aromatic rings (Table 4-11).

[^63]

| Entry | S.M. | X | Y | Hal. conditions | T | t | Yield | Product | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 85 | H | H | NBS (1.2 equiv.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | r.t. | 18h | 99\% | 88 | Br | H |
| 2 | 88 | Br | H | NBS (1.2 equiv.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | r.t. | 18h | 99\% | 89 | Br | Br |
| 3 | 85 | H | H | NBS (2.2 equiv.) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | r.t. | 18h | 99\% | 89 | Br | Br |
| 4 | 88 | Br | H | NIS(1.2 equiv.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | r.t. | 18h | 77\% | 90 | Br | I |
| 5 | 85a | H | I | NBS(1.2 equiv.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | r.t. | 18h | 91\% | 90 | Br | I |
| 6 | 85a | H | I | NIS(1.2 equiv.) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | r.t. | 18h | 99\% | 91 | I | I |
| 7 | 85 | H | H | NIS (5equiv.) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | r.t. | 36h | 70\% | 91 | I | I |

a. the reaction was performed in $\mathrm{ACN}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$ and using 0.3 equiv. of TFA; b. the reaction was performed in $\mathrm{ACN}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$ and using 0.6equiv of TFA; c. the reaction was started with 2.2 equiv. of NIS, but subsequent addition until 5equiv were done, ACN and TFA employed as usual..

Table 4-11 Halogenation of completely benzylated diarylheptanoids

As illustrated in Table 4-11 all the reactions performed gave excellent results, showing that halogenations worked in a perfect regioselective way. In entry 1 the mono bromination of the more electronrich ring of $\mathbf{8 5}$, gave $\mathbf{8 8}$ in quantitative way. This product could be used in an intramolecular C-H macrocyclization to obtain myricanol macrocycle, or could be used for a second halogenation as reported in entry 2 giving the dibrominated compound $\mathbf{8 9}$ in high yield. Due to the good results obtained for the monobromination, we tried to obtain 89 in one step. The reaction reported in entry 3 afforded the desired compound in excellent yield, showing that depending on the number of equivalents of NBS, we are able to obtain the mono or dibrominated product. In entry 4 was reported the iodination of compound $\mathbf{8 8}$ which was efficiently transformed giving the bromo-iodinated compound $\mathbf{9 0}$ ( $77 \%$ yield). $\mathbf{9 0}$ was also obtained by bromination of $\mathbf{8 5 a}$ (entry 5). We finally tried to synthesize the di-iodinated substrate $\mathbf{9 1}$ (entry 6 and 7) which was easily prepared starting from the mono-iodinated $\mathbf{8 5 a}$, while starting from $\mathbf{8 5}$, subsequent additions of aliquots of NIS was necessary.

### 4.2.3 METHODS FOR FOR MACROCYCLIZATION BY BIARYL COUPLING

As already discussed, halogenations of the biaryl moieties is due to the idea to install the biaryl motif of myricanol via an intramolecular cross-coupling. The following scheme illustrates the approaches considered to reach the goal.


Scheme 4-46 Possible approaches for intramolecular macrocyclization

In the next pages of this thesis will be presented the different approaches tried to conclude the total synthesis of myricanol.

### 4.2.3.1 Ullmann coupling

The history of copper-promoted aryl-aryl bond formations started when Fritz Ullmann and Jean Bielecki reported in 1901 a copper catalyzed biaryl coupling. They experimented that mixing under neat conditions the $o$-bromonitrobenzene with 1.9 equiv of copper powder at $210-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the homocoupling product was formed (i.e. 2,2'-dinitrobiphenyl) in $76 \%$ yield with concomitant production of $\mathrm{CuBr} .{ }^{177}$


Scheme 4-47 Copper(0)-mediated homocoupling of $\boldsymbol{o}$-bromonitrobenzene

Since then, the Ullmann coupling has been extensively studied, and its applications have been thoroughly reviewed. ${ }^{178}$ Due to their cost-effectiveness and environmentally benign characteristics, the interest towards the first-row transition metals was renewed allowing a resurgence of Ullmann chemistry. As already described in the paragraph concerning the control of axial chirality in biaryl moieties (see 3.2.2), Ullman reaction was widely investigated for the atroposelective coupling. Meyers and Nelson, for example, introduced a chiral oxazoline as auxiliary to synthesize efficiently a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-chiral biaryl. ${ }^{179}$


Scheme 4-48 Diastereoselective homocoupling of $o$-bromooxazolinylbenzene by Meyers at al.

[^64]Concerning the intramolecular Ullmann coupling, a nice example was reported by Miyano et al. who used temporary chiral tether to obtain (S)-2,2’-di(hydroxymethyl)-1,1’-binaphthyl in a moderate optical purity ${ }^{180}$ (Scheme 4-49).


Scheme 4-49 Diastereoselective intramolecular Ullmann coupling by Myano et al.

Others examples in which were employed tethers bearing central chirality were also extensively studied. For example, (o-iodophenyl)diphenylphosphine oxides linked by chiral diol tether underwent intramolecular Ullmann coupling in presence of excess Cu powder. The biaryl bisphosphine oxides were obtained with excellent diastereoselectivity (over 98\%) independently from the length of the tethers and the best yield was obtained when the tether was smaller. ${ }^{181}$ (Scheme 4-50)


Scheme 4-50 Diastereoselective intramolecular Ullman coupling by Chan et al.

In view of this literature, we thought to use Cu powder for the intramolecular macrocyclization of myricanol starting from the di-brominated substrate 89. In the following table are reported the reactions tried. Both neat and in solution conditions were experimented.

[^65]

| Entry | Ullmann conditions | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(1.9$ equiv. $), \mathrm{DMF}$ | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3 h then 23 h | $\mathrm{-}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(10$ equiv. $), \mathrm{DMF}$ | $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 40 h | $\mathrm{-}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(10$ equiv.), neat | $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 40 h | $-^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| a. only starting material recovered; b. starting material with $10 \%$ of dehalogenated compound. |  |  |  |  |

Table 4-12 Ullmann reaction on substrate 89

As shown in Table 4-12, the cyclized product was never observed. The first tentative with 1.9 equivalent of Cu gave only the starting product (entry 1 ). The same result was observed using an excess of copper at reflux of DMF during 40 h (entry 2). Even if the reaction was performed in absence of solvent at $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the desired product wasn't formed and we could just observed a weak dehalogenation of starting material.

This result could be expected given the size of the macrocycle that has to be formed (13-membered ring).

### 4.2.3.2 C-H macrocyclization

Although numerous metal catalyzed methodologies for the formation of biaryl have been reported in literature, direct arylation constitutes an important alternative to the traditional aryl-aryl cross-coupling reactions. In the classical $\mathrm{sp}^{2}-\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ cross-coupling reactions, the required organometallic nucleophilic species are obtained from expensive arylhalides often not commercially available. Thus, direct arylation reactions through cleavage of C-H bonds represent an environmentally and economically more attractive strategy. ${ }^{182}$

Two different categories of catalytic direct arylations by activation of C-H bonds could be considered on the basis of the nature of the coupling partners: 1) oxidative arylations in which an oxidant and stoichiometric amount of organometallic reagents or (hetero)arenes were required as arylating agents; 2) direct arylations with aryl (pseudo)halides that are employed as electrophilic coupling partners (Scheme 4-51).


Scheme 4-51 Strategies for catalytic direct arylation for the synthesis of biaryls.

[^66]Several transition metals have been used to perform C-H activation, as ruthenium, rhodium, palladium, and copper. ${ }^{183}$

In the biaryl macrocyclization towards myricanol, we envisaged an intramolecular direct arylation with arylhalide. As mechanism for the direct arylation with arylhalide, a palladium(II)/palladium(IV) catalytic cycle was proposed (Scheme 4-52).


Scheme 4-52 Mechanism of $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{I I}) / \mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{I V})$ direct arylation

Concerning intramolecular biaryl macrocyclization involving a C-H arylation step of arylhalides, we found an interesting example reported by Fagnou at $a l$. for the synthesis of allocolchicine, a promising antitumor agent that is a seven-membered ring biaryl analogue of naturally occurring colchicine. ${ }^{78}$


Scheme 4-53 Allocolchicine synthesis via oxidative arylation

As shown in Scheme 4-53 the desired product was obtained with a good yield and an excellent enantiomeric excess.

[^67]Although the ring formed in allocolchicine was an 8 membered ring, we decided to apply the same reaction conditions used by Fagnou on our mono-iodinated compounds $\mathbf{8 0}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$.


Scheme 4-54 Oxidative arylation on 80 and 82

Whatever the protection of the starting product, we didn't obtain the cyclized products; the analysis of the fractions derived from column chromatography purifications allowed to identify about $30 \%$ of de-iodinated compound in both reactions. The NMR spectra of other chromatography's fractions were complex and apparently corresponded to more than one product.

At that time we supposed that the stereochemistry of the double bond ( $E / Z: 93 / 7$ ) could hindered the macrocyclization and we repeated the same reaction on the diarylheptanoid 85a whose double bond was reduced.


Scheme 4-55 Direct arylation on 85

Unfortunately, this essay also failed and again de-iodinated product was obtained with some others non identified products. Importantly we observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the de-iodinated product that the chemical shift of the benzylic protons of the benzyl group ortho to the iodine in the starting material was
different compared to synthesized de-iodinated compound $\mathbf{8 5}$. We hypothesized without proof the formation of a benzo[c]chromene core, arising from C-H activation of the benzylic methylene, reported by Fagnou on a similar substrate. ${ }^{184}$


Scheme 4-56 C-H activation on the protecting group

[^68]
### 4.2.3.3 Suzuki cross-coupling reaction

Another classical cross-coupling approach was experimented for the macrocyclization of myricanol. The reaction studied to obtain the 13 -membered ring was the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.

The $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of boronic species and organic halides is one of the most widely applied methods in modern synthetic organic chemistry. ${ }^{185}$ Since its discovery in the late 1970s, the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling ${ }^{186}$ has emerged as a synthetic method that tolerates a wide range of functional groups providing reliable and efficient access to C-C bond formation more particularly to the biaryl motifs ${ }^{187}$. This chemistry has found numerous applications on academic as well as in pharmaceutical industry. ${ }^{188}$ The recent studies for the improvement of this reaction are focused on the development of more active catalyst/ligand combinations allowing low catalyst loadings and large scale applications and on the use of cheaper but less reactive aryl chloride. ${ }^{189}$

Intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction have been applied to install the biaryl motif of diverse macrocycles, as for example for the macrocyclic core of TMC-95 ${ }^{190}$ (Scheme 4-57), or in the total synthesis of signal peptidase inhibitors arylomycins A2 and B2 ${ }^{76}$ and for the synthesis of DEFG ring of complestatin ${ }^{75}$ (see Figure 3-3), just to mentioned a few.


Scheme 4-57 Preparation of macrocyclic core of TMC-95 by intramolecular Suzuki-coupling reaction

[^69]Concerning the synthesis of the macrocycle of myricanol by a biaryl Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling macrocyclization, our initial idea was to generate from the monohalogenated compound 85a the corresponding boronic derivative $\mathbf{9 2}$ which would be halogenated to obtain the Suzuki-Miyaura precursor 92a (Scheme 4-58).


Scheme 4-58 Preparation of the intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling precursor 92a

The Pd-catalyzed borylation of compound $\mathbf{8 5 a}$ with pinacolborane gave the pinacolboronic ester $\mathbf{9 2}$ in good yield. Then we performed the iodination of $\mathbf{9 2}$ using NIS with TFA in ACN and we obtained a quite incomprehensible mixture of products. On the NMR spectra only traces of the desired product 92a were detected as well as the dehalogenated product $\mathbf{8 5}$ with the monohalogenated $\mathbf{8 5 a}$. One explanation should be that the boronic species easily underwent an ipso-substitution with iodine and/or a protodeboronation ${ }^{191}$ as also reported by Chiummiento et al. ${ }^{192}$ (Scheme 4-59).

[^70]

Scheme 4-59 Ipso-substitution of aryl boronic acids with iodine

Therefore we tried to obtain the boronic derivative by a magnesium-halogen exchange starting from the bromo-iodo compound 90; our idea was a chemoselective magnesium-iodine exchange as already reported for aromatic dihalogenated system ${ }^{193}$ (Scheme 4-60).


Scheme 4-60 Borylation through Mg-I exchange

Unfortunately, we didn't obtained the desired product, but a mixture of inseparable and unidentifiable compounds, showing that this borylation wasn't selective on the iodinated position, but afforded a mixture of borylated, halogenated and dehalogenated products.

At that point, we thought to change our strategy and to use the di-halogenated diarylheptanoids in a palladium catalyzed process that could allow a one step borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling macrocyclization.

Despite the efficiency and the importance of Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions, numerous limitations ${ }^{194}$ linked to availability, preparation, isolation of boronic species have spurred diverse research groups towards a one-pot approach. The first system of one-pot borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction was reported by Miyaura in 1997. ${ }^{195}$ The procedure involved the in situ conversion of an aryl triflate into a boronate ester followed by the addition of a second aryl triflate along with the palladium catalyst and base. This example opened the route to the development of a one-pot cross-coupling reaction

[^71]that justify the increasing literature concerning C-H or C-X borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura coupling for the preparation of unsymmetrical biaryl compounds. ${ }^{196}$

Among these reports we found particularly interesting the work reported by Zhu and Carbonnelle ${ }^{197}$ in which a novel macrocyclization procedure was developed on the basis of a domino process involving the in situ formation of a pinacol boronic ester. In this paper a linear aryl diiodide was converted into the corresponding 15 -membered $m, m$-cyclophane via a Miyaura's arylboronic ester synthesis and an intramolecular Suzuki macrocyclization (Scheme 4-61).


Scheme 4-61 Domino Suzuki-Miyaura process by Zhu et al.

As depicted in the previous scheme the desired product was obtained in $45 \%$ yield that could be considered as an excellent result for a one-pot macrocycle formation. Moreover, this yield arise from numerous essays done in which was clearly demonstrated that little variations of the reaction conditions could drastically lowered the cyclization to $10 \%$ or traces of product. This publication clearly underline how delicate is this reaction, during which different reactions could occur as double borylation, halogen reduction, intermolecular couplings. The molarity of the starting product was revealed to be an important factor for the success of the process.

Some years later, Usuki and Ogura used the same conditions reported by Zhu for the total synthesis of acerogenin E and K , a 13-membered ring natural product belonging to diarylheptanoid family (as myricanol) ${ }^{198}$ (Scheme 4-62). In their work was shown that the total synthesis of acerogenin E and K failed using Ullmann conditions and was accomplished using Miyaura arylborylation-intramolecular Suzuki

[^72]cross-coupling reaction developed by Zhu. Again the concentration of the starting product appeared as a crucial point. Indeed acerogenin's macrocycle was obtained in $34 \%$ yield using a lower concentration $(0,01 \mathrm{M})$ compared to that employed by $\mathrm{Zhu}(0,02 \mathrm{M})$. When the reaction was tried at $0,02 \mathrm{M}$, the macrocycle was obtained with only $5 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4-62 Total synthesis of acerogenin E, K by Usuki et al.

Myclocyclosin, a natural diketopiperazine, was also obtained with a Suzuki-Miyaura domino process by Hutton and al. ${ }^{199}$ After unsuccessful numerous essays with Ni-catalyzed Ullman-type process they switched to one-pot Pd-catalyzed Miyaura borylation/Suzuki coupling to obtain the desired product with $42 \%$ yield (Scheme 4-63).

[^73]The synthesized mycocyclosin was analyzed by X-ray and low temperature NMR studies were performed and it was surprisingly discovered that despite the strained cycle, the rotation barrier of the two atropoisomers is sufficiently low that a rapid interconversion occur at room temperature.


Scheme 4-63 Total synthesis of mycocyclosin by Hutton et al.

Taking account these excellent results we decided to try the Suzuki-Miyaura domino process on our substrate, in order to form the 13-membered ring of myricanol.

The first essays for domino process were done using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and S -Phos, in presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as base. The choice of these conditions arise from borylation tests performed on a prepared model fragment with the aim to find the best conditions to introduce the boronic ester on our electron rich substrate. Scheme 4-64 and Table 4-13 shows the preparation of fragment 95 and its borylation.

a.Pd/C $10 \%, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}$ (4 equiv), MeOH , reflux, $40 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$; b. NBS (1 equiv), TFA ( 0,3 equiv.), ACN, $3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}$, $99 \%$; c. BnBr ( 1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 equiv.), acetone, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$

## Scheme 4-64 Preparation of model fragment

As depicted in Table 4-13, boronic ester 96 was obtained in good yield using either $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ with S-Phos, pinacolborane and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in dioxane at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$, pinacolborane and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in toluene at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entries 1 and 2). Surprisingly none formation of the boronic ester was observed if the reaction was performed with bispinacolato diboron; only dehalogenated compound was found (entry3).


| Entry | $\mathbf{P d} / \mathbf{m o l} \%$ | Ligand/equiv. | Boron/equiv. | Base/equiv. | Solvent | $\mathbf{T}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ <br> $(\mathbf{h})$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 5$ | $\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Phos} / 0.2$ | $\mathrm{Bpin}-\mathrm{H} / 3$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / 4$ | Dioxane | 80 | 3 | 52 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) / 5$ | - | $\mathrm{Bpin}-\mathrm{H} / 1.2$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / 8$ | Toluene | 110 | 3 | 50 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 5$ | $\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Phos} / 0.2$ | $\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{pin})_{2} / 1.2$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / 4$ | Dioxane | 80 | 3 | - |

Table 4-13 Borylation on model fragment

Moreover to confirm the result of ipso substitution obtained for the halogenation of product 92 (Scheme 4-65), the boronic ester 96 was submitted to NBS in ACN and after 1 hour of reaction we observed a complete transformation of the boronic species into the corresponding brominated compound 95 .


Scheme 4-65 Ipso-substitution of boronic ester 96

We then applied the selected conditions i.e. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and pinacolborane for the one-pot Pd -catalyzed Miyaura borylation/Suzuki coupling on our linear dibrominated diarylheptanoid 89.

|  |  |  |  |  | Reaction conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | SM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \\ \mathrm{~mol} \% \end{gathered}$ | S-Phos equiv. | $\begin{gathered} \text { PinB-H } \\ \text { equiv. } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ equiv. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Solvent } \\ (0,002 \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ { }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{t} \\ & \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ | Product \% |
| 1 | 89 | 5 | 0,2 | 3 | 4 | Dioxane | 80 | 3h | - |
| 2 | 89 | 5 | 0,2 | 1 | 4 | Dioxane | 80 | 3h | - |
| 3 | 89 | 5 | 0,2 | 1 | 4 | Dioxane | 80 | 20h | - |

Table 4-14 Intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura domino reaction on 89

Using 1 or 3 equivalent of pinacolborane, we did not observe the cyclized product (Table 4-14). We only identified the bi-dehalogenated compound, the mono-dehalogenated compound on the less electron rich aromatic ring and a mixture of undistinguishable borylated compounds.

At that point we decided to use the same conditions reported by Zhu ${ }^{197}$ to accomplish this macrocyclization. The essays, reported in Table 4-15 were performed on different halogenated starting materials.

|  |  |  |  |  |  | n conditions <br> $\longrightarrow$ |  <br> benzylated myri | -OBn <br> canol |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | X | Y | s.m. | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{PdCl}_{2}(\text { dppf) }) \\ \text { mol } \% \end{gathered}$ | Boron <br> (1,2equiv.) | Base (10equiv.) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Solvent } \\ & (0,002 \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | Prod. \% |
| 1 | Br | Br | 89 | 10 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | DMSO | 80 | 24h | $\approx 10^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2 | Br | Br | 89 | 10 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | KOAc | DMSO | 80 | 24h | - |
| 3 | Br | Br | 89 | 10 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | Dioxane | 80 | 24h | - |
| 4 | Br | Br | 89 | 10 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | KOAc | Dioxane | 80 | 24h | trace |
| 5 | Br | Br | 89 | 10 | PinB-H | KOAc | DMSO | 100 | 24h | - |
| 6 | Br | Br | 89 | 10 | PinB-H | NaOAc | DMSO | 100 | 24h | - |
| 7 | Br | I | 90 | 10 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | DMSO | 100 | 24h | - |
| 8 | Br | I | 90 | 10 | $(\mathrm{BPin})_{2}$ | NaOAc | DMSO | 180 | 10h | - |
| 9 | I | I | 91 | 10 | (BPin) ${ }_{2}$ | NaOAc | DMSO | 180 | 10h | trace |

a. Yield evaluated after quantitative debenzylation, product was not isolate completely pure.

Table 4-15 Intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura domino reaction on 89, 90 and 91

Using the conditions reported in entry 1 of Table 4-15, we obtained the desired 13-membered ring of benzylated myricanol. It's important to note that the starting material was a dibrominated compound and not a diiodinated one as reported in all the macrocyclization domino processes accomplished by Zhu. Importantly the product was recognized only after a quantitative debenzylation that afforded myricanol
with a yield of $\approx 10 \%$ evaluated in two steps. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra of synthesized myricanol is identical to the one reported for the myricanol natural product. ${ }^{11}$ It was possible to individuate the diagnostic peak of biaryl system, but unfortunately the product was not perfectly pure and due to the small amount obtained, it was impossible to purify it to perform a complete characterization (Figure 4-3).


Figure 4-3 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra of natural and synthesized myricanol

Others attempts of Suzuki-Miyaura domino reaction were experimented changing the source of boron, the base or solvent. None of essays (entries 2-9, Table 4-15,) allowed to obtain again the macrocycle except for entry 4 and 9 in which cyclized product was observed in traces.

We were aware that the obtained macrocyclization yield is not really satisfying but this reaction merits some comments. Our macrocyclization yield is in concordance with that reported by Whiting and coworkers ${ }^{50 b}$ that obtained the myricanol macrocycle by a $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ catalytic process and this is probably due to the two not symmetric aromatic portion involved in this intramolecular cyclization. We have also to consider that Dickey and co-workers ${ }^{37}$ have obtained the myricanol macrocycle with $22 \%$ yield starting from an already bi-functionalized substrate with halide and boron that underwent a classical Suzuki crosscoupling (see 2.4).

Finally we used the best one-pot Pd-catalyzed Miyaura borylation/Suzuki coupling conditions giving the benzylated myricanol on dibrominated substrate $\mathbf{8 9}$, on a ketoderivative diarylheptanoids $\mathbf{8 9 b}$, obtained
with high yields through benzylation and halogenation of $\mathbf{8 3}$ followed by an oxidation with Dess-Martin periodiane of 89a (Scheme 4-66).

The presence of a carbonyl moiety on the chain should change the conformation of the molecule and facilitate the macrocyclization. ${ }^{44 \mathrm{a}}$




89b
benzylated myricanone

Scheme 4-66 Domino Suzuki-Miyaura process on keto derivative 89b

Unfortunately, this essay of macrocyclization failed and as usual we could only recover $20 \%$ of dehalogenated product and $30 \%$ of starting material. The remaining crude column chromatography fractions resulted in a mixture of products in which we didn't distinguish the cyclized product.

In conclusion we finally succeeded to perform the total synthesis of myricanol using a one-pot Pd-catalyzed Miyaura borylation/Suzuki coupling and for the best of our knowledge this result constitutes the first example in which this approach is applied to the synthesis of myricanol. Further studies to improve the yield are actually in progress in our laboratory.

### 4.3 Path b: INTERMOLECULAR BIARYL FORMATION TOWARDS RING CLOSING METATHESIS

Myricanol has never been synthetized by first an intermolecular formation of the biaryl moiety and a subsequent macrocyclization by coupling of the alkylmoities. Just one example was reported in the literature by Dansou et $a l .{ }^{52}$ for the preparation of $O$-methylmyricanone, a methylated analogue of myricanone. In their case, the biaryl was installed with success, but unfortunately the cyclization using a Thorpe-Ziegler condensation of two nitrile moieties failed.

Referring to the general retrosynthetic approach proposed at the beginning of the third chapter of this thesis (see 3.1), we individuated two possible paths for the total synthesis of myricanol. In path a, firstly formation of the linear chain and then macrocyclization through an intramolecular biaryl coupling, was already discussed on the previous paragraph of this chapter (see 4.2). Herein, we presented the second retrosynthetic approach (path b) for the synthesis of myricanol.


Scheme 4-67 Path $b=$ intermolecular biaryl cross-coupling and ring closing metathesis

As illustrated in the retrosynthetic Scheme 4-67, we proposed to prepare the intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ from the corresponding biaryl B through a ring closing metathesis (RCM) process. Fragment B could arise from a direct intermolecular biaryl cross-coupling between the two advanced fragments $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$.

Next pages will be essentially focused on the methodologies used to perform the biaryl coupling of fragments $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ (and their precursors).

### 4.3.1 METHODS FOR BIARYL COUPLING

In the following scheme are reported the three different classes of reactions examined to accomplish the installation of the biaryl moiety: 1) Ullmann coupling, 2) oxidative coupling and finally 3) Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions.


Scheme 4-68 Possible approaches for the intermolecular biaryl synthesis

### 4.3.1.1 Ullmann cross-coupling reaction

The first attempts of an intermolecular biaryl cross-coupling reaction were done using the copper catalyzed Ullmann coupling whose conditions has been already discussed in paragraph 4.2.3.1 when the same approach was tested in an intramolecular way.

An equal ratio of the model fragment $\mathbf{9 5}$ and the methylester $\mathbf{6 5 b}$, both brominated, were mixed with 10 equivalents of copper powder in DMF as solvent. The mixture was stirred and heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h in a sealed tube. Copper was filtrated away and NMR and GC-MS analysis as well, showed a mixture of unreacted starting materials. None formation of coupled product 97 was revealed (Scheme 4-69).


Scheme 4-69 Intermolecular Ullmann coupling on brominated fragments

The reaction was repeated using the same conditions on different substrates. The iodinated compound $\mathbf{5 8}$ and 63 a were stirred in presence of copper and DMF at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Again the coupling product wasn't observed by NMR and GC-MS analysis, but in this case dehalogenation and partial isomerization of the double bond from the allylic to the styrenic position of $\mathbf{5 8}$ was observed. Compound $\mathbf{6 5 a}$ was recovered completely unreacted (Scheme 4-70). The same behavior was observed when the reaction was repeated in absence of DMF, using starting compounds $\mathbf{5 8}$ and $\mathbf{6 5 a}$ in the neat form.



Scheme 4-70 Intermolecular Ullmann coupling on iodinated fragments

### 4.3.1.2 Oxidative coupling

V. Griessmayer reported the first example of an oxidative biaryl cross-coupling reaction in 1871 describing the conversion of gallic acid (3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid) or its ethyl ester into the naturally occurring biaryl bislactone ellagic acid using molecular iodine or air as oxidants. ${ }^{200}$ (Scheme 4-71)


Scheme 4-71 First C-C oxidative coupling reported by Griessmayer

Since then numerous halogen-based, oxygen-based, metal-based inorganic, organic, and enzymatic oxidants, as well as anodic oxidation, have been utilized through the years to promote the formation of C C and also $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ coupling products from various phenols or their simple ethers. The oxidative couplings are widely investigated by organic chemists because it offers the possibilities to access rapidly to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ coupling biaryl unit and because it is highly related to the biosynthesis of natural products as polyphenols, alkaloids, terpenoids, polyketides and glycopeptides. These natural compounds, which constitute important synthetic targets, are sometimes obtained from biomimetic routes through an oxidative coupling of phenolic precursors. In the numerous review concerning oxidative couplings, reported by Musso, ${ }^{201}$ Scott, ${ }^{202}$ McDonald and Hamilton, ${ }^{203}$ Waters, ${ }^{204}$ Whiting, ${ }^{205}$ Rieker, ${ }^{206}$ Lessene and Feldman, ${ }^{207}$ Yamamura and Nishiyama, ${ }^{208}$ Quideau, Deffieux and Pouységu ${ }^{209}$ the mechanistic studies came out, showing that the trend of these reactions are not always predictable.

[^74]As showed in Scheme 4-72, a phenol could be considered as an aromatic (stable) enol tautomer of a cyclohexadienone allowing an oxidative process. If phenol is deprotonated, the phenolate anion is formed affording an $O$-nucleophilic species. This anion could be delocalized in the ortho and para position of the aromatic ring that became $C$-nucleophilic sites. In an oxidative process, consecutive single-electron transfer (SET) could occur in which phenolate anion could be oxidized first to the corresponding phenoxy radical and then to the phenoxenium cation. All these species generate radical and electrophilic species on the oxygen and on the ortho and para C . This elucidate that an oxidative coupling could occur from a reaction between nucleophile-electrophile or between the coupling of two radicals. Starting from the phenol ether, a SET process delocalize radical and cation on all the ring allowing the coupling reaction to all ring carbon centers. ${ }^{210}$


Scheme 4-72 Reactive sites of phenols during an oxidative process

[^75]The choice of the oxidizing agent, the protonation state of starting phenol, the type of solvent and the structure of the starting phenol or phenol ether constitute some of the numerous factors which can influence the behavior of an oxidative coupling. The oxidant/solvent systems commonly used are: 1) $\mathrm{VOF}_{3} / \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{DCM}^{6}{ }^{67}$ 2) $\left.\mathrm{PIFA} / \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DCM} ;{ }^{211} 3\right) \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{OCOCF}_{3}\right)_{4} / \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{DCM}^{2} / \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} / \mathrm{TFA}$ or $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{acac})_{3} / \mathrm{TFA}$ or $\mathrm{CoF}_{3} / \mathrm{TFA}$ or $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} ; 2{ }^{212}$ 4) $\left.\mathrm{DDQ} / \mathrm{TFA} ;{ }^{213} 5\right) \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} / \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} .{ }^{214}$

Among these reagents the most frequently used to generate phenoxenium ions or equivalents derived from phenols are hypervalent iodine(III) compounds, such as (diacetoxyiodo)benzene (DIB or PIDA) and [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene (BTI or PIFA). Iodine(III) acts as an electrophilic centre (as metalbased two-electron oxidant) while phenol acts as a nucleophile. After a ligand exchange step the resulting transient phenoxyiodo species can afford the substituted products in ortho or para position to the phenol by a dissociative or associative mechanism.


Scheme 4-73 Oxidative coupling promoted by PIFA or PIDA

[^76]Hypervalent iodine(III) reagents, particularly PIFA, was also found efficient in oxidative coupling performed on phenol ethers. Indeed, after activation of PIFA by a Lewis acid as $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ etherate, the reaction with a phenol ether forms a charge-transfer (CT) complex and SET occurs from the electron-rich phenolether moiety to the PIFA-derived iodonium centre, generating a reactive radical cation species (Scheme 4-73). Such type of conditions were used by Kita and co-workers to perform intramolecular phenol ether coupling. ${ }^{215}$

To perform the oxidative coupling towards the myricanol's biaryl, we used the PIFA conditions. We chose fragments 48 and 65 as starting materials and after quantitative double bond hydrogenation and debenzylation the mixture of $\mathbf{9 3}$ and $\mathbf{6 4}$ was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{PIFA} / \mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 2 h . We expected that the more electron-rich phenol 93 would probably give a radical cation and the nucleophilic attack from the less electron-rich 64 could occur.


48


Ammonium formate (5 equiv.)
Pd/C 10\%
$\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{C} 10$
MeOH
reflux
$\xrightarrow[100 \%]{30 \mathrm{~min}}$


64



Scheme 4-74 Oxidative coupling of fragment 48 and 65 in presence of PIFA

The reaction gave a very complicated mixture of products in which we could observe the presence of unreacted starting materials and unidentified compounds as major products. We were able to isolate the homocoupled compound 64bis with 4\% yield. (Figure 4-4)


Figure 4-4 Isolated product after oxidative coupling with PIFA

[^77]Performing the reaction at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the complete disappearance of starting materials with a concomitant formation of a really complex mixture of oxidized products, neither separable nor identifiables.

In the frame of the synthesis of natural products involving oxidative coupling, we found an interesting reference of Yang and co-workers on the total synthesis of (+/-)-decinine, a 12- membered ring compound belonging to the alkaloid family. ${ }^{67}$ They used a $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$-mediated nonphenolic oxidative biaryl coupling for the formation of the macrocyclic ring. The yield of the macrocyclization is really dependent on the phenol protecting group, $7 \%$ with a methoxy or $32 \%$ with a para-nitrobenzyl group (Scheme 4-75).


Scheme 4-75 Total synthesis of (+/-)-decinine by oxidative coupling

These $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$-mediated nonphenolic oxidative conditions were tried on our fragments with different protections of the hydroxyl group as depicted in the following scheme.

a. for $99=\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Nal}$, acetone, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; for 99a $=\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$

$100 \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{PNB}$

Scheme 4-76 Preparation of fragments for oxidative coupling

Phenol 48 was protected to the corresponding benzylated (99) and acetoxylated substrates (99a). On the contrary 64 was protected with benzyl bromide (65) or with para-nitrobenzyl bromide (PNB) (100). Table 4-16 summarizes the oxidative couplings starting from these protected substrates.


| Entry | Partners <br> of coupling | Oxidative conditions | Product \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 + 1 0 0}$ | $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$ (10 equiv.), TFA (100 equiv.), DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Degraded products |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 + 1 0 0}$ | $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$ (2 equiv.), TFA (20 equiv.), DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Complex mixture |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 + 6 3}$ | $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$ (2 equiv.), TFA (20 equiv.), DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Complex mixture |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 a + 6 3}$ | $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$ (2 equiv.), TFA (20 equiv.), DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Trace of product |

Table 4-16 Oxidative couplings with $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$

Starting from 99 and 100 and using the same conditions as reported for the total synthesis of decinine (Scheme 4-75) only degraded products were recovered (entry 1, Table 4-16). Therefore we decreased the amount of $\mathrm{VOF}_{3}$ (2 equivalents) and we obtained a complex mixture of products (entry 2, Table 4-16); GCMS analysis showed the mass corresponding to homocoupled products of $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$. The same result was observed starting from the two benzylated substrates 99 and 65 (entry 3). However we observed traces of the coupled product when the reaction was repeated between the acetoxylated 99 a and benzylated substrates 65 (entry 4 ).

These preliminary essays of oxidative coupling on our fragments showed us the complexity of this reaction that was probably increased by the really hindered trioxygenated ring of one of the two partners.

Due to the difficulty to understand what really happened during this oxidative coupling, we turned our attention to an intermolecular biaryl coupling involving a dienone acetate intermediate.

### 4.3.1.2.1 Intermolecular biaryl coupling via a dienone acetate intermediate

Another facet of the oxidative coupling of phenols and phenol ethers involves their oxidative dearomatization into electrophilic quinonoid species as reported in Scheme 4-77 (i.e., ortho- or paraquinones, -quinone methides, -quinone monoketals, -quinols). ${ }^{4 b}$

## Dehvdrogenation events



Oxygenation events


$R=$ alkyl, aryl or acyl groups
Scheme 4-77 Quinonoid species from pheol and phenol ether

These species can react together in cycloaddition (e.g., (hetero)-Diels-Alder reactions) as reported by Quideau for the synthesis of $(+)$-Aquaticol ${ }^{216}$. Moreover, this type of oxidative phenolic coupling has been

[^78]exploited to obtain biaryl systems and to elaborate structurally more complex and nonaromatic architectures via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation(s) as described by Kita ${ }^{217}$.

Considering this feature of phenols to form quinonoids and looking for examples in literature reporting the para-quinol formation, we found a very interesting publication on the total synthesis of 4-Omethylhonkiol. ${ }^{218}$

In this publication a para-allylphenol $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ was oxidized to the corresponding acetate dienone $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ with PIDA in presence of acetic acid. The synthesis was completed by coupling the corresponding Grignard reagent of bromide $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ with dienone $\mathbf{1 0 2}$. This step occurs presumably, via acetate elimination and a 1,2shift of the aryl group to afford the 4-O-methylhonkiol with 50\% yield (Scheme 4-78).


Scheme 4-78 Total synthesis of 4-O-methylhonkiol via dienone acetate intermediate

Therefore we proposed the following retrosynthetic strategy (Scheme 4-79) for the synthesis of the biaryl core of myricanol which would be obtained by attack of a dienone acetate $\mathbf{E}$ ' by the Grignard reagent of $\mathbf{D}$.

[^79]

Scheme 4-79 Retrosynthetic approach involving for dienone acetate coupling

At first we tried to transform the methylester 64 into the corresponding dienone acetate 104 (Scheme 4-80). The reaction was performed with PIDA (diacethoxyiodobenzene) in presence of acetic acid, through an oxidative process, the dienone $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ was formed but the resulting yield was only $11 \%$. The reaction was repeated also at lower temperature, but no formation of the oxa-spirocyclic compound was observed as reported for similar substrates in literature. ${ }^{219}$

Despite the low yield of $\mathbf{1 0 4}$, its coupling with 57 previously stirred at reflux temperature of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with Mg turnings was performed. We obtained only dehalogenated 57 and unreacted 104 . We performed the addition of dienone $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ with commercial phenylmagnesium bromide. In this case the coupling product was formed, clarifying that our dienone could undergo Grignard attack. Another test was done by treating iodine $\mathbf{5 7}$ with 1.1 equivalent of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ and addition of the formed organolithium species to $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ but none reaction occurred.


Scheme 4-80 Dienone acetate coupling with 57

[^80]Considering the formation of dienone $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ with a very low yield, we next prepared the fragments $\mathbf{E}$ ' bearing a homoallylic alcohol as expected in the retrosynthetic Scheme $\mathbf{4 - 7 9}$, we prepared the fragments $\mathbf{E}$ ' bearing a homoallylic alcohol. Indeed, as reported in the following scheme, the homoallylic alcohol 72 was quantitatively protected with benzyl bromide to give 106. The use of $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ in THF removes the MOM protecting group. Phenol $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ was obtained in quantitative yield. $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ was then treated with 1.2 equivalent of PIDA in AcOH and the desired product $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ was isolated in $65 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4-81 Preparation of dienone acetate 108

Having 108 in hand, coupling with the Grignard reagent of $\mathbf{5 7}$ would be performed in a near future.

### 4.3.1.3 Suzuki coupling

In this part of manuscript, we will present the work done to perform the intermolecular Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling between the $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments borylated or halogenated.

### 4.3.1.3.1 Borylation of $D$ type's fragments and Suzuki coupling with E type fragment

The synthesis of the boronic acids of the halogenated $\mathbf{D}$ type's fragments were performed by BuLi exchange followed by addition of triisopropylborate. ${ }^{220}$ (Scheme 4-82)


Scheme 4-82 Borylation by nBuLi exchange with halogen on D type's fragments

Initially the reaction was tried on substrate $\mathbf{5 6}$ with 1.2 equivalent of freshly titrated $n \mathrm{BuLi}$, but none formation of the desired product 56a was observed. As the iodinated product was recovered almost quantitatively, we thought that a stoichiometric quantity of BuLi wasn't enough to promote the iodinelithium exchange. For this reason we employed directly 3 equivalent of BuLi. After 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triisopropylborate $\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}\right]$ was added and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature for about 3 h . After acidic quenching and purification of crude, we isolated the desired boronic acid $\mathbf{5 6 a}$ with $84 \%$ yield. (Scheme 4-82). Using the same procedure, MOM protected compound $\mathbf{5 7}$ was transformed into the boronic acid 57a, but it was impossible to obtain an isolated pure product.

The iodine-lithium exchange performed on $\mathbf{5 8}$ followed by addition of $\left[\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}\right]$ gave the desired product with about $50 \%$ yield but the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum revealed some impurity that we were unable to eliminate. The same conditions used on the bromide $\mathbf{9 5}$ did not give the corresponding boronic acid.

With the boronic acids in our hands, we decided to perform first the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling between 56a and 71a. Efficient Suzuki cross-coupling reactions at room temperature were reported by Fu. ${ }^{221}$ In order to

[^81]avoid the Pd-catalyzed isomerization of the allylic double bond, we tried this coupling reaction 4 h at room temperature (Scheme 4-83) but no reaction occurred. Increasing the temperature from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 18h did not afford compound $\mathbf{1 0 9}$; only partially dehalogenated and deborylated products were isolated.


Scheme 4-83 Suzuki-Miyaura reaction at room temperature between 56a and 71a

Then we tried the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction (Scheme 4-84) between boronic acid 56a and 72b whose both hydroxyl groups are protected as MOM ethers (See 4.1.3 for its preparation).


Scheme 4-84 Suzuki-Miyaura reaction on 56a and 72b

Also in this case the usual dehalogenated and deborylated compounds were found after purification together with unclear products on which partial isomerization of the allylic double bond was observed.

Taking account this unsatisfactory results we turned our attention to the borylation of $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments and Suzuki cross-coupling reaction with $\mathbf{D}$ type's fragments.

### 4.3.1.3.2 Borylation of E type's fragments and Suzuki coupling with D type's fragments

First of all in order to avoid the loss of $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments that required a multistep preparation, we chose commercially available (2-hydroxyphenyl)boronic acid as model.

Denton et al. reported for the synthesis of a natural product honkiol, ${ }^{222}$ a Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reaction using an aryl boronic acid bearing an allylic double bond. After optimization of the coupling conditions, they found that tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium $(0)\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right]$ and S-Phos in presence of KF as base and THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ as solvent gave with $94 \%$ yield the desired coupling product without any trace of isomerized product (Scheme 4-85).


Scheme 4-85 Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction for synthesis of honkiol

We applied these conditions on our substrates, using X-Phos as ligand, as reported in Table 4-17 (entry 1). We used 1 equivalent of substrate 57 and 1.5 equivalent of (2-hydroxyphenyl)boronic acid and we obtained a mixture $1: 1$ of two regioisomers 115 and 115a.

[^82]|  <br> 57 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pd source ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | Ligand (30mol\%) | 115:115a ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | X-Phos | 50\%:50\% |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | 90\%:10\% |
| $3 \quad \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ |  | 95\%:5\% |
| 4 Pd-GL-X-Phos |  | 5\%:95\% |
| $5 \quad \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | 100\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ :0\% |
| $6 \quad \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})_{2}$ |  | 90\%:10\% | product was isolated with $50 \%$ yield after acid quench of reaction.

Table 4-17 Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction between 57 and (2-hydroxyphenyl)boronic acid

Different Suzuki cross-coupling reactions were tested changing only the catalytic system. Changing the ligand ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ instead of X-Phos) allowed to obtain 115 and 115a in an interesting 90:10 ratio. Surprisingly we observed that with a second generation X-Phos precatalyst (entry 4) the major isomer formed is $\mathbf{1 1 5 a}$. Finally using $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (entry 5) gave only 115. The acidic quench of the reaction with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})$ allowed to isolate with $50 \%$ yield the deprotected coupled compound $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ without isomerization of the allylic double bond (Scheme 4-86).


Scheme 4-86 Suzuki-Miyaura conditions that avoid double bond isomerization

Accordingly trials with other commercial boronic species (acid or ester) were performed with substrate $\mathbf{5 7}$ (Table 4-18).

Except for the entry 1 in which similar Denton conditions were tried, the other tests were carried out with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$. But the results were different, except for the entry 4 in which coupling reaction occurred. Otherwise only starting materials, dehalogenated 57 and side-products were formed. The analysis of this results brought us to conclude that the free OH in ortho position to the boronic acid is important and probably involved in some coordination with palladium that favoured the reaction. Indeed, when boronic acid are involved in Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions, multiple factors could influence the rate of transmetallation, as reported by Jutand and co-workers. ${ }^{223}$


| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\operatorname{ArB}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}$ | Pd Source 10mol\% | Ligand 30mol\% | Products |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ | X-Phos | Trace + s.m. |
| 2 |  | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | byproducts + dehalogenated sm |
| 3 |  | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | byproducts + dehalogenated sm |
| 4 |  | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \%: 30 \%^{\mathrm{b}} \\ +20 \% \text { dehalogenated s.m. } \end{gathered}$ |

a. condition: KF (5equiv), $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10: 1)$, b. ratio between the two regioisomers

Table 4-18 Suzuki-Miyaura coupling between 57 and boronic species

[^83]Considering this factor, we decided to install a boronic acid on the $\mathbf{E}$ types's fragments with a free hydroxyl group, in ortho position to the boron.

The intermolecular biaryl coupling expected will be performed between an iodinated $\mathbf{D}$ type's fragment and an $O$-hydroxyphenyl boronic acid $\mathbf{E}$ type (Scheme 4-87).


Scheme 4-87 Suzuki-Miyaura intermolecular cross-coupling reaction

Before to start with the tests of borylation, we protected the secondary alcohol of $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments as reported in Table 4-19. The substrates 71a, 71b and 72a were respectively transformed into 71c, 71d, 72b and 72c in quantitative or quasi-quantitative yield. As showed in Table 4-19 we prepared iodinated and brominated compounds with identical protecting groups on phenol and alcoholic position or with different protecting groups as in the case of 72c. This library of compounds was prepared to test borylation reactions.


| Entry | SM | X | P | Protection conditions | P ${ }^{\prime}$ | Prod. | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 71a | I | Bn | $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 5 h | Bn | 71c | 90\% |
| 2 | 71b | Br | Bn | $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 5 h | Bn | 71d | 93\% |
| 3 | 72a | I | MOM | MOMCl, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 8 h | MOM | 72b | 85\% |
| 4 | 72a | I | MOM | TBSCl, Imidazole, DMAP, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | TBS | 72c | 98\% |

Table 4-19 Secondary alcohol's protection of E type's fragments

The first borylations were tried using $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ and different borates to find the suitable conditions. ${ }^{224}$
In the following table are reported a series of reactions performed on the substrates 71a, 72a, 71c and 72b. In all the performed reactions, 2 equivalents of $n$ - BuLi and 6 equivalents of borate (independently from their nature) were used. The first essay was done on the monobenzylated compound 71a; addition of $n$ BuLi at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by addition of triisopropylborate and the mixture is allowed to warm at room temperature all night long. The NMR analysis of the crude showed the formation of the dehalogenated compound in mixture with starting product. The same result was obtained when the reaction was done on dibenzylated 71c (entry 2). In entries 3 and 4 of the Table 4-20, the mixture was stirred for 30 min in presence of $n$-BuLi, but again starting materials and dehalogenated products were found in the crude.


| Entry | Sub. | $\boldsymbol{n B u L i}(\mathbf{T} / \mathbf{t})$ | Borate | Borate addition (T/t) | Product* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 71a | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | - |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 71c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 72a | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | - |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 72b | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 1 h | - |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 72a | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | - |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 72a | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | - |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 71c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 4 d | - |

[^84]Table 4-20 Borylation promoted by nBuLi exchange

[^85]Others tests were done stirring 72a one hour with nBuLi with differents boronic esters but no traces of the desired boronic acid were observed (entry 5 and 6 ). The last attempt was performed on 71c; $n$-BuLi was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was stirred for 3 h during which the temperature reached $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At this temperature the borate was added and the solution was allowed to stir for 4days. Despite all these efforts, boronic acid wasn't obtained.

Disappointed by this results, we decided to try halogen exchange with magnesium in place of lithium as already used by Colobert et al. for iodinated substrates. ${ }^{225}$

In this case we focused our tests on 71c and 71a and we finally observed (entry 1, Table 4-21) the formation of the desired boronic acid 117a that was isolated after a column chromatography with a $24 \%$ yield. Because this result was obtain after stirring for 3 days the mixture with triisopropylborate, we tried to reduce the reaction time repeating the same conditions (entry 2 ) and stopping the reaction after 18 h of reaction. Unfortunately only dehalogenated product was obtained. Other attempts were realized adding $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 3 and 4 ), but also these tests were unsuccessful, as well as the one performed on 72b (entry5).


| Entry | Sub. | $\boldsymbol{i}$ - $\mathbf{P r M g C l}(\mathbf{T} / \mathbf{t})$ | Borate | Borate addition (T/t) | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 71c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 3 d | $24 \%(\mathbf{1 1 7 a})$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 71c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | - |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 71c | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 1.5 h |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 c}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 4 d |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 b}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h |  |

Table 4-21 Borylation promoted by i-PrMgCl exchange

[^86]Another possibility was to use the turbo Grignard $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}-\mathrm{LiCl}$ widely investigated by Knochel and coworkers. ${ }^{226}$ In the Table 4-22 are reported the results obtained performing the reactions with 1.2 equivalents of $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}-\mathrm{LiCl}$. The first attempt (entry 1) on 71c with triisopropylborate gave a ratio $71 \%$ : $29 \%$ of the dehalogenated starting material and the desired product. With trimethylborate (entry 2) the desired product 117 a was obtained with a ratio almost $50: 50$ compared to the dehalogenated product. Trimethoxyborate was also employed with 72c (entry 3) giving 119 and the dehalogenated product in a 75:25 ratio. Same conditions were tried on the brominated product 71d affording only starting material.


| Entry | Sub. | $\boldsymbol{i}$-PrMgCl Li (T/t) | Borate | Borate addition (T/t) | Product* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 71c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | $71 \% / 29 \%$ <br> dehalog. $/ 117 \mathrm{a}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 71c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | $53 \% / 47 \%$ <br> dehalogen. $/ 117 \mathrm{a}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 72c | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 d | $75 \% / 25 \%$ <br> dehalogen. $/ 119$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 d}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 18 h | s.m. |

*Ratio determined by NMR analysis
Table 4-22 Borylation promoted by $\boldsymbol{i}-\mathrm{PrMgCl} \mathrm{LiCl}$ exchange

Currently we are working on this step trying to improve the yield of this reaction. In particular we would optimize the results on substrates with different protecting groups (like in entry 3 ) in order to perform a

[^87]selective deprotection on phenol in order to have a free hydroxyl group in ortho position of the formed boronic acid.

This boronic acid will be used in Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions, following the best conditions found to avoid isomerization of allylic double bond (see Scheme 4-86). Therefore the following synthesis towards myricanol would be the RCM.

## 5 BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF LINEAR DIARYLALKYL COMPOUNDS

### 5.1 OBJECTIVES

In the previous chapter we have disclosed the preparation of linear diarylalkanoids with different chain lengths (see paragraph 4.2.1). Natural diarylheptanoids extracted from Aceraceae, Betulaceae, Zingiberaceae, Leguminosae, Juglandaceae, Myricaceae etc. are increasingly recognized as potential therapeutic agents for their numerous physiological activities such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antitumor, estrogenic, leishmanicidal, anti-melanogenesis, hepatoprotective and neuroprotective. ${ }^{3,4}$

For these reasons, we decided to evaluate the biological activities of the prepared diarylalkanoids. Since myricanol shows antioxidant ${ }^{9}$ and anti-tau activity ${ }^{37}$, it was appropriate to opt, in the first place, for an antiinflammatory evaluation of our linear analogues. The oxidative stress is, indeed, one of the most important factors involved in inflammatory and in the neurodegenerative disease (as Alzheimer disease).

The molecules tested are depicted in the following figure. Aside from diarylheptanoids $\mathbf{7 5 a}, \mathbf{8 3}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$, we decided to screen also the diarylhexanoid 78 and the homocoupled products derived from cross-metathesis reaction 48bis and 71bis. Moreover, a Dess-Martin oxidation of 71bis afforded quantitatively the diketone 72bis which was also evaluated. Interestingly 71bis and 72bis are new diaryldecanoids.
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Figure 5-1 Molecule evaluated for their antioxidant properties

Curcumin was choosen as reference in our experiements. It is an orange-yellow crystalline powder that was first described in 1910 by Lampe and Milobedeska. Due to its yellow colour and the "miraculous" biological activities, Curcumin is defined as the golden spice. ${ }^{5}$ Curcumin possess pleiotropic activities due to polyphenol structure that modulate multiple signalling molecules. First demonstrated to have antibacterial
activity in 1949, curcumin has since been shown to have anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, pro-apoptotic, chemopreventive, chemotherapeutic, antiproliferative, antiparasitic and antimalarial properties as well. ${ }^{227}$

In the following pages will be reported the biological tests done on the prepared molecules from the laboratory of biology of Dr. Infatino of University of Basilicata. The aim of these tests was to understand the ability of prepared molecules to suppress cellular inflammation. Two typical cellular lines of inflammatory system were used: human U937 and murine BV-2 cells.

[^88]CHAPTER 5 : Biological evaluation of linear diarylalkyl compounds

### 5.2 CYTOTOXICITY TEST

Since these curcumin analogues were newly synthetized, first their cytotoxic effects were determined by the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) uptake method in U937 and BV2 cell lines. Figure 5-2 summarizes the relative cell viability of U937 and BV-2 cells, respectively, treated with our compounds, compared to the leading curcumin. Curcumin showed no cytotoxicity up to 100 nM in both cell lines Figure 5-2 but was toxic at $1 \boldsymbol{\mu M}$ in U937 cells (Figure 5-2, A). Compounds 75b, 78, 83 and $\mathbf{8 4}$ were not toxic up to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in both cell lines. All the compounds affected the viability of U937 and BV-2 cells starting at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Indeed no effect was detected from any compounds at 10 nM in both tested cells. For this reason we chose 10 nM as optimal concentration to evaluate the anti-inflammatory effect of synthesized compounds.


Figure 5-2 Cytotoxic effect of polyoxygenated diaryl-alkyl compounds

U937 cells (A) and BV-2 cells (B) were treated with DMSO (control, blue), 0.01 (red), 0.1 (green), 1 (violet), 5 (light blue) or 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (orange) curcumin, $\mathbf{4 8}$ bis, $\mathbf{7 1 b i s}$, 72bis, $\mathbf{7 5 b}$, 78, 83, $\mathbf{8 4}$ compounds. After 72 h cytotoxicity was measured by MTT assay. Means $\pm$ S.D. of eight replicate independent experiments are shown; differences between samples were significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, oneway ANOVA). Where indicated differences between samples and relative controls (set at $100 \%$ ) ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$, Student's t -test) were significant.

### 5.3 ROS AND NO EVALUATION ON U937 AND BV-2 CELLS

ROS (Reactive oxygen species) and NO (Nitric Oxide) are two of well-characterized chemokines that play an important role in inflammation. ${ }^{228}$ Intense production of ROS and NO contributes significantly to the pathological complications observed in various diseases ${ }^{229}$. Curcumin and its seven synthetic analogues were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the ROS and NO synthesis in U937 and BV-2 cells stimulated by LPS (Lipopolysaccharides). Macrophages were stimulated with LPS in the presence or absence of each compound at a concentration of 10 nM , where the leading compound curcumin showed a significant inhibition against ROS and NO production without affecting cell vitality. The cells were pre-incubated for 30 minutes with curcumin analogues or DMSO as a negative control. Thereafter, cells were treated with LPS ( $400 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 24 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ROS and NO levels were detected through a fluorescence microplate reader. Figure 5-3 (A and B) display the anti-inflammatory evaluation of each compound in U937 cells. Curcumin reduced LPS- mediated ROS and NO production at $71 \%$ and $79 \%$ in U937 cells, (A and B), respectively. The majority of the tested compounds inhibited LPS-induced ROS and NO synthesis at different degrees.

[^89]

Figure 5-3 Evaluation of ROS and NO on U937 cells
U937 cells were treated with LPS in presence or absence of 48bis, 71bis, 72bis, 75b, 78, 83, and $\mathbf{8 4}$ and then used to quantify ROS and NO. Means $\pm$ S.D. of six duplicate independent experiments are shown; differences between samples and relative controls were significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, one-way ANOVA).

Compounds 75b, 72bis and $\mathbf{8 3}$, exhibited higher inhibitory ability in terms of ROS than the leading curcumin in U937 cells (Figure 5-3-A). With regards to NO, only $\mathbf{7 8}$ showed inhibition of NO over $30 \%$ compared to the leading curcumin in U937 cells. Compounds $\mathbf{7 1 b i s}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$ were as potent as curcumin in inhibiting LPS-induced NO synthesis (Figure 5-3-B).

Microglial cells (BV-2 cells) are resident macrophages of the nervous system with pivotal roles in innate immune regulation and neuronal homeostasis. ${ }^{230}$ Since curcumin inhibits the activation of microglial cells by diminishing the synthesis of nitric oxide ${ }^{231}$ in another set of experiments we tested BV-2 microglial cells for ROS and NO production with our compounds (Figure 5-4 A and B). No significant difference was observed between curcumin and its analogues in BV-2 ROS production. However, all compounds displayed a significant reduction of ROS levels when compared to control (Figure 5-4 A). In Figure 5-4 B is showed the NO production in BV-2 cells. In these microglial cells, the tested compounds except compound 48bis showed a reduction of NO

[^90]levels with respect to the leading curcumin. Among them, 71bis and 72bis had the strongest inhibitor effect on LPS-induced NO production in BV-2 cells (Figure 5-4 B).


Figure 5-4 Evaluation of ROS and NO on BV-2 cells
$\overline{\text { BV-2 cells were treated with LPS in presence or absence of 48bis, 71bis, 72bis, 75b, 78, 83, } 84 \text { and then used to }}$ quantify ROS and NO. Means $\pm$ S.D. of six duplicate independent experiments are shown; differences between samples and relative controls were significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, one-way ANOVA).

Interestingly compounds 75b, 71bis, 72bis most inhibit ROS and NO synthesis in both cell lines.



71bis
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Figure 5-5 More active molecules 75b, 71bis and 72bis

A possible explanation could be the presence of allylic moiety in the chain of each molecule. Methylene bridge of the homoallylic alcohol or $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ketone could be involved in the delocalization of probably formed radical. The significant inhibitory activity of the compound 72bis is most likely due to its carbonyl groups inside the long linear chain, which can delocalize electrons from free radicals during
inflammation. Also noteworthy is the fact that compound 48bis shows no anti-inflammatory properties. Our hypothesis is that the long linear chain is important for the anti-inflammatory activity since compound 48bis is the shortest with only 4 carbons, without a homoallylic alcohol or ketone inside. This is also supported by the strong inhibitory activity of compounds 71bis and 72bis, which have a linear chain of 10 carbons with oxygenated and unsaturated positions that delocalize electrons better than curcumin.

All these results indicate that the long chain with carbonyl groups and the double bond inside is important for the antioxidant activity. Future investigation could demonstrate whether these compounds have a molecular target(s) in inflammation or act as free radical scavengers.

## 6 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The main topic of this thesis is the search for a succinct and reliable route towards an atropo-stereoselective synthesis of myricanol, a pathway studded with numerous stumbling blocks and detours.

For this purpose, we envisioned to construct the [7,0]-metacyclophane core of myricanol via two possible ways. The first one (path a, Scheme 6-1) required the intramolecular biarylic C-C coupling (SuzukiMiyaura, Ullman, C-H activation, oxidative coupling) of the seco-precursor C. This diarylheptenoid might result from a cross metathesis reaction between the fragments $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ which in turn would be accessible from cheap commercially available starting materials. The second one (path b, Scheme 6-1) exploited the ring closing metathesis (RCM) in the construction of the macrocycle A and required the intermolecular biarylic C-C coupling of partners $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ to afford the cyclization precursor $\mathbf{B}$.


Scheme 6-1 Approaches considered for preparation of myricanol

The two pathways (path a and b) shared the common starting fragments $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$. The initial part of this thesis concerned their synthesis. The fragments were prepared with and without halogens on the aromatic rings.

The path a was investigated first and after numerous optimization of firstly cross metathesis reaction and secondly macrocyclization gave the desired myricanol.

The crucial macrocyclization of 89 (Scheme 6-2) has turned out to be highly difficult whatever coupling methods tested, yielding the final myricanol in less than $10 \%$ yield (yield was not exactly determined because the final product was obtained with some unknown and not quantifiable impurities) after benzyl ethers cleavage using a domino process developed by Zhu and coll. Nevertheless, as illustrated in Scheme 6-2, myricanol was synthetized in $2.55 \%$ yield over 11 steps which competes favourably the sequence
reported by Whiting ( $0.21 \%$ in 14 steps, see 2.4.2) and even the sequence reported by Dickey ( $2.03 \%$ over 7 steps, starting from already functionalized and not commercially available compounds, see 2.4.3).


Scheme 6-2 Total synthesis of myricanol

The more difficult steps of this synthesis have been:

1. Claisen rearrangement of $O$-allylphenol 51: despite numerous conditions tried, only using $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ we were able to obtain regioselectively and quantitatively the $C$-allyphenol 48 (see 4.1.1.2.2).
2. Cross-metathesis between $\mathbf{4 8}$ and 71: a series of tests were done to improve the yield of this reaction. The best result was obtained using a ratio $4: 1$ of $\mathbf{4 8}$ and $\mathbf{7 1}$, respectively and $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst Grubbs II rigorously added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This allowed to obtain $\mathbf{7 5}$ in $80 \%$ yield (see 4.2.1).
3. Macrocyclization: different C-C coupling methods were investigated (Ullmann coupling, CHarylation, Suzuki-Miyaura coupling). Only the methodology tuning by Jieping Zhu and collaborators gave the macrocycle in a domino process including the synthesis of an arylboronic ester which is involved in the intramolecular Suzuki coupling (see 4.2.3.3).

The total synthesis of myricanol have been accomplished by using two methodologies (cross-methatesis and Suzuki-Miyaura domino process) never reported before for the obtention of this natural [7,0]-metacyclophane.

Moreover, during the preparation of linear diarylalkyl derivatives using CM reaction, we were able to prepare compounds75b, 71bis and 72bis that were revealed good ROS and NO inhibitor in U937 and BV-2 cell lines (in the performed biological tests, curcumin was used as reference).




Figure 6-1 Prepared molecules75b, 71bis and 72bis inhibit NOS and NO in U937 and BV-2 cell lines

Path b (pink color in Scheme 6-1) was also investigated to prepare myricanol by an initial biaryl formation and a subsequent cyclization using RCM. This type of approach have never been finalized before. The only attempt reported by Dansou (see 2.4.4) for the synthesis of a myricanol analogue, failed in the last step of cyclization.

Again many methodologies were considered for the intramolecular biaryl installation as Ullman, oxidative and Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. If Ullman and oxidative biaryl couplings were completely abandoned, Suzuki-Miyaura coupling and the biaryl core installed by an addition on a dienone acetate intermediate seemed promising routes to reach our goal.

After an appropriate functionalization of homoallylic alcohol 72 to give the free phenol 107, oxidative condition as PIDA in presence of acetic acid as nucleophile, gave the dienone acetate $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ in good yield (Scheme 6-3). The intermediate 108 is a good intermediate for the nucleophilic attack of a Grignard derivative of $\mathbf{5 7}$. We worked hard on the formation of the Grignard species of iodide 57, which probably due to its hindrance appears difficult to prepare.


Scheme 6-3 Dienone acetate approach and perspectives

This methodology, if feasible, could be an elegant way to prepare myricanol's biaryl without using transition metals. Of course the subsequential RCM on $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ remains to be investigated to reach myricanol.

Concerning the intermolecular Suzuki-Miyaura coupling, considerable efforts have been done to generate the boronic derivatives and to couple the two aryl moieties.

Among all performed tests, one can affirm that despite boronic acids were easily obtained on the $\mathbf{D}$ type's fragments, it seemed better to generate the boronic species on the $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments in view of the crosscoupling reaction. During the Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings, the boronic acids of the more electron rich fragments (D) never allowed to obtain the cross-coupling products, while biaryl moiety was generated if boronic acids is on the less electron rich moiety (fragment E) of myricanol (Scheme 6-4).



Scheme 6-4 General behaviour observed for intermolecular Suzuki-Miyaura coupling

These results induced us to explore the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction in which boronic species were on fragment E. Optimized conditions with commercially available boronic acids were found (Scheme 6-5) in order to install the biaryl scaffold, avoiding the palladium catalyzed isomerization of allylic group on $\mathbf{5 7}$. We furthermore experimented that a free phenol in ortho position to the boronic acid unrolled a crucial role for the efficiency of the coupling avoiding any isomerization.


Scheme 6-5 Optimized Suzuki-Miyaura conditions to avoid double bond isomerization

This reaction model opened the route to the boronic acid preparation on $\mathbf{E}$ type's fragments. After early unsuccessful tests on the halogenated $\mathbf{E}$ fragments with $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ and differents borate, we finally obtained the boronic acid 117 in $24 \%$ yield by using $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ and $\mathrm{B}(i-\mathrm{OPr})_{3}$. The best results were observed performing borylation with $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl} \mathrm{LiCl}$ and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$. In these conditions boronic acids $\mathbf{1 1 7 a}$ and 119 were obtained with about $50 \%$ and $25 \%$ of yields, respectively. Compound $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ appears particularly interesting for our synthetic aim. MOM protection could be easily deprotected to afford a boronic acid with a free ortho hydroxyl group that, could be a good candidate to accomplish the C-C couplings en route to the myricanol (Scheme 6-6).



Scheme 6-6 Boronic acid preparation and perspectives on Suzuki-Miyaura coupling

Our perspectives concerning this path are the optimization of the boronic acid preparation of $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ or derivatives. A selective phenol deprotection will be used to obtain 119a or analogues, which will be involved in Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions, using the optimized conditions. If the coupling occurs giving 120a without isomerization of the double bond, RCM will be investigated to perform the macrocylization (Scheme 6-6).

We also envisage to generate more stable pinacol boronic ester ${ }^{232}$ by esterification of 117a (or analogues) or to perform a palladium-catalyzed borylation with pinacolborane on the halogenated $\mathbf{E}$ fragments (Scheme 6-7).

[^91]

Scheme 6-7 Perspectives on the preparation of boronic ester of $\mathbf{E}$ fragments

With the boronic ester in hands, we will study the reactivity of either the intermolecular Suzuki-Miyaura reactions with halogenated $\mathbf{D}$ fragments or of the cross-metathesis reactions for the construction of an already functionalized linear diarylheptanoid. The final intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling could be more succesfull (Scheme 6-8).


Scheme 6-8 Perspectives on Suzuki-Miyaura coupling with boronic ester

Obviously our aim would be to synthesize myricanol also with the enantiomerically pure $\mathbf{E}$ type fragment. Considering the reported literature for the isolation and characterization of myricanol, we can not affirm that the axial chirality of the biaryl would be controlled during the macrocyclization. One could imagine that the stereogenic carbinol could induce atropodiastereoselectivity during the macrocyclization giving preferentially one couple of enantiomer, but some doubt remains about this stereoselective effect.


Scheme 6-9 Perspectives for the atropo-stereoselective synthesis of myricanol

When Dickey at al. reported the synthesis of racemic myricanol ${ }^{37}$ the last step is the reduction of the corresponding precursor myricanone with K-selectride and the product submitted to chiral HPLC separation gave a racemic mixture $1: 1$ of two enantiomers that were identified to be $a S, R$ and $\mathrm{a} R, S$ by X-ray analysis. This result could be interpreted in two ways. 1) The reduction with the hindered K-selectride is totally diastereoselective and consequently only two enantiomers a $S, R$ and $\mathrm{a} R, S$ are obtained; 2) or chiral HPLC did not allow the separation of the four possible isomers and only the two enantiomers a $S, R$ and $\mathrm{a} R, S$ crystallized (Scheme 6-10).


Scheme 6-10 Dickey isolation of myricanol enantiomers aS, $R$ and aR,S

Indeed the chiral HPLC separation, reported in the work of Dickey shows a chromatogram constituted by only two peaks. So a question remains opened, after the ketone reduction of myricanone only two products are formed, or the atropisomers are undistinguishable on HPLC chromatography? We can not exclude that an axial rotation occurs in solution. Obviously these hypothesis could be confirmed or disproved if the
substrate involved in the macrocyclization by biaryl coupling would bear an enantiomerically pure stereogenic carbinol.

The evaluation of energy barriers to axial rotation using quantum mechanics calculation could also be another aspect to investigate. Density functional theory calculation (DFT), combined with temperature dependent high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and circular dichroism (CD) mesurements could elucidate our doubt on myricanol atropoismers, as recently reported to define the axial chirality of new drugs. ${ }^{233}$

Another perspective could be to control of the axial chirality through sulfoxide-directed asymmetric C-H bond activation and dynamic kinetic resolution ${ }^{234}$ and to perform the macrocyclization of the enantiopure substrate with controlled axial and central chiralities (Scheme 6-11).


Scheme 6-11 New retrosynthetic idea for atropo-stereoselective synthesis of myricanol
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## 7 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### 7.1 MATERIALAND METHODS: CHEMICAL PART

Commercially obtained reagents and solvent were used as received after adequate checks of purity (titration, NMR) from Sigma Aldrich, TCI and Alfa aesar. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1,4$-dioxane and THF were dried by distillation over sodium/benzophenone after the characteristic blue color of sodium diphenyl ketyl (benzophenone sodium radical -anion) had been found to persist. ${ }^{235} \mathrm{DCM}$ was dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under argon. Diisopropylamine and triethylamine were dried over KOH under argon. Melting ranges (M.p.) given were found to be reproducible after recrystallization. Commercially dry hexane was used as received from Sigma Aldrich.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$, NOESY, COSY and HETCOR NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker Avance 400 MHz and 300 MHz from ECPM-NMR service of University of Strasbourg and on Varian 400 MHz and 500 MHz from CIGAS of University of Basilicata. Samples were prepared using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$. Chemical shifts were referred to $7.27 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $77.00 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, to $2.05 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 29.84ppm $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and 2.50ppm $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $39.52 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$. Chemical shifts are expressed in part per million (ppm) and coupling constants $J$ in Hertz. Multiplicities were abbreviated as s (singlet), bs (broad singlet) d (doublet), $t$ (triplet), q (quartet) and $m$ (multiplet) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$. For ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $q$ is referred to a quaternary carbon.

Unless stated otherwise, purifications were performed by column chromatography on silica gel by using MERCK silica ( $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Reactions were monitored by analysis over thin layer chromatography (TLC) with Alugram® Xtra SIL G/UV (Macherey-Nagel) plates and 0.25 mm Merck silica-gel (60-F254) plates. TLC were visualized by UV fluorescence at 250 nm and revealed with a solution of anisaldehyde $(5.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $p$-anysaldehyde, 2.1 mL of acetic acid, 6.9 mL of concentered sulfuric acid, $186 \mathrm{mLof} \mathrm{EtOH} 95 \%$ ). $n$ Butyllithium ( 1.6 M in hexanes, Aldrich) was used as solutions and its concentration was determined following the Mark R. Winkle, Janet M. Lansinger and Robert C. Ronald titration method for organolithium reagents using 2,3-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol. ${ }^{236}$ Grignard reagents (allyl MgBr , vinylMgBr, $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ and $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl} \mathrm{LiCl})$ were titrated using salicylaldehyde phenylhydrazone as an indicator. ${ }^{237}$

Mass spectra and elementary analysis were carried out by the Analytical Service of the University of Strasbourg or by a Hewlett Packard GC/MS 6890-5973 with an EI source from "Giacomo Mauriello" laboratory of University of Basilicata. The angles of rotation were measured on a Perkin Elmer Polarimeter 341 and denoted as specific rotations: $[\alpha]_{D^{20}}$.
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### 7.2 MATERIALAND METHODS BIOLOGICAL PART

### 7.2.1 Cell culture and treatment

Human monocytic/macrophage cells from hystiocytoma, U937 cells (ICLC HTL 94002-Interlab Cell Line Collection), were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The BV-2 murine microglial cell line was maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ heat inactivated fetal bovine serum, 100 U penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}$. Where indicated U937 and BV2 cells were treated for 24 hours with $400 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ bacterial LPS (Sigma) after adding curcumin and its analogues for 30 minutes.

### 7.2.1 Cell viability

Cell viability was evaluated by a modified MTT assay (CellTiter 96® Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay, Promega, Madison, WI, USA). In brief, U937 and BV-2 cells were treated for 72 hours with curcumin and its analogues at $10 \mathrm{nM}, 100 \mathrm{nM}, 1,5$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The level of formazan product was determined by measuring its absorbance at 570 nm using a 96 -well plate reader (GloMax, Promega).

### 7.2.1 ROS and NO detection

For ROS analysis, U937 and BV-2 treated cells were incubated with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 6-Carboxy-2',7'Dichlorodihydrofluorescein Diacetate (DCFH2-DA, Life Technologies) for 30 minutes (BBRC 2013 ATPcitrate lyase paper). Nitrite formation was measured by using 4-Amino-5-Methylamino-2',7'Difluorofluorescein Diacetate (DAF-FM Diacetate, Life Technologies) according to manufacture's protocol. The fluorescence was revealed by GloMax plate reader (Promega).

### 7.2.1 Statistical analysis

Statistical significance of difference was determined using one-way ANOVA. Results are presented as means $\pm$ S.D of, at least, four independent experiments. Differences were considered as significant ( $\mathrm{P}<$ $0.05 ; *$ ) and very significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01 ;{ }^{* *}$ ).

### 7.3 GENERAL PROCEDURES AND PRODUCTS' CHARACTERIZATION

### 7.3.1 General procedure for Benzylation

Method A (Phenol) ${ }^{238}$

In a solution of phenol (1equiv.) in acetone ( 0.25 M ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2equiv.) after stirring 10 min ., benzyl bromide (1.2equiv.) and NaI or TBAI ( 0.07 equiv.) were added. The reaction was stirred at reflux until complete transformation of starting phenol. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction was purified by chromatography on silica gel.

## Method B (Phenol/Alcohol)

In a solution of phenol (1equiv.) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.25 M ) was added $\mathrm{NaH} 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil (2.5equiv.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for almost 10 min after which benzyl bromide (1.2equiv.) and NaI ( 0.07 equiv.) were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature until complete benzylation of starting phenol and/or alcohol. The reaction was quenched at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ adding slowly a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 x 30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ and with water $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction was purified by silica gel chromatography to remove excess of DMF and benzylbromide.

### 7.3.2 General procedure for Weinreb amide

$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (3equiv) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(0.3 \mathrm{M})$ under inert atmosphere. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ (3equiv., 2 M in toluene) was added dropwise at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and a solution of starting ester (1equiv.) in dry DCM ( 0.3 M ) was prepared and added to the mixture. The mixture was heated to reflux overnight. The solution became yellow. The reaction was slowly hydrolyzed with an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \mathrm{X} 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. Crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography to obtain the pure product.

[^94]
### 7.3.3 General procedure for Bromination

## Method A ${ }^{239}$

To a solution of aryl substrate (1equiv.) in $\mathrm{AcOH}\left(0.40 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ) was added AcONa (2 equiv.) and liquid $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (1.1equiv.). The solution was stirred at room temperature until disappearing of starting material. Reaction was followed by TLC or by GC-MS. The mixture was quenched with water, extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The organic layers were washed with water $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ and with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction was purified by silica gel chromatography.

## Method B ${ }^{113}$

To a solution of starting aryl substrate (1equiv.) in ACN ( 0.25 M ) and TFA (0.3equiv.) was added NBS (1.1 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature and followed by TLC, GC-MS or NMR. When the starting material appeared completely reacted the mixture was quenched with water. Extraction of aqueous layers was done with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Concentration of organic phase under reduced pressure gave the crude product that was purified by silica gel chromatography.

### 7.3.4 General procedure for iodination

Method A ${ }^{238}$
Starting aromatic compound (1equiv.), $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1equiv.) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (1equiv.) were dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$ and stirred at room temperature until completed halogenation. The solution is filtered, washed with saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ and brine ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the final product that could be used without any further purification if the reaction was quantitative.

## Method B ${ }^{113}$

To a solution of starting aryl substrate (1equiv.) in ACN ( 0.25 M ) and TFA (0.3equiv.) was added NIS (1.1 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at room temperature and followed by TLC, GC-MS or NMR. When the starting material appeared completely reacted the mixture was quenched with water. Extraction of aqueous layers was done with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Concentration of organic phase

[^95]under reduced pressure gave the crude product that was purified by silica gel chromatography or if pure used with any further purification.

### 7.3.5 General procedure for acetylation

To a solution of phenol/ alcohol (1equiv.) in Py ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added acetic anhydride ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was stirred at room temperature until complete transformation of starting material. The reaction was quenched with water and aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 x 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulted organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous solution of NaCl . Organic layers were unified, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentered under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, sometimes obtained pure with no need to further purification.

### 7.3.6 General procedure for MOM protection ${ }^{240}$

To a cooled ( $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of secondary alcohol or phenol ( 1 equiv.) in anhydrous DCM ( 0.3 M ) were added $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine (6equiv.) or NaH ( 6 equiv., $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) and MOMCl (6equiv.) under Ar. After being stirred at room temperature until complete transformation of starting material, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ and diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed successively with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography to give the corresponding MOMO ether.

### 7.3.7 General procedure for aldehyde allylation

## Method A:

At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to a solution of crude aldehyde (1equiv) in THF ( $0,3 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added, dropwise and under argon, a solution of allylmagnesium bromide (1equiv., 1 M in diethyl ether). The solution was stirred for 2 h and allowed to warm to r.t.. The mixture was then quenched with water ( 10 mLXmmol ) and stirred 10 minutes. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{mLXmmol})$ and the organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography.
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## Method B:

A solution of crude aldehyde (1equiv.) in THF ( $0,3 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added under argon to a solution of allyl boronic acid pinacol ester (1equiv.) in THF ( 1 M ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The solution was then quenched with water ( 10 mLXmmol ) and stirred 10 minutes. The mixture was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{mLXmmol}$ ) and the organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography.

### 7.3.8 General procedure for cross-metathesis:

To a solution of homoallyilic alcohol or $\gamma, \beta$-unsaturated ketone ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and the allylphenols (4eq) in dry DCM $(0,08 \mathrm{M})$, was added dropwise a solution of second generation Grubbs catalyst ( 3 or $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in dry $\operatorname{DCM}(0,02 \mathrm{M})$, under Ar atmosphere at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm to r.t. for 1 day under stirring. The mixture was evaporated and the crude was purified by silica gel chromatography (preparation: cyclohexane, elution: from pure cyclohexane to cyclohexane/EtOAc, $4 / 1$ to $3 / 2$ ).

### 7.3.9 General procedure for debenzylation and double $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond hydrogenation:

Hydrogenation of cross-metathesis products ( 1 mmol ), was performed under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere in presence of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, using $\mathrm{MeOH}(0,5 \mathrm{M})$ as a solvent. The reaction was monitored by TLC and the mixture was passed through a pack of celite and washed with MeOH once full conversion of the starting material was observed. The solvent was removed under vacuum to afford the pure desired product.

### 7.3.10 General procedure for diimide hydrogenation of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond ${ }^{175}$

To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and vigorously stirred solution of 2-nitrobenzenesulfonylchloride ( 2 equiv.) and alkene (1 equiv.) in dry $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$ was slowely added (dropwise) hydrazine hydrate (4 equiv.). The resulting suspension was allowed to slowely warm to room temperature, stirring vigorously for all night long. After 18 h of reaction, the crude was filtered and washed with EtOAc. The residue was dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and dried at vacuum. The crude was subsequentely purified by a silica gel pad.

### 7.3.11 Myricanol (1)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: $368.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $358.43 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

To a flask containing NaOAc ( 10 equiv., $12.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.04 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.10$ equiv., $0.127 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.104 \mathrm{~g})$, bis(pinacolato)diboron ( 1.1 equiv., $1.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.04 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and dibromide 89 ( 1.0 equiv., $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added degassed DMSO ( $64 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ ). After being heated under argon at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature and then quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3x40mL). The organic layers was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under vacuum. The crude was purified on silica gel chromatography (pure EP to $\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 9.5 / 0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}$ ). The recollected fractions (except for the individuated unreacted starting material and its dehalogenated derivatives) resulted complicated to interpret and for this reason were subjected to catalytic debenzylation by use of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and MeOH as solvent.

In one of debenzylated fractions diagnostic myricanol peak were individuated, unfortunately the product was not completely pure and the characterization of prepared myricanol was not possible (see Figure 4-3 for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). We estimated to have obtained a yield $\approx 10 \%$ considering the two steps (Suzuki-domino coupling and debenzylation).

### 7.3.12 Experimental part for fragments D and E and derivatives

All the characterized compound were reported in ascending order of number.

2-hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde ${ }^{241}$ (39)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Exact Mass: $182.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $182.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Anhydrous $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and commercial 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dry toluene ( 10.2 mL ) and vigorously stirred under argon. The mixture was refluxed for 8.5 h and after cooling to room temperature, HCl was added. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed twice with water. To remove the residual starting compound, the solution was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The basic solution was immediately cooled and acidified with $17 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to pH 1 and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extract was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. This provided a viscous brown oil compound.

Time $=8.5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=345 \mathrm{mg}(37 \%)$.
$\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{f}=0.35(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 3)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d6), $\delta: 3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar$H) ; 7.48$ (d, 1H, J=8.80Hz, Ar-H); 10.03 (s, 1H, CHO).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$; 7.28 (d, 1H, J=8.80Hz, Ar-H); 9.73 (s, 1H, CHO).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta: 56.09\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.10\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 103.95(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 116.45(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{CHO})$; 130.24 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.04 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 154.34 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); 159.33 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 194.91 ( CHO ).

EIMS $m / z 182[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 167$ (20), 139(50)
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## 2-(benzyloxy)-3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (40)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Exact Mass: $272.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $272.30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained from aldehyde $39(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ following the general procedure for benzylation of phenol-Method A (see 7.3). Purification by silica gel chromatography afforded the pure product as a transparent oil.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}) 92 \%$.
$\boldsymbol{R f}=0.5(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 3)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta: 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.37$ (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}) ; 7.58$ (d, 1Hz, $J=8.80, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 10.10$ (s, 1H, CHO).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, $\delta: 58.15\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.97\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 75.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 103.95(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 123.26$ ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 127.80 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.93 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.12 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 149.01 (q, $C-\mathrm{CHO}$ ); 140.89 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 155.43 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); 157.35 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 190.21 (q, $C H O$ ).

EIMS $m / z 272[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(10), 243$ (30), 91(100)

## 2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (41)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: $350.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $351.19 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained from benzylated aldehyde $\mathbf{4 0}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ following the general procedure for bromination-Method A (see 7.3) stirring the mixture for 22h, and from benzylation of phenol $42(0.10 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.38 mmol ) with general procedure (Method A) after 18 h of reaction. Purification by silica gel chromatography afforded the pure product as a transparent oil.

Time $=22 \mathrm{~h}$ from 40,
5 h from 42

Yield $=(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}) \quad 100 \%$ from 40,
( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) $75 \%$ from 42
$\boldsymbol{R f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 3)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta: 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H, Bn); 7.76 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 10.06 (s, 1H, CHO).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, $\delta: 61.30\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.39\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 76.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 112.82(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 126.45$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 126.65 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.67 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.78 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 135.84 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 147.24$ (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $155.36(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OH}) ; 156.63\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 187.85(\mathrm{CHO})$.

EIMS $m / z 350[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(2), 260$ (30), 91(100)

## 5-bromo-2-hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (42)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrO}_{4}$
Exact Mass: $259.97 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $261.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained from phenol $39(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ following the general procedure for bromination-Method A (see 7.3) stirring the mixture for 2 h . Purification by silica gel chromatography afforded the pure product as a yellow solid.

Time $=2 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}) 100 \%$
$\mathbf{M p}=54-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\boldsymbol{R f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta: 3.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H); 9.74 (s, 1H, CHO); 11.20 (s, 1H, OH).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 61.13\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.38\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 106.83(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Br}) ; 118.13(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{CHO}) ;$
$131.49(C H-A r) ; 141.30\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 156.22(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OH}) ; 156.66\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 194.49(C \mathrm{HO})$.

EIMS $m / z 260[M]^{+}(100), 217$ (50), 123(20), 95(45).

## (E)-methyl 3-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acrylate (43)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$ Exact Mass: $406.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $407.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of benzyl aldehyde $41(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 0.1 M ) methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate ( 1.1 equiv.) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 5 h and quenched with water. The aqueous layers were extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude of reaction was purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the desired product as a pale yellow oil. The product $\mathbf{4 3}$ was obtained as a mixture cis/trans 15:85.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}) 79 \%$
$\boldsymbol{R f}=0.5(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.03_{\text {cis }}(\mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.05_{\text {trans }}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.92_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H\right.$-styrenic); $6.32_{\text {trans }}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J_{\text {trans }}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} H$-styrenic); $6.94_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-styrenic); 7.38 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $7.81_{\text {trans }}(\mathrm{d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$-styrenic).

EIMS $m / z 406[M]^{+}(60), 374$ (80), 345(90), 207(100), 91(80).

## (E)-3-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (44)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: $378.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $379.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of $43(0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of DIBAL ( 1 M in hexane, $224 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 10 h and allowing to warm at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with MeOH , the mixture was stirred for 30 min and filtered over Celite to remove the aluminium salts. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude product 44 as a viscous transparent oil (mixture cis/trans 15:85).

Time $=10 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}) 100 \%$
$\boldsymbol{R f}=0.35(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ); $5.02_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-Bn); $5.04_{\text {trans }}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.83_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-styrenic); $6.23_{\text {trans }}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH -styrenic); $6.45_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-styrenic); $6.73_{\text {trans }}\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-styrenic); 6.92 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.41 (m, 5H, Ar-H).

EIMS $m / z 378[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(20), 348$ (15), 242(10), 91(100).

## (E)-3-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)allyl acetate (45)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: $420.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $421.28 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Substrate $44(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ was obtained following the general procedure for acetylation (see 7.3) of phenol/alcohol. The crude of reaction was filtered through silica pad to afford the pure product as a yellow oil as a mixture cis/trans 15:85.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 0.112 \mathrm{mmol}) 100 \%$
$\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{f}=0.45(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J_{\text {trans }}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ); $5.01_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.05_{\text {trans }}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.85_{\text {cis }}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-styrenic); $6.23_{\text {trans }}\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-styrenic); $6.62_{\text {cis }}\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-styrenic); $6.78_{\text {trans }}\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ styrenic); 7.15 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.41 (m, 5H, Ar-H).

EIMS $m / z 420[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(20), 390$ (15), 284(10), 91(100), 43(50).

## 2,3-dimethoxyphenol



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$<br>Exact Mass: $154.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$<br>Molecular Weight: $154.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The 2,3-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (1equiv., $54.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 271 mL ). This mixture was vigorously stirred, the hydrogen peroxide (2.5equiv., $135.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 13.98 \mathrm{~mL}$ aqueous solution $30 \%$ ) and formic acid (4equiv., $217.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.95 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added. The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser and heated to reflux for 18 h . After cooling, 140 mL of 1.5 N sodium hydroxide was added to the flask. The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The organic layer was separated and concentrated to residue using a rotary evaporator. The residue was combined with the aqueous solution and 94 mL of methanol was added. The solution was stirred for 30 minutes and the methanol removed under vacuum. The neutral materials were removed from the aqueous residue by extracting with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 144 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution pH was adjusted to 1 with HCl concentrated. The product of reaction was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 144 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution containing the neutrals as well as the one containing the product were separately dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated under vacuum pressure. The product was obtained pure as a yellow oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(8.0 \mathrm{~g} ; 52.13 \mathrm{mmol}) 96 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=5: 5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.85(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 55.74\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.91\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 104.16(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 108.24(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 124.06(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 135.63\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; 149.60(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COH}) ; 152.61\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$

EIMS $m / z 154[M]^{+}$(100), 139 (70), 111 (20)

## 6-iodo-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (47) ${ }^{112}$



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $279.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $280.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of 2,3-dimethoxyphenol ( 1 equiv., $3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in ACN ( 65 mL ) and para-toluensolfonic acid $p$-TsOH ( 1 equiv., $3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.62 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added NIS ( 1 equiv., $3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.73 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 3 h and quenched with water. The aqueous layers was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic extracts were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under vacuum pressure. The obtained crude product was filtered through a silica pad to afford a transparent oil.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.85 \mathrm{~g} ; 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}) 94 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3\left(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=8: 2\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{1}$ C NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 56.00\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.15\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 71.52(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) ; 106.43(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 132.71(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 135.42$ (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 149.30 (q, COH ); 152.81 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ );

EIMS $m / z 280[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$(100), 263 (40), 222 (10), 123(20), 95(20).

## 6-allyl-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (48)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $194.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $194.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of $\mathbf{5 1}$ (1 equiv., $5.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dry hexane ( 10 mL ), was added dropwise a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}$ in hexane ( 1.41 equiv, $7.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under inert atmosphere. The mixture was strongly stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h 15 . Formation of an orange solid (gum) was observed. The reaction was quenched by diluting the mixture with hexane $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pouring it into an aqueous solution of HCl solution (4M, 350mL). Dissolution of the orange gum was observed. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness to obtain the product as a brown oil.

Time $=1.25 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(1.1 \mathrm{~g} ; 5.50 \mathrm{mmol}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5\left(E P / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=8: 2\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $5.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl), $5.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl), $5.88(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H$-allyl), $6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.71\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.05\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 61.13\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 103.63(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 115.46$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl), 119.37 (q, $C$-allyl), $124.33(C H-A r), 135.61(C H-$ allyl $), 137.10\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 147.42(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COH})$, $150.91\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 194[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 179$ (20), 163 (14), 147 (40);
anal. C $68.05, \mathrm{H} 7.24 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 68.02, \mathrm{H} 7.27 \%$.

## 3-allyl-6-methoxybenzene-1,2-diol (48b)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $180.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ Molecular Weight: $180.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The product is obtained in $50 \%$ yield as a white-transparent crystal using the same protocol of product 48.
The product is obtained if during the acid quench of Claisen rearrangement the mixture temperature exceed $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Time $=1.25 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(1.1 \mathrm{~g} ; 5.50 \mathrm{mmol}) 99 \%$
M.p. $=55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, C \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.15-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-allyl); 5.37 (s, 1H, OH), 5.39 (s, 1H, OH), 6.00 (m, 1H, CH-allyl), 6.43 (d, 1H, J=8.4 Hz, Ar- $H$ ); 6.62 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.71\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.12\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 102.63(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 115.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl), 119.78 (q, $C$-allyl), 122.02 ( $C H-A r$ ), 132.33 ( $C \mathrm{H}$-allyl), 137.02 (q, $C O H$ ), 141.95 (q, $C O H$ ), 145.42 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 194\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}(100), 179\right.$ (20), 163 (14), 147 (40);
anal. C 66.63 , H 6.69 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, C 66.65 , H $6.71 \%$.

## (E)-6,6'-(but-2-ene-1,4-diyl)bis(2,3-dimethoxyphenol) (48bis)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ Exact Mass: 360.16 g mol
Molecular Weight: $360.40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The substrate was obtained in $30-40 \%$ yield as secondary product from cross-metathesis reaction between phenol $\mathbf{4 8}$ and homoallilic alcohol $\mathbf{7 1}$ following the general procedure reported in 7.3.8. The product was isolated in mixture with $25 \%$ of $Z$ isomer.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.67$ (m, 2H, CH-allyl); 5.85 (s, 1H, OH); 6.42 (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 32.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 55.79\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.86\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 103.47(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 120.00(\mathrm{q}, C-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 123.95(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 129.37(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 135.39\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 147.16(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OH}) ; 150.62\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 360[M]^{+}(20), 194(50), 179$ (20), 163 (14), 147 (40).
anal. C $66.68, \mathrm{H} 6.74 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}, \mathrm{C} 66.65, \mathrm{H} 6.71 \%$.

## 6-iodo-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl acetate (49a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$
Exact Mass: $321.97 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ Molecular Weight: $322.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The substrate 49a was obtained following the general procedure of acetylation (see 7.3) on 2,3dimethoxyphenol (1equiv., $2.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ), after 18 h of reaction the desired product was obtained with $66 \%$ yield ( $1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.33 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Subsequently the protected phenol 49 was halogenated following the general procedure for iodination with NIS (method B). The reaction was stirred for 5 h. Purification by column chromatography $\left({\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}}_{8 / 2}\right)$ gave the product as yellow oil in quantitative yield $(1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.54 \mathrm{~g})$.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4\left(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=8: 2\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.

EIMS $m / z 322[M]^{+}$(30), 280 (100), 264 (40), 43(15).

## tert-butyl(6-iodo-2,3-dimethoxyphenoxy)diphenylsilane (50a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Exact Mass: $518.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $518.46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
In a 2 necks flask under argon atmosphere 2,3-dimethoxyphenol ( 1 equiv., $0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.050 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 2 mL ). To this solution were added imidazole ( 2 equiv., $0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.043 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and a solution of tert-butyl(chloro) diphenylsilane (TBDPSCl) ( 1.2 equiv., $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 18 h . The mixture was quenched with water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with BRINE ( 2 x 15 mL ), dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentered under reduced pressure. The crude of reaction purified by column chromatography afford the desire product with $62 \%(0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$. This oil was directly iodinated, using the general procedure of iodination (Method B) with NIS ( 1.1 equiv., $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Final product 50a was obtained after 16 h of reaction with $79 \%$ yield $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.077 \mathrm{~g})$ as a viscous pale yellow oil.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SiC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.

## 1-(allyloxy)-2,3-dimethoxybenzene (51)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $194.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $194.23 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
In a solution of 2,3-dimethoxyphenol (1equiv., $46.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in acetone ( 185 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2equiv., $92.6 \mathrm{nnol}, 12.78 \mathrm{~g}$ ) after stirring 10 min ., benzyl bromide ( 1.2 equiv., $55.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.72 \mathrm{~mL}$ were added. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 5 h until complete transformation of starting phenol. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{x} 50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction was purified through a silica pad to afford the desired $\mathbf{5 1}$ as a brown oil.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(9.0 \mathrm{~g} ; 46.3 \mathrm{mmol}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5\left(E P / E t_{2} \mathrm{O}=8: 2\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$; $5.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{cis}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl), $5.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl), $6.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-allyl), 6.57 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{Ar}-H), 6.94(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 56.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$-allyl), $105.5(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 107.2(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}), 117.4$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl), 123.4 ( CH -Ar), 133.5 ( CH -allyl), 138.7 (q, COCH ), 152.5 (q, CO-allyl), 153.7 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 194[M]^{+}(100), 179$ (10), 153 (80), 138 (10), 125 (100), 110 (70), 95 (50);
anal. C 68.05 , H 7.24 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 68.02, \mathrm{H} 7.27$ \%.

## 6-allyl-4-iodo-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (52)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $319.99 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $320.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

A solution of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (2 equiv., $5.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and $t-\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ (4 equiv., $10.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.08 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in toluene $(0.1 \mathrm{M}$, 45 mL ) was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. To this mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added a solution of allylphenol 48 ( 1 equiv., $2.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.2 \mathrm{M}, 12.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature and followed by GC-MS and TLC (EP/EtOAc 9:1). After 5h the solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 40 mL ). The organic layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and with BRINE ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and reduced to rotavapor to dryness. The crude product (yellow oil) was constituted by $90 \%$ of desired product 52, it contained also $6 \%$ of starting phenol $\mathbf{4 8}$ and $4 \%$ of diiodinated substarte $\mathbf{5 4}$. To correctly characterize product 52, we first protected its phenol function with methoxymethyl group. We isolated the pure product 57 and we hydrolysed MOM group with HCl 1 M to obtain the pure iodinated phenol 52.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=90 \%$ (conversion)
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $5.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{cis}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl), $5.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl), $5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-allyl), 7.24 (s, 1H, Ar-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl), $60.44\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.94\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 79.42(\mathrm{q}, C$-I), 116.12
( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl), 124.44 (q, $C$-allyl), 133.21 ( $C \mathrm{H}$-allyl), 135.81 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 139.64 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ), 147.87 (q, $\mathrm{COH}), 150.29\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 320[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 277$ (50), 43 (20);
anal. C $41.31, \mathrm{H} 4.15 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{IO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 41.27, \mathrm{H} 4.09 \%$.

## 2-(iodomethyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (53)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $319.99 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $320.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

To a solution of starting phenol 48 ( 1 equiv., $2.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\mathrm{ACN}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 10.28 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ NIS ( 3.5 equiv., $8.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.02 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h after which starting phenol 48 appeared completely reacted. The mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ). Extraction of aqueous layers was done with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Concentration of organic phase under reduced pressure gave the crude product that was purified by silica gel chromatography (EP/EtOAc $9 / 1$ ) affording the right product $\mathbf{5 3}$ as a brown oil.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(2.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.71 \mathrm{~g}) 86 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$-furan); 3.35 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH-furan), 3.38 (m, 1H, CH2-I), 3.47 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-I); $3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94$ (s, 3 H , $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}-\mathrm{furan}) ; 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 9.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-I); $36.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-furan); $56.54\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.08\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 83.42$
(OCH-furan); 105.05 (Ar- $H$ ); 118.51 (q, $C$-furan); 120.53 (Ar- $H$ ); 132.84 (q, CO-furan); 152.03 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); $153.11\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 320[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 193$ (80), 133 (70), 77(55);
anal. C $41.21, \mathrm{H} 4.10 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{IO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 41.27, \mathrm{H} 4.09 \%$.

## 5-iodo-2-(iodomethyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (54)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $445.89 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $446.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of 2-(iodomethyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (53) (1 equiv., $0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $\operatorname{DCM}(0,2 \mathrm{M}, 4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added ( 1.5 equiv., $1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.26 \mathrm{~g}$ ) of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and ( 1.5 equiv., $1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.24 \mathrm{~g}$ ) of AgOTf. The mixture was stirred for 18h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{EP}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 8 / 2\right)$ to give the pure product $\mathbf{5 4}$ as a pale yellow oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}) 50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$-furan); $3.34(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH-furan), 3.37 (m, 1H, CH2-I), 3.44 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - I ; 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 3.94 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 4.92 (m, 1H, OCH-furan); 7.21 (s,1H, Ar-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 8.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-I); $35.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-furan $) ; 60.61\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.93\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.62$
(OCH-furan); 83.05 (q, C-I); 125.13 (q, $C$-furan); 127.53 (Ar- $H$ ); 137.81 (q, CO-furan); 147.83 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); $154.02\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 446[M]^{+}(100), 403$ (5), 375 (5), 177(30);
anal. C $29.59, \mathrm{H} 2.75 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 29.62, \mathrm{H} 2.71 \%$.

## 1-allyl-2,3,4-trimethoxybenzene (55)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $208.11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $208.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of phenol 48 (1equiv., $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in acetone ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2equiv., $1.10 \mathrm{mnol}, 0.15 \mathrm{~g}$ ). After stirring 10 min ., methyl iodide ( 1.2 equiv., $0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added. The reaction was stirred at reflux temperature for 5 h until complete transformation of starting phenol. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction was purified through a silica pad to afford the desired $\mathbf{5 5}$ as a brown oil.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}) 98 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH} 3)$; 5.04 (m, 2H, CH2-allyl); 6.00 (m, 1H, CH-allyl); 6.64 (d, 1H, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.84$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $56.03\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.71\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.92\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 107.34$ ( CH -Ar); $115.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); 123.92 (q, $C$-allyl); $126.12(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 137.62\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; 142.32\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$; $151.70\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 208[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 177$ (30), 151 (10), 133(30);
anal. C 69.24, H 7.73 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, C 69.21, H 7.74 \%

## 1-allyl-5-iodo-2,3,4-trimethoxybenzene (56)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $334.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $334.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of phenol 52 (1equiv., $0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in acetone ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2equiv., $0.62 \mathrm{mnol}, 0.08 \mathrm{~g}$ ). After stirring 10 min ., iodomethane ( 1.2 equiv., $0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added. The reaction was stirred at reflux temperature for 5 h until complete transformation of starting phenol. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The crude reaction was purified through a silica pad to afford the desired $\mathbf{5 6}$ as a pale yellow oil.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.07 \mathrm{~g}) 68 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH 3 ); 5.07 (m, 2H, CH2-allyl); 6.00 (m, 1H, CH-allyl); 7.29 (s, 1H, Ar-H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $60.83\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.91\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.00\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 85.04(\mathrm{C}$ I); 116.23 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl); 131.62 (q, $C$-allyl); 133.25 ( CH -Ar); 136.63 ( CH -allyl); 146.64 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 152.23 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); $152.54\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 334[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 319$ (10), 292 (10), 192 (15), 177(20);
anal. C 43.17, $\mathrm{H} 4.55 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 43.13, \mathrm{H} 4.52$ \%

## (5-allyl-2,3,4-trimethoxyphenyl)boronic acid (56a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: $252.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $252.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Substrate 56 (1equiv., $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0,05 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL ( 0.3 M ) of anhydrous THF under inert atmosphere. The mixture was stirred and allowed to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (3equiv., 0.45 mmol , 0.45 mL , solution 1 M in hexane) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during which a change of colour solution from transparent to yellow was observed. After 1h, $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ (2equiv., $0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.07 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was slowly added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at same temperature after that the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature for additionally 4 h . The reaction was quenched with 5 mL of water and the resultant solution was extracted three times with 10 mL of ethyl ether. The collected organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 100 / 1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}$ ) to obtain the desired product as white butter.

Time $=4 \mathrm{~h}\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+4 \mathrm{~h}\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to rt $)$
Yield $=(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.033 \mathrm{~g}) 84 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH})=100: 1$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.04(\mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{BOH}) ; 3.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.88$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $4.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.05$ (m, 2H, CH $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-allyl); 5.93 (m, 1H, CH-allyl); 6.84 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.65\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $56.83\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.94\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.05\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 115.23$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl); 117.35 (q, C-B); 123.62 (q, $C$-allyl); $129.86(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 136.63\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl); 140.64 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); $146.34\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right) ; 152.21\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-allyl-5-iodo-3,4-dimethoxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene (57)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: $364.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $364.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained following the general procedure for MOM protection (see 7.3). The reaction was performed starting from crude mixture containing phenol 52 in $90 \%$ (lequiv., $3.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography to afford as a transparent oil.

Time: 8h

Yield: (2.54mmol, 0.93g) 82\%
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$-MOM); $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 3.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 5.06 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl); 5.12 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-MOM); 5.93 (m, 1H, CH -allyl); 7.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $57.29\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$-MOM); $60.52\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.58\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; 85.32 (q, $C$-I); 99.06 ( $C H_{2}$-MOM); 116.18 ( $C H_{2}$-allyl); 131.51 (q, $C$-allyl); $133.08(C H-A r) ; 136.09(C H-$ allyl); 145.91 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 149.35 (q, $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{MOM}$ ); 151.97 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 364[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(100), 319$ (45), 292 (10), 237 (15), 177(30);
anal. C 42.90, $\mathrm{H} 4.75 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 42.87, \mathrm{H} 4.71 \%$

## (5-allyl-2,3-dimethoxy-4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)boronic acid (57a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BO}_{6}$
Exact Mass: $282.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $282.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Substrate 56 (1equiv., $1.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 0,7 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in $6 \mathrm{~mL}(0.3 \mathrm{M})$ of anhydrous THF under inert atmosphere. The mixture was stirred and allowed to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution n-BuLi (3equiv., 5.7 mmol , 5.7 mL , solution 1 M in hexane) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during which a change of colour solution from transparent to yellow was observed. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ (2equiv., 3.8 mmol , 0.9 mL ) was slowly added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at same temperature after that the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature for additionally 4 h . The reaction was quenched with 5 mL of water and the resultant solution was extracted three times with 10 mL of ethyl ether. The collected organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 100 / 1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}$ ) to obtain the desired product as white butter. The product was not obtained pure, so the calculated yield include also some impurity.

Time $=4 \mathrm{~h}\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+4 \mathrm{~h}\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to rt $)$
Yield $=(0.268 \mathrm{~g}) 50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35(\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH})=100: 1$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 3.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 5.02 (m, 2H, CH2-MOM); 5.05 (m, 2H, CH2-allyl); 5.93 (m, 1H, CH-allyl); $6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.

## 1-allyl-2-(benzyloxy)-5-iodo-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (58)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: $410.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $410.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Benzylated product 58 was obtained using general procedure for benzylation-Method A (see 7.3). The reaction was performed starting from crude mixture containing phenol $\mathbf{5 2}$ in $90 \%$ (1equiv., $3.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography to afford as a transparent oil.

Time: 5 h

Yield: (1.79mmol, 0.74g) 58\%
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9.5: 0.5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;$ 5.01 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2}$-allyl); 5.12 (s, 2H, CH ${ }_{2}$-Bn); 5.81 (m, 1H, CH-allyl); 7.23 (s, 1H, Ar- $H$ ); 7.34 (m, 5 H , $\mathrm{Bn})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $60.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.68\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 75.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ - Bn$) ; 86.12$ (q, $C$-I); 116.78 ( $C_{2}$-allyl); $126.45(C H-B n) ; 126.95(C H-B n) ; 128.67(C H-B n) ; 130.23$ (q, $C$-allyl); 134.28 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.09 ( CH -allyl); 136.34 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 146.21 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 151.31 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}$ ); 155.97 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 410[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(5), 319$ (10), 283 (15), 177 (10), 91(100);
anal. C 52.75, $\mathrm{H} 4.70 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{IO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 52.70, \mathrm{H} 4.67 \%$

## (5-allyl-4-(benzyloxy)-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)boronic acid (58a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$ Exact Mass: $328.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $328.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Substrate 58 (1equiv., $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0,057 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in $5 \mathrm{~mL}(0.3 \mathrm{M})$ of anhydrous THF under inert atmosphere. The mixture was stirred and allowed to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution n-BuLi (3equiv., 0.36 mmol , 0.36 mL , solution 1 M in hexane) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during which a change of colour solution from transparent to yellow was observed. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ (2equiv., $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.06 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was slowly added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at same temperature after that the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature for additionally 4 h . The reaction was quenched with 5 mL of water and the resultant solution was extracted three times with 10 mL of ethyl ether. The collected organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 100/1 V/V). The product was not obtained pure, so the calculated yield include also some impurity.

Time $=4 \mathrm{~h}\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+4 \mathrm{~h}\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to rt $)$
Yield $=(0.020 \mathrm{~g}) 50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH})=100: 1$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; 5.01 (m, 2H, CH ${ }_{2}$-allyl); 5.08 (s, 2H, CH ${ }_{2}$-Bn); 5.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 5.93 (m, 1H, CH-allyl); 7.38 (m, 6H, $\mathrm{Bn}-H$, $\mathrm{Ar}-H)$.

## 2-(allyloxy)-1-bromo-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (60)

1-(allyloxy)-4-bromo-2,3-dimethoxybenzene (60a)


Brominated product $\mathbf{6 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 0 a}$ were obtained as a mixture 1:1 after performing bromination of substarte 51. Starting $O$-allylbenzene 51 ( 1 equiv., $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was stirred with NBS in ACN for 5 h according to the general procedure-Method B (see 7.3). Otherwise $\mathbf{5 1}$ was used in the same general condition, changing the solvent in THF and the adding NBS at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this last case the mixture was allowed to warm until room temperature and stirred for 22 h .

In both reactions was isolate a transparent oil in which $\mathbf{6 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 0 a}$ were found in the same regioisomeric ratio (1:1). The two regioisomers weren't separable with column chromatography, but they were identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.

Time: 5 h or 22 h
Yield: ( $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.14 \mathrm{~g}$ ) $50 \%$ (60):50\% (60a)
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5\left(\mathrm{EP}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9: 1\right)$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR 60 or $60 \mathrm{a}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ); $5,22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{c i s}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl); $5.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl); $6,11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ allyl); $6,56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7,19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR 60 or $60 \mathrm{a}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-OCH 2 ); $5,27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{c i s}=13 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-allyl); $5.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$-ally) $) ; 6,02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ allyl); $6,56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7,15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.

EIMS $m / z 272[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(60), 231$ (100), 216 (15), 188 (50), 124(65).

## 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methylpropanamide ${ }^{242}$ (61)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $209.11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $209.24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of commercial 4-hydroxyphenylpropionic acid (1 equiv., $3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in THF ( 9 mL ) were added CDMT (2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine) (1.2equiv., $3.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.63 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and NMM ( n methylmorpholine) (3equiv., $9.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.99 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at room temperature. A white precipitate was formed. The solution was stirred for $1,5 \mathrm{~h}$ during which a white precipitate was formed. Subsequently N,Odimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (1equiv., $3.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.29 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 6 h and then quenched with 10 mL of water. The aqueous layers was extracted three times with diethyl ether ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude reaction was purified twice by silica gel chromatography to afford the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{6 1}$ as a transparent oil.

Time: 7.5h

Yield: (1.41mmol, 0.29g) 47\%
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.73\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ); 3.58 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NOCH}_{3}$ ); $6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.04$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.85\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right) ; 32.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 33.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 61.16\left(\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}\right) ; 115.37(\mathrm{CH}-$ Ar); 129.30 ( $C H-A r$ ); 132.20 (q, $C$-alkyl); 154.74 (q, $C$-OH); 174.12 (q, $C O$-amide).
anal. C 63.18, H 7.29, N 7.73 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 63.14, \mathrm{H} 7.23, \mathrm{~N} 6.69$ \%

[^98]
## 3-(4-hydroxy-3-iodophenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methylpropanamide (61a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NNO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 335.00 g mol
Molecular Weight: $335.14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Amide 61a was obtained from the methyl ester 64a following the general procedure for Weinreb amide preparation (see 7.3.2)
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (3equiv., $9.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ (3equiv., $4.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) and methylester $\mathbf{6 4 a}$ (1equiv., $3.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were used. Crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4:6) to obtain the product as a tranparent oil.

Time $=20 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(1.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.55 \mathrm{~g}) \mathbf{5 0 \%}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.52(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=4: 6)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.19(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)_{s} 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.23\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 33.77\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 61.25\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 85.57(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) ;$ 114.96 (CH-Ar); 130.36 (CH-Ar); 135.58 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.84 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 153.21$ (q, CO-Ar); 173.56 (q, C=O)
anal. C 39.48, H 4.25, N 4.22 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{INO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 39.42, \mathrm{H} 4.21, \mathrm{~N} 4.18 \%$

## 3-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methylpropanamide (62)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $299.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $299.36 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Product 62 was obtained after benzylation of phenol 61 ( 1 equiv., $0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ), using general procedure for benzylation method A (see 7.3). It was obtained after 4 h of reaction with a yield of $80 \%$.

Otherwise, amide 62 was obtained from the methyl ester 65 or benzyl ester 67 following the general procedure for Weinreb amide preparation (see 7.3.2)
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine (3equiv., $33.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.29 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ (3equiv., $16.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) and methylester 65 (1equiv., $11.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.02 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were used. Crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (Cyclohexane/EtOAc, 3:2) to obtain the product as a pale yellow oil.

The same procedure was used if reaction was done with 67 ( 1 equiv., $5.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.9 \mathrm{~g}$ ) as starting material.
Time: 4h from 61
20h from 65 and 67

Yield: ( $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11 \mathrm{~g}$ ) $80 \%$ from benzylation of $\mathbf{6 1}$
( $7.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.28 \mathrm{~g}$ ) $68 \%$ from 65
(3.38mmol, 1.01 g ) $61 \%$ from 67
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ); $3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NOCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-H); 7.47-7.27 (m, 5H, CH-Bn).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.85\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right) ; 32.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 33.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 61.16\left(\mathrm{NOCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 114.81(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.40(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.82(C H-B n) ; 128.50(C H-B n) ; 129.30(C H-A r) ; 133.72$ (q, $C-$ alkyl); 137.13 (q, C-Bn); 157.24 (q, $C$-OH); 173.72 (q, CO-amide).
anal. C 71.99, H 7.33, N $4.54 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 77.22, \mathrm{H} 7.07, \mathrm{~N} 4.68 \%$

## 3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)-N-methoxy-N-methylpropanamide (62a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{INO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $425.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $425.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Amide 62a was obtained from three different procedure:
Procedure A: Starting from iodinated amide 61a (1 equiv., $1.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and following the general procedure of benzylation (see 7.3.1). The product was obtained as a transparent oil in $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ yield. ( $1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.55 \mathrm{~g}$ )

Procedure B: Starting from iodinated methyl ester $\mathbf{6 5 a}$ (1 equiv., $1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and following the general procedure for Weinreb amide formation (see7.3.2). The product was obtained as a transparent oil in $77 \%$ yield. ( $0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.41 \mathrm{~g}$ )

Procedure C: Starting from Weinreb amide 62 ( 1 equiv., $1.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and following the general procedure of iodination (Method A see 7.3.4). The product was obtained as a transparent oil in $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ yield. ( $1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.64 \mathrm{~g}$ )
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.57(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=4: 6)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{2}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {orrho }}=\right.$ $\left.8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H\right), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.27\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 32.23\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 33.74\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 61.25\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $70.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 86.83$ (q, $C$-I); $112.74(C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.01(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.85(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.54(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; 129.47 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.00 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.65 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 139.29 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 155.67 (q, $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 173.56 (q, C=O).
anal. C 50.79, H 4.74, N 3.27 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{INO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 50.84, \mathrm{H} 4.74, \mathrm{~N} 3.29 \%$

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-one (63)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: $280.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $280.36 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

To an ice-cold solution of the Weinreb amide $\mathbf{6 2}$ (1equiv., $1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.44 \mathrm{~g}$,) in 4.7 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise a solution of allylmagnesium bromide 1 M in diethyl ether (2.75equiv., 4.2 mmol , 4.2 mL, ) and stirred at the same temperature for 2.5 h . The reaction was quenched by careful addition of an aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution ( 16 mL ) and stirred for 5 min . The resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined extracts were washed sequentially with water ( 30 mL ) and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to give the almost pure product in $98 \%$ yield ( $1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.42 \mathrm{~g}$ ). If crude was purified by silica gel chromatography, the isomerization of terminal double bond occurs and only $50 \%$ of product $\mathbf{6 3}$ was obtained as a brown oil.

Time: 2.5h
Yield: ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.21 \mathrm{~g}$ ) $50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=\right.$ $\left.12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.96-5.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.45-7.31$ (m, 5H, Bn).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 28.85\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 44.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) ; 48.0\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 114.92(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 118.93\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 127.43(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.94(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.65(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.32(\mathrm{CH}-$ Ar); 130.52 ( $C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 133.31(q, $C$-Ar); 137.13 (q, $C$-Bn); 157.14 (q, $C$-OBn); 207.92 (q, CO).
anal. C 81.45, H $7.23 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 81.40, \mathrm{H} 7.19 \%$

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hex-5-en-3-one (63a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{IO}_{2}$
Exact Mass: $406.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $406.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

To an ice-cold solution of the Weinreb amide 62a (1equiv., $1.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$,) in 4.7 mL of anhydrous THF was added dropwise a solution of allylmagnesium bromide 1 M in diethyl ether (2.75equiv., 3.23 mmol , 3.23 mL ) and stirred at the same temperature for 2.5 h . The reaction was quenched by careful addition of an aqueous $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution ( 16 mL ) and stirred for 5 min . The resulting solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined extracts were washed sequentially with water ( 30 mL ) and brine ( 30 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to give the almost pure product in $98 \%$ yield ( $1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.47 \mathrm{~g}$ ). If crude was purified by silica gel chromatography, the isomerization of terminal double bond occur and only $50 \%$ of pure product 63a was obtained as a brown oil.

Time $=2.5 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.23 \mathrm{~g}) 50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {cis }}=\right.$ $\left.12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.08\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}) ; 7.62\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 28.13\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 43.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) ; 47.88\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.92$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 86.82(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}) ; 112.67(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 119.00\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 126.94(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.39(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.48$ (CH-Bn); 129.31 (CH-Ar); $130.29\left(C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 135.54$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.55 (q, $C$-Bn); 139.12 (CH-Ar); 155.62 (q, $C$-OBn); 207.37 (q, $C=O$ ).
anal. C 56.21, $\mathrm{H} 4.75 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{IO}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 56.17, \mathrm{H} 4.71 \%$

## Methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoate (64) ${ }^{238}$



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $180.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $180.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(12,5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a suspension of commercially available 3-(4hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid (1equiv., $90.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.07 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in methanol ( 65 mL ) and the solution was refluxed for 1 h . After cooling to room temperature, an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH} 10 \%$ ( 50 mL ) was added to neutralize the solution. The resulting mixture was allowed to stand for 15 min , before being poured into a cool beaker, and made up to 1.5 L with water. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(3 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a white solid. in $98 \%$ yield ( $87.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.74 \mathrm{~g}$ ).

Time $=1 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(87.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.74 \mathrm{~g}) 98 \%$
M.p. $=40-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5\left(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=5: 5\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.10\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 36.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.66\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 115.34(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 129.39$ ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 132.56 (q, $C$-alkyl); 157.09 (q, $C$-OH); 173.67 (q, $C \mathrm{O}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 180[M]^{+}(40), 107$ (100).

## Methyl 3-(4-hydroxy-3-iodophenyl)propanoate ${ }^{238}$ (64a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: 305.98 g mol
Molecular Weight: $306.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoate $\mathbf{6 4}$ (1equiv., $69.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 12,50 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1equiv., $69.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 17.65 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (1equiv., $69.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 21.65 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were used following the genral procedure for iodination (Method A, see 7.3.1) to prepare iodinated derivative 64a. The product was obtained as a white solid.

Time $=2 h$
Yield $=(54.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 16.78 \mathrm{~g}) 80 \%$
M.p. $=88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=5: 5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH} 3)$; $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.09\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.10\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 36.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.66\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 89.59(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ; 115.31(C \mathrm{H}-$ Ar); 130.16 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 134.70 (q, $C$-alkyl); 133.77 (q, C-Ar); 153.35 (q, $C$-OH); 173.10 (q, $C=\mathrm{O}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 305[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(40), 179$ (100).

## Methyl 3-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)propanoate (65)



Chemical Formula: C17H18O3
Exact Mass: $270.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $270.32 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Ester 65 was obtained following the general procedure for phenol benzylation-method A (see 7.3). (1equiv., $27.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) of methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoate $\mathbf{6 4}$ were involved in the reaction. The crude was purified by trituration in pentane that allowed to obtain the pure product as a withe solid.

Time $=4 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(27.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.45 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5\left(\mathrm{EP}^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=6: 5\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.62\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 5.05 (s, 2H, CH 2 -Bn); 6.92 (d, 2H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ); 7.13 (d, 2H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ); $7.48-7.29$ (m, 5H, CH-Bn).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.06\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.50\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 69.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 114.85(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.39$ (CH-Bn); 127.85 (CH-Bn); 128.51 (CH-Bn); 129.19 (CH-Ar); 132.84 (q, C-alkyl); 137.10 (q, $C$-Bn); 157.29 (q, $C$-OBn); 173.32 (q, CO).

EIMS $m / z 180[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(40), 107$ (60), 91(100).

## Methyl 3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)propanoate (65a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: $396.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $396.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Product 65a was obtained from two different synthetic route:

Prodedure A: From the iodination of methyl ester $\mathbf{6 5}$ (1equiv, $8.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure (Method A) described in 7.3.4. The product was obtained as a withe solid in $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ yield ( $7.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.91 \mathrm{~g}$ );

Procedure B: From the benzylation of phenol $\mathbf{6 4 a}$ (1equiv., $16.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure for benzylation described in 7.3.1. The product was obtained as a withe solid in $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ yield $(16.34 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.47 g );
M.p. $=67-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.59\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.11\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {orrho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ar-H); 7.38 (m, 5H, CH-Bn); 7.65 (dd, 1H, $J_{\text {ortho }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.55\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.62\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.64\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 76.59\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 86.81$ (q, $C$-I); 112.71 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); $127.00(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.85(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.54(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.24(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 135.11$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 136.60(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 139.24$ (q, $C$ - Bn ); 155.82 (q, $C$ - OBn ); 173.08 (q, $C=\mathrm{O}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 396[M]^{+}(40), 305$ (60), 270(30), 91(100).
anal. C 51.57, 4.39 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 51.53, \mathrm{H} 4.32$ \%

## Methyl 3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)propanoate (65b)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $348.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $349.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Product 65b was obtained following the general procedure of bromination with NBS, Method B (see 7.3.3) starting from methyl ester $\mathbf{6 5}$ (1equiv, $8.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The pure product was obtained as a yellow oil.

Time $=8 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(7.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.54 \mathrm{~g}) \mathbf{8 9 \%}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.58\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ar-H); 7.31 (m, 1H, CH-Bn); 7.37 (m, 3H, 2CH-Bn, 1Ar-H); 7.46 (d, 2H, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ );
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.53\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.47\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.49\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 113.76$ (CH-Ar); 113.84 (q, C-Br); 126.81 (CH-Ar); 127.72 (CH-Bn); 127.99 (CH-Bn); 128.37 (CH-Bn); 132.98 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 134.35 (q, C-Ar); 136.75 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 154.24 (q, $C$-OBn); 173.15 (q, $C=\mathrm{O}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 348[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(20), 275$ (5), 91(100), 65(10).
anal. C 51.50, $\mathrm{H} 5.01 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 58.47, \mathrm{H} 4.91 \%$

## Methyl 3-(4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)propanoate (66)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Exact Mass: $224.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $224.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Methyl ester 66 was prepared following the general procedure for MOM protection (see 7.3). The product was prepared starting from methyl ester $\mathbf{6 4}$ (1equiv., $8.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ).

Time $=6 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield= (8.13mmol, 1.82 g ) $98 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$-MOM); 3.67 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 5.14 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}$ ); 6.96 (d, 2H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ); 7.11 (d, 2H, J $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.15\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.92\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.52\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 56.00\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right)$; 94.55( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-MOM); 116.45 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.16 ( CH -Ar); 133.94 (q, $C$-alkyl); 155.72 (q, $C$-OMOM); 173.38 (q, CO ).

EIMS $m / z 224[M]^{+}(60), 194(20), 151(25), 121(50), 45(100)$.
anal. C 64.31, H 7.22 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 64.27, \mathrm{H} 7.19 \%$

## Methyl 3-(3-iodo-4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)propanoate (66a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: $350.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $350.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Methyl ester 66a was obtained from the protection with MOM group of phenol 64a (1equiv., 8.17 mmol , 2.5 g ) following the general procedure for MOM protection described in 7.3.6. The product was obtained as a transparent oil.

Time $=8 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(7.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.51 \mathrm{~g}) \mathbf{8 8 \%}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$-MOM); 3.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $5.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.11$ (dd, 2 H , $\left.J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{MOM}\right)$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.01\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.81\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 55.80\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 85.79$
(q, C-I), $94.53\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 112.45$ (CH-Ar); 128.96 (CH-Ar); 133.93 (q, C -Ar); 141.56 (CH-Ar); 156.72 (q, $C$-OMOM); 173.15 (q, $C=0$ ).

EIMS $m / z 350[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(60), 320(20), 277(25), 247(15), 45(100)$.
anal. C 41.20, H 4.39 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 41.16, \mathrm{H} 4.32$ \%

## Benzyl 3-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)propanoate (67)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $346.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $346.42 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Ester 67 was obtained following the general procedure for phenol benzylation-method A (see 7.3). (1equiv., $6.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) of commercial 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propionic acid were involved in the reaction with 2.2 equivalents of benzylbromide. The crude was purified by trituration in pentane that allowed to obtain the pure product as a transparent oil.

Time $=4 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(6.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55\left(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=6: 5\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.66\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.11$ (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.49-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H, CH-Bn).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.11\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 36.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 66.22\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 70.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 114.87$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 127.44 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.90 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.18 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.19 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.52 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.56 ( $C \mathrm{H}$-Bn); 129.27 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar);} 132.77$ (q, $C$-alkyl); 135.95 (q, $C$-Bn); 137.14 (q, $C$-Bn); 157.33 (q, $C$-OBn); 172.76 (q, CO).
anal. C 79.78, $\mathrm{H} 6.43 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, C 79.74, H $6.40 \%$

## Methoxymethyl 3-(4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)propanoate (68)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: $254.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $254.28 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Methyl ester 68 was prepared following the general procedure for MOM protection (see 7.3). The product was prepared starting from methyl ester $\mathbf{6 4}$ (1equiv., $8.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ). It was obtained as a yellow oil.

Time $=20 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(4.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.27 \mathrm{~g}) 60 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.94\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$-MOM); 3.49 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$-MOM); 5.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-MOM); 5.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$-MOM); 6.98 (d, 2 H , $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.95\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 36.07\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 55.92\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 57.58\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ;$ 90.36 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-MOM); 94.49 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-MOM); 116.34 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.26 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 133.68 (q, $C$-alkyl); 155.71 (q, C-OMOM); 172.50 (q, CO).

EIMS $m / z 254[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(30), 222(20), 200(80), 45(100)$.
anal. C 61.38, $\mathrm{H} 7.13 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 61.40, \mathrm{H} 7.14 \%$

## 3-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)propanal (69)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: $240.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $240.30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Procedure A: To a solution of the previously synthesized ester 65 ( 1 equiv, $3.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in DCM ( 30 mL ) was added DIBAL-H ( 2 equiv., $7.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene,) dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium ( 40 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to get aldehyde 69 in mixture with the corresponding alcohol ( $50 \%-50 \%$ evaluated by $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). The crude product ( 0.98 g ) was dissolved in DCM ( 15 mL ) and Dess-Martin Periodinane ( 1 equiv., $3,70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.57 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , quenched with water and extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the aldehyde 69 in a quantitative way (observed on GC-MS).

Procedure B: To a solution of the previously synthesized Weinreb amide 62 ( 1 equiv, $3.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in DCM ( 28 mL ) was added DIBAL-H ( 2 equiv., 6.6 mL , 1 M in toluene, ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ ( $91 \%$ yield evaluated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ).

The aldehyde was recovered as a dense viscous white liquid easily degradable at room temperature and not purificable by column chromatography. For this reason the crude product was rapidly characterized with only ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and immediatedly put in reaction or stock in the freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 6.89$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 9.81$ (s, 1H, CHO).

## 3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)propanal (69a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IO}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 366.01 g mol
Molecular Weight: $366.19 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Procedure A: To a solution of the previously synthesized ester $\mathbf{6 5 a}$ ( 1 equiv, $3.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in DCM ( 40 mL ) was added DIBAL-H ( 2 equiv., $7.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to get aldehyde 69a in mixture with the corresponding alcohol ( $50 \%-50 \%$ evaluated by $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). The crude product $(0.95 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and Dess-Martin Periodinane ( 1 equiv., $3,96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.68 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , quenched with water and extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the aldehyde 69a in a quantitative way (observed on GC-MS).

Procedure B: To a solution of the previously synthesized Weinreb amide 62a ( 1 equiv, $2.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in DCM ( 20 mL ) was added DIBAL-H ( 2 equiv., 4.70 mL , 1 M in toluene,) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium ( 30 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde 69a ( $86 \%$ yield evaluated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ).

The aldehyde was recovered as a dense viscous white liquid easily degradable at room temperature and not purificable by column chromatography. For this reason the crude product was rapidly characterized with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and immediatedly put in reaction or stock in the freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A not clean ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ was also obtained, the characteristic peaks of aldehyde were individuated.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.75\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.87\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$; $7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right), 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 45.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.99\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 86.95(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ; 112.75(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 126.98(C H-B n) ; 127.86(C H-B n) ; 128.54(C H-B n) ; 129.27(C H-A r) ; 134.91(q, C-A r) ; 136.54$ (q, $C-$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 139.18$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 155.82 (q, $C$-OAr), 201.12 (q, $C H O$ ).
EIMS $m / z 366[M]^{+}(10), 276(25), 91$ (100).

## 3-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)propanal (69b)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 318.03 g mol
Molecular Weight: $319.19 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of the previously synthesized ester $\mathbf{6 5 b}$ ( 1 equiv, $2.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in DCM ( 35 mL ) was added DIBAL-H ( 2 equiv., $5.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene,) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to get aldehyde 69a in mixture with the corresponding alcohol ( $50 \%-50 \%$ evaluated by $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). The crude product $(0.93 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in DCM ( 15 mL ) and Dess-Martin Periodinane ( 1 equiv., $2.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.21 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , quenched with water and extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9 b}$ in a quantitative way (observed on GC-MS).

The aldehyde was recovered as a viscous liquid easily degradable at room temperature and not purificable by column chromatography. For this reason the crude product was rapidly characterized with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and immediatedly put in reaction or stock in the freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.75\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {orrho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{Bn}-H$, 1Ar-H); 9.80 (s, 1H, CHO).

EIMS $m / z 318[M]^{+}(10), 91$ (100), 65(25).

## 3-(4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)propanal (70)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $194.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $194.93 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

To a solution of the previously synthesized ester $\mathbf{6 6}$ ( 1 equiv, $4.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in $\mathrm{DCM}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIBAL-H (2 equiv., 8.9 mL , 1 M in toluene,) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to get aldehyde 70 in mixture with the corresponding alcohol ( $50 \%-50 \%$ evaluated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). The crude product ( 0.95 g ) was dissolved in DCM ( 15 mL ) and Dess-Martin Periodinane ( 1 equiv., $4.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.89 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , quenched with water and extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the aldehyde 70 as a yellow pale oil in $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ yield (determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ).

Same procedure was used starting from ester $\mathbf{6 8}$ ( 1 equiv, $3.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,). The product was obtained with 75\% yield (determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ).

The aldehyde resulted easily degradable at room temperature and not purificable by column chromatography. For this reason the product was rapidly characterized with only ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and immediatedly put in reaction or stock in the freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.75\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.89\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ MOM); 5.15 (s, 2H, CH2-MOM); 6.96 (d, 2H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H$ ); 7.11 (d, 2H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 9.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO})$.

EIMS $m / z 194[M]^{+}(50), 149(20), 45$ (100).

## 3-(3-iodo-4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)propanal (70a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $319.99 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $320.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To a solution of the previously synthesized ester $\mathbf{6 6 a}$ ( 1 equiv, $2.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$,) in DCM ( 35 mL ) was added DIBAL-H ( 2 equiv., $5.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, ) dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h before quenching with a saturated aqueous solution of diethyl tartrate sodium potassium $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature was allowed to warm to r.t and the mixture was stirred all night long. The phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM, combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to get aldehyde 69a in mixture with the corresponding alcohol ( $50 \%-50 \%$ evaluated by $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). The crude product $(0.97 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in DCM ( 15 mL ) and Dess-Martin Periodinane ( 1 equiv., $2.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.21 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , quenched with water and extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the aldehyde 70a in a quantitative way (observed on GC-MS).

The aldehyde was recovered as a viscous liquid easily degradable at room temperature and not purificable by column chromatography. For this reason the crude product was rapidly characterized with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and immediatedly put in reaction or stock in the freezer at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.76\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$. $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=\right.$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H\right) ; 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO})$.

EIMS $m / z 320[M]^{+}(50), 289(20), 247(15), 45$ (100).

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (71)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 282.16 g mol
Molecular Weight: $282.38 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Precedure A: Homo allylic alcohol 71 was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method A: see 7.3.7). The reaction was carried out At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the previously prepared crude aldehyde 69 ( 1 equiv., $4.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 978.60 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1 equiv., 4.04 mmol , $4.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to obtain the product as a white solid ( $3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 862.92 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{7 5 \%}$ yield).

Procedure B: Homoallylic alcohol 71 was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method B: see 7.3.7). Crude aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ (1equiv., $4.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 978.6 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and a solution of allyl boronic acid pinacol ester ( 1 equiv., $4.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.76 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to obtain product 71 as a white solid ( $3.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 897.4 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield).
M.p. $=62-63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.34$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}) ; 5.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 31.12\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.60\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 42.03\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $69.94(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 70.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 118.21\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 114.84(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.42(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.83(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; $128.52(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.31(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 134.41$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 134.63\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 137.25(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 157.13$ (q, $C$ Ar).

EIMS $m / z 282[M]^{+}(30), 191(40), 91(100)$.
anal. C 80.85, H 7.87 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 80.82, \mathrm{H} 7.85 \%$

## (R)-1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol [(R)-71]



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 282.16 g mol
Molecular Weight: $282.38 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Procedure for enantioselective allylation with sulfoxide as chiral auxiliary: ${ }^{153}$
Distilled diisopropylethylamine ( 5.0 equiv., $5.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and allytrichlorosilane (1.9equiv., $2.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), were successively added to a solution of the $(+)-(R)$-methyl-p-tolyl sulfoxide (2.9equiv., $154.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.482 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 5 min . Aldehyde 69 (1equiv., $1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.262 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h and was allowed to warm to room temperature for 3 h . The mixture was then poured into an ice-cooled mixture of $\mathrm{DCM}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (preparation: pure cyclohexane, elution: pure cyclohexane and cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1, V/V). The product was obtained as a white solid in only $\mathbf{8 \%}$ yield ( 0.09 mmol , 0.025 g ) and $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ ee. The optical purity was determined by HPLC chiral chromatography using a CHIRACEL OD-H column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), eluent: $80: 20$ hexane/isopropanol, flow: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, sample concentration: $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, injection volume: $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, retention time: ( $S: 12.3 \mathrm{~min}, R: 14,5 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

Procedure for Brown's enantioselctive allylation: ${ }^{154}$
Allylmagnesium bromide (1.3equiv., $0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of (-)-B-chlorodiisopinocampheylborane (1.3equiv., $0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.173 \mathrm{~g}$,) in of dry THF ( 2.5 mL ) with mechanical stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then warmed to r.t (removing of the bath) within 1 h 20 min . The mixture was cooled down to $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ (1equiv., $0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g})$ in THF $(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise. The temperature was maintained at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the addition and the mixture was stirred 1 h at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and let warmed to r.t (removing of the bath) within 1 h . The mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} 30 \% ~(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was stirred 1.5 h at r.t. and then extracted with EtOAc ( 3 X 10 mL ), washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ). Organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to dryness.

Crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (preparation: cyclohexane, elution: cyclohexane and cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1, V/V) to obtain the product as a white solid ( $0.065 \mathrm{~g}, \mathbf{7 4 \%}$ yield, $\mathbf{8 6 \%} \mathbf{e e}$ ).
The optical purity was determined by HPLC chiral chromatography using a CHIRACEL OD-H column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), eluent: $80 / 20$ hexane/isopropanol, flow: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, sample concentration: $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, injection volume: $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, retention time: ( $S: 12.3 \mathrm{~min}, R: 14,5 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

## Procedure for Roush's enantioselective allylation: ${ }^{155}$

A solution of triisopropyl borate (1equiv., $4.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and allylmagnesium bromide in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1equiv., $4.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) were added dropwise simultaneously, but separately, to 1.1 mL of dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, allowed to warm to room temperature (bath of dry ice/acetone removed), and stirred for 3 h at r.t. The slurry was recooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$ solution saturated with NaCl$)$ was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and warmed to room temperature, and stirring was continued for 15 min . The organic layer was separated and directly treated with (+)-( $R, R$ )-Diisopropyl L-tartrate (DIPT)(1equiv., $4.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous phase was extracted with dry $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution ( $1 / 5, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}, 3 \mathrm{X} 6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and transferred to the schlenck containing first organic layer and ( $R, R$ )-DIPT. The combined organic layers were stirred 1 h and anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (4equiv., 2.58 g ) were added. The mixture was stirred for 1 night at r.t. It was then evaporated under reduced pressure to give a clear, slightly yellow, semiviscous liquid $(1.23 \mathrm{~g})$ of 4,5 -bis(propan-2-yl)(4R,5R)-2-(prop-2-en-1-yl)-1,2,3-dioxaborolane-4,5-dicarboxylate.

A solution of crude just prepared product in dry toluene $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ was treated with $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (powder, 90 mg ) and was stirred for 15 min . Then, it was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of starting aldehyde 69 (1.5equiv., $0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.150 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dry toluene ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.62 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred 5 h at the same temperature.The reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ and 5 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The two phases mixture were stirred for 30 min at room temperature to hydrolyze DIPT and then were separated extracting with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{X} 7 \mathrm{~mL})$. Organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated under reduce pressure to dryness to give a crude product. The crude was purified twice by chromatography (preparation: cyclohexane, elution: cyclohexane and cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) affording the desired product in $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ yield $(3.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11 \mathrm{~g}), \mathbf{7 8 \%} \mathbf{e e}$. The optical purity was determined by HPLC chiral chromatography using a CHIRACEL OD-H column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), eluent: $80 / 20$ hexane/isopropanol, flow: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, sample concentration: $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, injection volume: $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, retention time: ( $S: 12.3 \mathrm{~min}, R: 14,5 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

Procedure for enantioselective allyltitanation: ${ }^{158}$
Allylmagnesium bromide in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.2equiv., $0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution), was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon to a solution of ( $R, R$ ) Duthaler-Hafner reagent (1,4equiv., $0,33 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ), in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 4 mL , $0.083 \mathrm{M})$. After stirring for 1.5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the slightly orange suspension was coolded to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and starting aldehyde 69 (1equiv., $0,24 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.057 \mathrm{~g}$ ) dissolved in dry ether $(0,75 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{M})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 h after which was treated with 4 mL of saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and warmed to room temperature for 15 h . It was filtered over Celite and extracted with ether ( 3 x 7 mL ). The combined organic phases were washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give a solid. This crude solid was stirred with pentane ( 5 mL ) and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white solid which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (preparation: cyclohexane, elution: cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1,V/V). Pure desired product was obtained in $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ yield $(0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.047 \mathrm{~g})$. A pure fraction was analyzed by chiral HPLC to determine the ee, using CHIRACEL OD-H ( $250 \mathrm{~mm}, 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), $80 / 20$ hexane/isopropanol, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ injection in order to determine the enantiomeric excess ( $\mathbf{9 0 \%} \%$ ee). Retention time: ( $S: 12.3 \mathrm{~min}$, $R: 14,5 \mathrm{~min})$.
M.p. $=61-63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.34$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}) ; 5.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 31.12\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.60\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 42.03\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $69.94(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 70.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 118.21\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 114.84$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 127.42(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.83(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; 128.52 (CH-Bn); 129.31 (CH-Ar); 134.41 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 134.63\left(C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 137.25$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 157.13 (q, $C$ Ar).

EIMS $m / z 282[M]^{+}(30), 191(40), 91(100)$.
anal. C 80.86, H $7.88 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 80.82, \mathrm{H} 7.85 \%$

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (71a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{IO}_{2}$
Exact Mass: $408.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $408.27 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Precedure A: Homo allylic alcohol 71a was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method A: see 7.3.7). The reaction was carried out At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the previously prepared crude aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9 a}$ ( 1 equiv., $2.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1 equiv., $2.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.73 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1 M in diethyl ether). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, $4 / 1$ ) to obtain the product as a white solid ( $3.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.83 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{6 7 \%}$ yield).

Procedure B: Homo allylic alcohol 71a was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method B: see 7.3.7). Crude aldehyde 69a ( 1 equiv., $2.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and a solution of allyl boronic acid pinacol ester ( 1 equiv., $2.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.53 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to obtain product 71a as a white solid ( $1.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.80 \mathrm{~g}, \mathbf{7 2 \%}$ yield).
M.p. $=66-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.29$ (m, 1H, OHCHCH $2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $2.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}) ; 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-H); $7.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {orrho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.65\left(J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.95\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.45\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 42.11\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $69.68(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 71.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 86.85(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ; 112.71\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 118.49(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.01(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; 127.84 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.54 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.33 (CH-Ar); 134.50 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); $136.69\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ); 136.72 (q, $C$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 139.31$ (CH-Ar); 155.48 (q, C-Ar).
anal. C 55.92, H 5.13 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{IO}_{2}$, C 55.89 , H 5.18 \%

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (71b)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$ Exact Mass: $360.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $361.27 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Homo allylic alcohol 71b was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method A: see 7.3.7). The reaction was carried out At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the previously prepared crude aldehyde 69b ( 1 equiv., $3.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1 equiv., $3.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to obtain the product as a transparent and flower parfumed oil.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.71 \mathrm{mg}) 63 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 2.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.28$ (m, 1H, OHCHCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $2.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}) ; 5.13(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 5.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$; $7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{Bn}-H, 1 \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.79\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.33\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 42.07\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $65.25(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 70.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 112.38(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 114.00\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 118.39(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.02(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; 127.86 (CH-Bn); 128.25 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.53 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar)}$ ); 133.22 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 134.51 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.19 (q, $C$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 136.71$ (CH-Ar); 153.19 (q, $C$-Ar).
anal. C $63.20, \mathrm{H} 5.90 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 63.17, \mathrm{H} 5.86 \%$

## 1-(benzyloxy)-4-(3-(benzyloxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)-2-iodobenzene (71c)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IO}_{2}$
Exact Mass: $498.11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $498.40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Product 71c was obtained after the benzylation of alcohol 71a (1equiv., $2.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure for benzylation, Method B (see7.3.1). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 8 / 2$ ) to afford the pure product as a yellow oil.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(2.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{~g}) 90 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-H); $7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.61\left(J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.45\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.65\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 38.16\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.85$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 70.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 78.56(\mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 86.98\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}\right.$-I); $113.24\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 118.45(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.01$ (CH-Bn); 127.59 (CH-Bn); 127.16 (CH-Bn); 128.13 (CH-Bn); 128.20 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.46 (CH-Bn); 128.59 (CH-Ar); 133.58 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 134.52 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 136.50 (q, $C$-Ar); 136.72 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 138.72 (CH-Ar); 154.48 (q, $C$-Ar).
anal. C 62.68, H $5.50 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IO}_{2}$, C 62.66 , H $5.46 \%$

## 1-(benzyloxy)-4-(3-(benzyloxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)-2-bromobenzene (71d)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$ Exact Mass: $450.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $451.40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Product 71d was obtained after the benzylation of alcohol 71b (1equiv., $2.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure for benzylation, Method B (see7.3.1). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 8 / 2$ ) to afford the pure product as a yellow oil.

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield= $(2.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.16 \mathrm{~g}) 93 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-H); $7.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{o r t h o}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{Bn}-H, 1 \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.44\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.61\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 38.12\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.91$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 70.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 77.49(\mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 112.32(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 113.95\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 117.22(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.00$ (CH-Bn); 127.56 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.79 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.85 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.17 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.36 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.54 (CH-Ar); 133.19 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 134.55 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 136.43 (q, $C$-Ar); 136.71 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 138.68 (CH-Ar); 153.11 (q, $C$-Ar).
anal. C 69.21, $\mathrm{H} 6.04 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 69.18, \mathrm{H} 6.03 \%$

## (E)-1,10-bis(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)dec-5-ene-3,8-diol (71bis)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 536.29 g mol
Molecular Weight: $536.70 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The substrate was obtained in $10-15 \%$ yield as secondary product from cross-metathesis reaction between phenol $\mathbf{4 8}$ and homoallilic alcohol $\mathbf{7 1}$ following the general procedure reported in 7.3.8. The product was isolated in mixture with $10 \%$ of $Z$ isomer as a white solid.
$\mathbf{M p}=102-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.2(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ); 3.65 (bs, 2H, CHOH); 5.06 (s, 4H, CH2Bn); 5.55 (m, 2H, CH=CH); 6.92 (d, 4H, J=8.6 Hz, Ar$H) ; 7.13$ (d, 4H, J= 8.6 Hz, Ar-H), 7.37 (m, 10H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 31.14\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.72\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 40.82$, $\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $70.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 70.09(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{OH}) ; 114.81(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.45(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.88(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.54(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH})$; 129.31 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.94 (CH-Ar); 134.32 (q, $C$-Ar); 137.19 (q, $C$-Bn); 157.04 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ).
anal. C $80.58, \mathrm{H} 7.53 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 80.56, \mathrm{H} 7.51 \%$

## (E)-1,10-bis(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)dec-5-ene-3,8-dione (72bis)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 532.26 g mol
Molecular Weight: $532.67 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The product was prepared adding at room temperature Dess-Martin periodinane ( 2 equiv.; 0.18 mmol , 76.3 mg ) to a solution of substrate 71bis ( 1 equiv., $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in DCM ( 2 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h , filtered and concentrated under vacuum pressure to afford the pure product in a quantitative way as a white solid.

Time $=2 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 47.9 \mathrm{mg}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{M p}=148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone) $\delta 2.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right) ; 5.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Bn}) ; 5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C} H) ; 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H} ; J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.30$ (m, 10H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 29.86\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 44.10\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 46.64\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.07$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 114.84(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.46(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.89(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.56(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 129.30(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.96$ (CH-Ar); 134.39 (q, C-Ar); 137.24 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 157.25 (q, $C$ - Ar ); 207.91 (q, C=O).
anal. C 81.20, H 6.83 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 81.17, \mathrm{H} 6.81 \%$

## 1-(4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (72)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: $236.14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $236.31 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Homo allylic alcohol $\mathbf{7 2}$ was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method A: see 7.3.7). The reaction was carried out at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the previously prepared crude aldehyde 70 ( 1 equiv., $2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.39 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1 equiv., $2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 6/4) to obtain the product as a transparent oil.

Time $=2 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(1.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.32 \mathrm{~g}) \mathbf{6 8 \%}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=5: 5)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.33$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 3.67$ (m, 1H, CHOH); $5.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 31.18\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.59\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 42.06\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $55.93\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 69.93(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 94.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 116.32(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 118.32\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 129.36(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 134.63$ (q, $C$ - Ar ); $135.47\left(C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 155.42$ (q, $C$ - ArOMOM ).

EIMS $m / z 236[M]^{+}(20), 163(25), 151(30), 121(128), 45(100)$.
anal. C 71.20, $\mathrm{H} 8.55 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 71.16, \mathrm{H} 8.53 \%$

## 1-(3-iodo-4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-ol (72a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$ Exact Mass: $362.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $362.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Homo allylic alcohol 72a was prepared following the general procedure for the allylation of an aldehyde (Method A: see 7.3.7). The reaction was carried out At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the previously prepared crude aldehyde 70a (1 equiv., $3.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and allylmagnesium bromide ( 1 equiv., $3.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether). The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to obtain the product as a brown oil.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield= (2.03mmol, 0.73g) 65\%
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 3)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 2.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.28$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 3.61$ (m, 1H, CHOH); $5.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {orrho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {orrho }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.64\left(J_{\text {meta }}=\right.$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.57\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.31\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 42.01\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; 56.31 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-MOM); $69.61(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 87.21$ (q, $C$-I); $95.04\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 114.89\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 118.31(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 129.35$ (CH-Ar); 134.44 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.63 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 139.10\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 154.14$ (q, $\left.C-\mathrm{Ar}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 362[M]^{+}(20), 288(15), 247(15), 207(10), 45(100)$.
anal. C 46.46, H 5.33 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$, C 46.42, H 5.29 \%

## 2-iodo-1-(methoxymethoxy)-4-(3-(methoxymethoxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)benzene (72b)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$ Exact Mass: $406.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $406.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Substarte 72b was obtained from protection of alcohol 72a (1 equiv., $4.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure for MOM protection using NaH as base (see 7.3.6). The crude product was purified by column chromatography and pure procuvt was obtained as a transparent oil.

Time $=8 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield= $(3.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.43 \mathrm{~g}) 85 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 3)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ); $2.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CHOMOM); $4.74\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta v=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $5.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J_{\text {orrho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {orrho }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.60\left(J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.17\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.88\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 38.76\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 55.70$ ( CH $_{3}$-MOM); 56.31 ( $C_{3}$-MOM); 87.16 (q, $C$-I); 90.49 ( CHOMOM ); 93.08 ( $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 95.05\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ MOM); $114.88\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 117.39$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.24 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 134.21 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.68 (q, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar)}$ ) 139.06 $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 154.16$ (q, $C$ - Ar ).

EIMS $m / z 406[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(5), 333(30), 238(15), 45(100)$.
anal. C 47.31, H 5.73 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{IO}_{4}$, C 47.30, H 5.71 \%

## tert-butyl((1-(3-iodo-4-(methoxymethoxy)phenyl)hex-5-en-3-yl)oxy)dimethylsilane (72c)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Exact Mass: $476.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $476.46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
In a 2 necks flask under argon atmosphere alcohol 72a ( 1 equiv., $0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 2 mL ). To this solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were added imidazole ( 2.5 equiv., $0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.048 \mathrm{~g}$ ), DMAP ( 0.2 equiv., $0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.007 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and a solution of tert-butyl(chloro) dimethylsilane (TBSCl) ( 1.5 equiv., 0.41 mmol , $0.062 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\operatorname{DCM}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 18 h . The mixture was quenched with water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed with BRINE ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentered under reduced pressure. The crude of reaction purified by column chromatography afford the desire product as a transparent oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.133 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.7(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 0.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 0.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 0.90$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right)$; $2.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOTBS}) ; 3.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J_{\text {ortho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {ortho }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.57\left(J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:-4.07\left(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;-3.05\left(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 18.15\left(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 25.71\left(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$; $25.92\left(\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) ; 30.45\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 38.58\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 41.90\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 56.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right)$; 71.41 (CHOTBS); 87.23 (q, $C$-I); $95.15\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 114.97\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 117.00(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 129.29$ (CHAr); 134.93 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 138.29 (q, $C$ - Ar ); $139.10\left(C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 154.14$ (q, $\left.C-\mathrm{Ar}\right)$.

EIMS $m / z 476[M]^{+}(2), 419(30), 277(100), 247$ (80), 187(25), 45(80)
anal. C 50.45, H $7.00 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{C} 50.42, \mathrm{H} 6.98$ \%

## 5-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)pent-1-en-3-one (73)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 266.13 g mol Molecular Weight: $266.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

To an ice-cold solution of Weinreb amide 62 ( 1.0 equiv.; $1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.46 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dry THF ( 4.7 mL ) was added dropwise a solution of vinylmagnesium bromide (2.75equiv., $4.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{~mL}$, solution 0.7 M in THF) and stirred at the same temperature for 2 h . The reaction was quenched by careful addition of an aqueous $10 \%$ HCl solution ( 16 mL ) and stirred for 5 min . The resulting solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined extract washed sequentially with water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (preparation: cyclohexane, elution: pure cyclohexane and cyclohexane/EtOAc, 4/1) to obtain the product as a yellow oil.

Time $=2 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.29 \mathrm{~g}) 72 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{cis}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {trans }}=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.36\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 28.99\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 41.47\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 114.90(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.12(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.45(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.18\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 128.56(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.31(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 136.54$ $\left(C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 137.12(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 157.23(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{ArOBn}) ; 199.92(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{CO})$.

IR $v_{\max } 3031,2921,1678(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1509(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1236,735,695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
anal. C 81.15, $\mathrm{H} 6.79 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 81.17, \mathrm{H} 6.81 \%$

## 5-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)pent-1-en-3-ol ${ }^{243}$ (74)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: $268.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $268.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

A solution of vinylmagnesium bromide 0.7 M in THF (1.5equiv., $3.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of the aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9}$ (1.0equiv., $2.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.505 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dry THF $(4.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 30 minutes, warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for an additional 1.5 hours. Reaction was then quench with 15 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted withAcOEt ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were combined, washed with sat aq solution of NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (silica gel: pure cyclohexane, elution: 4/1, V/V, cyclohexane/ AcOEt). The pure product was a beige butter.

Time $=2 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(1.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.37 \mathrm{~g}) 44 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH})$; $5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{trans}}=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{cis}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.91$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 31.23\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 39.94\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 73.12(\mathrm{CHOH}) ;$ $114.96(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 119.18\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 127.18(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.54(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.64(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.35(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 135.23 (q, C-Ar); 136.12 (q, $C$ - Bn ); $137.54\left(C H=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 156.23$ (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 80.58, H $7.53 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 80.56, \mathrm{H} 7.51 \%$

[^99]
### 7.3.13 Experimental part linear fragments

## 6-(7-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-5-hydroxyhept-2-en-1-yl)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (75)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 448.22 g mol
Molecular Weight: $448.55 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained following the general procedure of cross metathesis reaction (see 7.3.8). The better result was obtained when 4equiv. of allylphenol $\mathbf{4 8}(13.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~g})$, 1 equiv. of homoallylic alcohol $71(3.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.90 \mathrm{~g})$ and $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Grubbs catalyst $(0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.082 \mathrm{~g})$ were used. The addition of catalyst was done rigorously at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(2.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.12 \mathrm{~g}) 81 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); $2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCH}) ; 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right)$; 5.46 (m,1H, CH=CH); 5.72 (m,1H, CH=CH); 5.88 (s, 1H, OH); 6.42 (d, 1H, J=8.4Hz, Ar-H); 6.77 (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 31.12\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 32.9\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 38.6\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 40.71$ $\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 55.84\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.92\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 73.12(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 103.64(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 114.82 (Ar-H); 119.52(q, C-Ar); 124.12 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 126.74 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 127.55 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.96 (CH-Bn); 128.56 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.31 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); $132.84(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 134.62 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.35 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 137.39 (q, $C$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 147.23 (q, C-OH); 150.82 (q, $C$-OCH3); 157.15 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 80.00, H $7.21 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, C 74.97, H 7.19 \%

## (E)-6-(7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)-5-hydroxyhept-2-en-1-yl)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (75a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 574.12 g mol
Molecular Weight: $574.45 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained following the general procedure of cross metathesis reaction (see 7.3.8). The better result was obtained when 5equiv. of allylphenol $\mathbf{4 8}(5.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g})$, 1 equiv. of homoallylic alcohol 71a $(1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.52 \mathrm{~g})$ and $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Grubbs catalyst $(0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.163 \mathrm{~g})$ were used. The addition of catalyst was done rigorously at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.60 \mathrm{~g}) 82 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.82-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.37-$ 2.20 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); $2.81-2.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$; $3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCH}) ; 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.57-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.83$ - 5.66 (m,1H, CH=CH); 5.97 (s, 1H, OH); 6.43 (d, 1H, J=8.4Hz, Ar-H); 6.78 (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.09\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {otho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.45-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 31.60\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 32.87\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 38.31\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 40.70$ $\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 55.80\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 69.78(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 70.96\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 86.77(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ;$ 103.51 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 112.66 (Ar-H); 119.47 (q, C-Bn); 124.04 (q, C-alkyl); 126.51 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 126.96 ( $\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.77$ (CH-Bn); 128.47 (CH-Bn); 129.28 (CH-Ar); 132.86 (CH=CH); 135.46 (q, $C$-Ar); 136.67 (q, $C$-Bn); 136.85 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 139.24 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 147.21 (q, C-OH); 150.76 (q, $C$-OCH3); 155.39 (q, $C$ ArOBn).
anal. C 58.55, H $5.45 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$, C 58.54 , H $5.44 \%$

## ( E)-3-(7-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-5-hydroxyhept-2-en-1-yl)-6-methoxybenzene-1,2-diol (75b)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 434.21 g mol Molecular Weight: $434.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The product was obtained as a viscous oil using the general procedure of cross metathesis (see 7.3.8). 1 equiv. of homoallylic alcohol $71(0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g})$, 4 equiv. of allylphenol $48 \mathrm{~b}(1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.256 \mathrm{~g})$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(0.017 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.015 \mathrm{~g})$ of Grubbs catalyst were employed.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{~g}) 35 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.77$ - $1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.31-2.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$; 2.78 - 2.59 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.35 (d, 2H, J=6.2Hz, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 3.69-3.31 (m, 1H, OHCH); 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH $)_{3}$; $5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.57-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.61$ (bs, 1H, OH); 5.75-5.70 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.11$ (d, 2H, J=8.4Hz, Ar-H); $7.50-7.24$ (m,5H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 31.89\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 33.04\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 38.50\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 40.61$ $\left(\mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 56.10\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.02\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 70.82(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 102.87(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 114.73(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 114.78 (q, C-Bn); 119.65 (CH-Ar); 120.14(q, C-Bn); 126.67 ( $C H=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 127.43 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.84 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.51 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $129.30(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 132.84(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 134.50(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 137.20$ (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}) ; 142.08$ (q, $C$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 145.52 (q, C-OH); 156.99 (q, $C-\mathrm{ArOBn}$ ).
anal. C 74.62, H 6.63 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 74.63, \mathrm{H} 6.96$ \%

## (E)-1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-7-(2-hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)hept-5-en-3-one (76)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 446.21 g mol
Molecular Weight: $446.53 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained as a viscous oil using the general procedure of cross metathesis (see 7.3.8). 1 equiv. of $\gamma, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{6 3}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.150 \mathrm{~g})$, 4 equiv. of allylphenol $48(2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.416 \mathrm{~g})$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.023 \mathrm{~g})$ of Grubbs catalyst were employed.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.077 \mathrm{~g}) 32 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 2.82\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 3.10$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); $3.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right.$ ); 3.84 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 3.89 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.53-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.66-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, OH ); 6.41 (d, 1H, J=8.4Hz, Ar-H); 6.77 (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 6.89 (d, 2H, J=8.4Hz, Ar-H); 7.08 (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.46-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 29.01\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 32.50\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 43.24\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 47.22$ $\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 55.75\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.92\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.05\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 103.51(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 114.49(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Ar}) ; 123.94$ (q, C-Bn); 124.15 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); $126.38(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 127.44(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.89(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.55(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.90(\mathrm{CH}=C \mathrm{CH}) ; 129.26(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 133.18(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 137.16(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 137.85\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 150.77$ (q, C-OH); 152.87 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 157.20 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ); 203.71 (q, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ).
anal. C 74.30, $\mathrm{H} 6.75 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, C 75.31, $\mathrm{H} 6.77 \%$

## ( E)-1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-6-(2-hydroxy-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)hex-4-en-3-one (77)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 432.19 g mol Molecular Weight: $432.51 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The product was obtained as a viscous oil using the general procedure of cross metathesis (see 7.3.8). 1 equiv. of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $73(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100 \mathrm{~g}), 4$ equiv. of allylphenol $48(1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.291 \mathrm{~g})$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.016 \mathrm{~g})$ of Grubbs catalyst were employed. The product wasn't isolated perfectely pure, but with traces of 78 , the Oxo-Michael cyclized product which was formed during column chromatography.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.042 \mathrm{~g}) 26 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 3.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCH}=\mathrm{CH})$; $6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.46-7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHC=O}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 29.19\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 32.55\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 41.72\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 55.85\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $60.97\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.06\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 103.75(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 114.84(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 120.78(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 124.46(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 127.59 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.89 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.56 ( $(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.26$ ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 130.76(C H=\mathrm{CH}) ; 133.67$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar})$; 137.16 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 137.92 (q, $C$ - Ar ); $145.49(\mathrm{CH}=C \mathrm{H}) ; 147.16(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}) ; 151.33\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 157.15$ (q, $C$-ArOBn); 199.86 (q, C=O).

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-5-(6,7-dimethoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2-yl)pentan-3-one (78)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 432.19 g mol
Molecular Weight: $432.51 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained as a viscous oil using the general procedure of cross metathesis (see 7.3.8). 1 equiv. of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $73(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100 \mathrm{~g}), 4$ equiv. of allylphenol $48(1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.291 \mathrm{~g})$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.016 \mathrm{~g})$ of Grubbs catalyst were employed. The product was formed during the column chromatography purification from an Oxo-Michael cyclization of cross-metathesis product 77.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.077 \mathrm{~g}) 33 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.43(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.82-2.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 2.94-2.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$-cycle); 3.36 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.2,8.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$-cycle), 3.83 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.26-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-cycle), $6.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$; 6.77 (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.90$ (d, 2H, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.10$ (d, 2H, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.46-7.29$ (m,5H, $\mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 28.71\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 35.38\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 45.35\left(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 48.85$ ( $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$-cycle); $56.43\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.54\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.06\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 80.27(\mathrm{CH}$-cycle); $104.61(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 114.93 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 118.35 (q, C-cycle); 120.78 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 127.45 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $127.92(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.56(\mathrm{CH}-$ Bn ); 129.27 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 133.07 (q, $C$-Ar); 137.12 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 151.01 (q, C-Ocycle); 152.28 (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 152.26 (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 157.26 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ); 207.49 (q, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ).
anal. C 74.96, $\mathrm{H} 6.50 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 74.98, \mathrm{H} 6.53 \%$

## (E)-6-(6-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-4-hydroxyhex-2-en-1-yl)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (79)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 434.21 g mol
Molecular Weight: $434.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained as a viscous oil using the general procedure of cross metathesis (see 7.3.8). 1 equiv. of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated alchol $74(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.100 \mathrm{~g})$, 4 equiv. of allylphenol $48(1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.291 \mathrm{~g})$ and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.016 \mathrm{~g})$ of Grubbs catalyst were employed.

Time $=24 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.130 \mathrm{~g}) 81 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.87-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 2.68-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.12(\mathrm{~m} .1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOH}) ; 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.58-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.85-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-H); 6.77 (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.89$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.10$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 7.46 $7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.

## ( ) -2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hept-2-en-1-yl)-3,4-

dimethoxybenzene (80)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 754.22 g mol
Molecular Weight: $754.69 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The desired product was prepared starting from the precursor 75 a ( 1 equiv., $0.348 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Benzylation was performed using the general procedure for phenol benzylation (Method B) using NaH as base (see 7.3.1). After column chromatography purification (Cy/EtOAc 8/2) pure product was obtained as a yellow brown oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.174 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.131 \mathrm{~g}) 50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.82-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.10-2.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.57-$ $2.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.73-2.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 3.44-$ $3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.88\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta v=21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-Bn, aliphatic chain); $5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.50-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.60$ $-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar-H); $7.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {orrho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.53-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ar- $H$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 30.56\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 31.23\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 36.05\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.32$ $(\mathrm{OHCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 56.80\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.90\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 69.96\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 71.23\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 74.32\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 79.03$ (CHOBn); 85.77 (q, $C$-I); 105.51 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 112.23 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 120.47 (q, C-Ar); 123.75 (CH-Ar); 126.57 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 127.15 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.43 (CH-Bn); 127.63 (CH-Bn); 127.67 (CH-Bn); 127.85 (CH-Bn); 128.95 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.28 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 132.91$ (CH=CH); 136.66 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.76 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 141.24 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 142.85 (q, C-OH); 150.81 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 151.06 (q, $C$-OCH3); 156.91 (q, $C$-ArOBn).
anal. C $66.85, \mathrm{H} 5.76 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{IO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 66.84$, H $5.74 \%$
(E)-7-(2-(benzyloxy)-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)hept-5-en-3-ol (81)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 664.17 g mol Molecular Weight: $664.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The desired product was prepared starting from the precursor $\mathbf{7 5 a}$ ( 1 equiv., $0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.40 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Benzylation was performed using the general procedure for phenol benzylation (Method A) using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base (see 7.3.1). After column chromatography purification $(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 8 / 2)$ pure product was obtained as a yellow brown oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.626 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.416 \mathrm{~g}) 90 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.77-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.11-2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.28$ $-2.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.60-2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.73-2.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.27$ (d, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCH}) ; 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.05(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.57-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 5.77-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 6.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.08\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{o r h h o}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.53-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 30.62\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 33.01\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 38.32\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 40.72$ $(\mathrm{OHCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 56.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.90\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 69.85\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 71.01\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.14$ (CHOBn); 86.81 (q, C-I); 107.56 (CH-Ar); 112.69 (CH-Ar); 123.84 (q, C-Ar); 126.66 (CH-Ar); 126.99 ( $C \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 127.81 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.97 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.22 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.36 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.42 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.51 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.29 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 133.26 (CH=CH); 136.69 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 136.83 (q, $C$-Bn); 137.78 (q, C-Ar); 139.27 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 142.52 (q, C-OH); 150.57 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 152.26 (q, $C$-OCH3); 155.44 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 66.27, H $5.60 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{IO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 63.26, \mathrm{H} 5.61 \%$
(E)-2-(benzyloxy)-1-(7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)-5-(methoxymethoxy)hept-2-en-1-yl)-3,4dimethoxybenzene (82)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{IO}_{6}$ Exact Mass: 708.19 g mol
Molecular Weight: $708.62 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The desired product was prepared starting from the precursor $\mathbf{8 1}$ ( 1 equiv., $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Protection with MOM was performed using the general procedure (see 7.3.6). The desired product $\mathbf{8 2}$ was obtained as a yellow oil, after column chromatography purification ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 8 / 2$ ).

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.148 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.105 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.78-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.28-2.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.55$ $-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.69-2.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 3.38$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{MOMOCH}) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.66\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}\right.$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=19.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.57-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ ); 5.77 - 5.64 (m,1H, CH=CH); 6.65 (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.75$ (d, 1H, J=8.4Hz, Ar- $H$ ); 6.82 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.04\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.53-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.63$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 30.23\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 32.61\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 35.98\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.51$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\right) ; 55.56\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 56.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.99\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.08\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 76.62$ ( CHOMOM ); 86.78 (q, $C$-I); 95.52 ( $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OMOM}$ ); 107.56 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 112.69$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 123.82$ (q, $C$ - Ar ); 126.72 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar)}$ ); 126.98 ( $C \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}) ; 127.05(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.80(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.89(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.14$ ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.39 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $128.50(C H-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.18(C H-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 131.91(\mathrm{CH}=C \mathrm{H}) ; 136.68$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 136.96$ (q, $C$ - Bn ); 137.86 (q, C-Ar); 139.20 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 142.45 (q, C-OH); 150.47 (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 152.11 (q, $C$-OCH3); 155.41 (q, $C$-ArOBn).
anal. C $66.69, \mathrm{H} 5.81 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{IO}_{6}, \mathrm{C} 62.71, \mathrm{H} 5.83 \%$

## 6-(5-hydroxy-7-(4-hydroxyphenyl)heptyl)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (83)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 360.19 g mol
Molecular Weight: $360.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 3}$ was obtained treating substarte $\mathbf{7 5}$ (1equiv., $0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%$ following the general procedure of hydrogenolysis reported in 7.3.9.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.134 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 1.67-1.35 (m, 8H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.66-2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.78-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.67-3.57 (m, 1H, OHCH); $3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 6.75 (2d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.05$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 29.38\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 29.89\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 31.11$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.34\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 39.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 55.81\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.91\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 71.37(\mathrm{CHOH})$; 103.32 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 115.23 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar)}$ ); 121.66 (q, C-Ar); 124.07 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.47 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 134.24 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 135.35 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 147.26 (q, C-OH); 150.41 (q, $C$ - OCH 3 ); 153.71 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ).
anal. C 70.01, H $7.85 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 69.98, \mathrm{H} 7.83$ \%

## 6-(7-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-5-hydroxyheptyl)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (84)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 450.24 g mol
Molecular Weight: $450.57 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was prepared following the general procedure for diimide hydrogenation (see 7.3.10). For the reaction were employed 2-nitrobenzenesulfonylchloride ( 2 equiv., $0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.170 \mathrm{~g}$ ), alkene 75 ( 1 equiv., $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.172 \mathrm{~g}$ ), hydrazine hydrate ( 4 equiv., $1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The crude of reaction was purified by a shorth silica gel pad. The pure product was obtained as a viscous oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.162 \mathrm{~g}) 95 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.65-1.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.60-2.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.80-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.67-3.57 (m, 1H, OHCH); 3.84 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 3.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 5.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 6.41 (d, 1H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.78$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.91$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 7.12 (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.45-7.33$ (m, 5H, Bn- $H$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 29.32\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 29.87\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 31.10$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.35\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 39.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 54.76\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.84\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $71.27(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 103.34(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 114.77(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 121.64(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 124.02(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.49(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; $127.90(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.57(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.34$ (CH-Ar); 134.55 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 135.35 (q, $C$-Bn); 137.21 (q, $C$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 147.25 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); 150.39 (q, $C$-OCH3); 155.97 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 74.66, $\mathrm{H} 7.64 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 74.64, \mathrm{H} 7.61 \%$

## 6-(7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)-5-hydroxyheptyl)-2,3-dimethoxyphenol (84a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$<br>Exact Mass: 576.14 g mol Molecular Weight: $576.46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The product was prepared following the general procedure for diimide hydrogenation (see 7.3.10). For the reaction were employed 2-nitrobenzenesulfonylchloride ( 2 equiv., $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.231 \mathrm{~g}$ ), alkene 75 a ( 1 equiv., $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ), hydrazine hydrate ( 4 equiv., $2.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.07 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The crude of reaction was purified by a shorth silica gel pad. The pure product was obtained as a viscous oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.272 \mathrm{~g}) 91 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.82-1.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.65-2.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.78-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.68-3.54 (m, 1H, OHCH); $3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; 5.87 (bs, 1H, OH phenolic); 6.41 (d, 1H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ); 6.77 (d, 2H, $J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ); 7.10 (dd, 1H, $\left.J_{\text {ortho }}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.32(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 29.36\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 29.85\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 30.61$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 39.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 55.79\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 71.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; 71.10 ( CHOH ); 86.82 (q, $C$-I); 103.32 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 112.71 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 121.58$ (q, C-Ar); 124.03 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);}$ 126.99 (CH-Bn); 127.80 (CH-Bn); 128.50 (CH-Bn); 129.27 (CH-Ar); 135.35 (q, C-Ar); 136.69 (q, C-Bn); 136.90 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $139.25(C H-A r) ; 147.25(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OH}) ; 150.40(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH} 3) ; 155.43$ (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 58.36, H $5.78 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 58.34, \mathrm{H} 5.77 \%$

## 2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)heptyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (85)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 630.33 g mol
Molecular Weight: $630.81 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The desired product was obtained after benzylation of $\mathbf{8 4}$ ( 1 equiv., $2.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure Method B (see 7.3.1). After column chromatography purification, the pure substrate was obtained as a transparent oil.

Time $=15 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(1.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{~g}) 75 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.68-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.55-2.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.70-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.50-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OHCH}) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.47\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $J=5.96 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$, aliphatic chain); $5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 6.82$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.07$ (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.45-7.33$ (m, 15H, $\mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 29.69\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 30.74\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 30.99$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 33.54\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 35.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 56.03\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.85\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $70.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.11\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 78.25(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 107.37(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 114.74(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 123.77(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 127.43 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.45 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.78 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.85 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.87 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.05 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.31 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.40 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.54 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.02 (q, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.26 (CH-Ar); 134.87 (q, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.24 (q, $C$-Bn); 138.02 (q, $C$-Bn); 139.02 (q, $C$-Bn); 142.43 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 150.74 (q, $C-\mathrm{ArOBn}$ ); 151.85 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 156.94 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 79.99, H $7.36 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 79.97, \mathrm{H} 7.35 \%$

2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)heptyl)-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (85a)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{IO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 756.23 g mol
Molecular Weight: $756.71 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The desired product was obtained after benzylation of $\mathbf{8 4 a}$ ( 1 equiv., $1.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.70 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure Method B (see 7.3.1). After column chromatography purification, the pure substrate was obtained as a transparent oil.

Time $=15 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.65 \mathrm{~g}) 71 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.55-1.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.81-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.55-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 2.65-2.60 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.40-3.34 (m, 1H, OHCH); $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right.$, aliphatic chain); $5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.02$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) ; 7.53-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 29.70\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 30.28\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 30.99$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 33.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 35.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 56.06\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $71.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.13\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $78.11(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 86.81(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ; 107.42(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 112.72(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 123.79$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 127.02 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $127.50(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.80(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.83(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.88(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.06$ (CH-Bn); 128.36 (CH-Bn); 128.41 (CH-Bn); 128.53 (CH-Bn); 129.00 (q, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.24 (CH-Ar); 136.73 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.20 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 138.05 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 138.94 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $139.25\left(C H-\mathrm{Ar);} 142.46\right.$ (q, $\left.C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; 150.76 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ); 151.89 (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 155.41 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 66.65, H 5.99 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{IO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 66.66$, H $5.99 \%$

## 7-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)heptan-3-ol (86)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 528.15 g mol
Molecular Weight: $529.46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained from the bromination of $\mathbf{8 4}$ (1equiv., $0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure with NBS, Method B ( $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ yield after 18 h of reaction) or the general procedure reported in the Method A with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$.( $40 \%$ yield after 18 h of reaction) (see 7.3.3) Pure product was recollected after column chromatography as a yellow oil.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=6: 4)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.89-1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.57\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.66-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 2.77-2.68 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.70-3.59 (m, 1H, OHCH); $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 5.05 (s, 2H, CH 2 -Bn); 5.83 (bs, 1H, OH); 6.91 (d, 2H, J=8.5Hz, Ar-H); 7.02 (d, 1H, Ar-H); 7.12 (d, 2H, J=8.5Hz, Ar-H); 7.51-7.30 (m, 5H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 29.32\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 29.57\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 31.11$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOH}\right) ; 39.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 60.64\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $71.25(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 106.36$ (q, C-Br); $114.80(C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 125.86$ (q, C-Ar); $127.00(C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.45(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; 127.47 (CH-Bn); $127.86(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.53$ (CH-Ar); $134.46(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 137.19$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 140.38$ (q, $C-$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 146.78 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); 147.49 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH} 3$ ); $157.00(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{ArOBn})$.
anal. C $63.55, \mathrm{H} 6.30 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 63.52$, H $6.28 \%$

2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)phenyl)heptyl)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (88)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 708.25 g mol
Molecular Weight: $709.71 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} 85$
Desired product was obtained from regioselective bromination of linear diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 5}$ (1 equiv., $1.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g}$ ) following the general procedure of bromination with NBS (see 7.3.3). Pure product was obtained after a silica gel chromatography as a yellow oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(1.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.85-1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.55\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.67-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 2.75-2.68 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.45-3.38 (m, 1H, OCH); $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 4.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$, aliphatic chain); 5.03 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $5.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 7.08 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.46-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 29.71\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 30.52\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 31.14$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 37.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 39.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 61.06\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.13\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.08\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $71.19(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 72.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 111.38(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 114.83(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 125.83(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 127.33 (CH-Ar); 127.43 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.48 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.80 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.84 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.90 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.08 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.39 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.41 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.53 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.31 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 133.42$ (q, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 134.45 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 137.19 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 137.47 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 147.57 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 149.26 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}$ ); 150.16 (q, $C-\mathrm{OBn}$ ); 157.00 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C 71.10, $\mathrm{H} 6.40 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 71.08, \mathrm{H} 6.39 \%$

2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)heptyl)-5-bromo-3,4dimethoxybenzene (89)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 786.16 g mol
Molecular Weight: $788.60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Desired product was obtained from bis-bromination of linear diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 5}$ ( 1 equiv., $0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with 2.2 equiv of NBS, or from bromination of $\mathbf{8 8}$ ( 1 equiv., $0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with 1.2 equiv. of NBS, following for both procedure the general protocol of bromination with NBS (see 7.3.3). Pure product was obtained after a silica gel column chromatography as a yellow-brown oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.88-1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.51\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.69-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 2.75-2.69 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.37-3.34 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}\right) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.45\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right.$, aliphatic chain); $5.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.48-$ 7.34 (m, 16H, 15Bn-H, 1Ar-H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 25.38\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 30.01\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 31.52\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 31.82$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 36.26\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 38.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 61.03\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.10\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.08\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $71.20(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 73.14\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 111.40(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 112.01(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 114.56(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$; 124.83 (q, C-Ar); 127.29 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 127.32$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.40 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.76 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $127.80(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn})$; 127.85 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.10 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.25 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.36 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.51 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 129.35$ (CH-Ar); 133.65 (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 135.21$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 137.25 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 137.50 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 147.55 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 149.30 (q, $C$-OCH3); 150.14 (q, $C$-OBn); 156.01 (q, $C$-ArOBn).
anal. C 64.02, H $5.66 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, C 63.97, H 5.62 \%

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)-7-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)heptan-3-ol (89a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 696.11 g mol
Molecular Weight: $698.48 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Desired product was obtained from linear diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 3}$ ( 1 equiv., $1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.60 \mathrm{~g}$ ) which was first benzylated at the phenolic position, following the general procedure for benzylation (see 7.3.1) The resulting product was directely halogenated with 2.2 equiv of NBS as described in the general protocol of bromination Method B (see 7.3.3). Product was obtained as a yellow oil.

Time $=23 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.87 \mathrm{~g}) 76 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.75-1.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.51\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.63-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.75-2.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.58-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}) ; 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.86$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H$ ); 7.04 (dd, 2H, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.07$ (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.67-7.30 (m, 10H, Bn-H).
anal. C 60.20, H $5.46 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 60.18$, H $5.48 \%$

## 1-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-bromophenyl)-7-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)heptan-3-one

(89b)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 694.09 g mol
Molecular Weight: $696.47 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Alcohol 89a (1 equiv., $0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 10 mL ). To this solution was added DessMartin Periodinane ( 2 equiv., $0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.12 \mathrm{~g}$ ) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for all night long (18h). The mixture was quenched with water ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was separated, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under reduced pressure. The crude was rapidly purified on a silica gel pad to afford the pure product as a quite transparent oil.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.87 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.57-1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ aliphatic chain); $2.32\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $2.46\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.59\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO} ; 2.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO} ; 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.82$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.00(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.46-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}$, 10Bn-H, 1Ar-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 23.36\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 28.62\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 29.92\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 31.69\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $42.64\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 44.07\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 61.05\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.06\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; 111.35 (q, C-Br); 112.38 (q, C-Br); 113.94 (CH-Ar); 121.17 (q, C-Ar); 126.98 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 127.26$ ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.54 (CH-Bn); 127.88 (CH-Bn); 128.04 (CH-Bn); 128.24 (CH-Bn); 128.49 (CH-Bn); 128.53 (CH-Ar); 133.06 (q, $C-A r$ ); 135.21 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 136.62 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 137.45 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 147.55 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 149.34 (q, $C$-OCH3); 150.35 (q, $C$-OBn); 153.35 (q, $C$-ArOBn); 209.64 (q, C=O).
anal. C $60.35, \mathrm{H} 5.22 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 60.36, \mathrm{H} 5.21 \%$

2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)heptyl)-5-bromo-3,4dimethoxybenzene (90)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{BrIO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 834.14 g mol
Molecular Weight: $835.60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Di-halogenated product was prepared treating diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 5 a}$ ( 1 equiv., $1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with NBS ( 1.2 equiv., $1.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.30 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The product was obtained in $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ yield $(1.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.06 \mathrm{~g}$ ) Diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 8}$ ( 1 equiv., $1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with NIS ( 1.2 equiv., $1.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.38 \mathrm{~g}$ ) to give 90 in $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ yield ( $1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.90 \mathrm{~g}$ ).
For both reactions were followed the standard conditions reported in7.3.3and 7.3.4 for halogenation with NXS. The product was obtained pure as a yellow oil after a silica gel pad purification to remove the excess of succinimide.

Time $=18 \mathrm{~h}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.58-1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.52\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.56-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.65-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.45-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right.$, aliphatic chain); 5.04 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.52-$ $7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H) ; 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 25.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 29.63\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 30.22\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 30.61$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 33.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 35.68\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 61.01\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; 70.98 ( CH $_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 75.16 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 77.91(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 86.79$ (q, $\left.C-\mathrm{I}\right) ; 111.31$ (q, C-Br); 112.69 (CH-Ar); 125.89 (CH-Ar), 126.97 (CH-Bn); 127.28 (CH-Bn); 127.50 (CH-Bn); 127.78 (CH-Bn); 127.97 (CH-Bn); 128.05 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.34 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.45 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.49 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.19 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 133.44 (q, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar);} 136.68$ (q, $C$-Bn); 137.08 (q, $C$-Bn); 137.49 (q, $C$-Bn); $139.20(C H-A r) ; 147.57\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 149.25(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OBn})$; 150.16 (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 155.37 (q, $C$ - ArOBn ).
anal. C $60.40, \mathrm{H} 5.33 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{BrIO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 60.37, \mathrm{H} 5.31 \%$

2-(benzyloxy)-1-(5-(benzyloxy)-7-(4-(benzyloxy)-3-iodophenyl)heptyl)-5-iodo-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (91)


Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ Exact Mass: 882.13 g mol
Molecular Weight: $882.60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Di-iodinated product was prepared treating diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 5 a}$ (1 equiv., $1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) with NIS ( 1.2 equiv., $1.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.38 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The product was obtained in $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ yield ( $1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.256 \mathrm{~g}$ ) after 18 h .

Diarylheptanoid $\mathbf{8 5}$ ( 1 equiv., $1.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated with NIS ( 5 equiv., $7.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.59 \mathrm{~g}$ ) to give $\mathbf{9 1}$ in $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ yield $(0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.87 \mathrm{~g})$. In this reaction NIS was added portionwise in 36 h , starting from 2.2 equivalents.

For both reactions were followed the standard conditions reported in 7.3.4 for halogenation with NIS. The product was obtained pure as a yellow oil after a silica gel pad purification to remove the excess of succinimide.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.84-1.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.48\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.55-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 2.67-2.59 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.95-3.33 (m, 1H, OCH-Bn); $3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right.$, aliphatic chain); $5.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.51-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, 15 \mathrm{Bn}-$ $H, 1 \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 25.08\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 29.56\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 30.25\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 30.68$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 33.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 35.70\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 60.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.97\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 70.79\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$; $71.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-Bn); $77.94(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 85.13(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ; 86.81(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{I}) ; 112.72(C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.00$ (CH-Ar), 127.52 (q, C-Ar); 127.61 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.77 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.81 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.98 (CH-Bn); 128.06 (CH-Bn); 128.37 (CH-Bn); 128.39 (CH-Bn); 128.47 (CH-Bn); 128.51 (CH-Ar); 129.21 (CH-Ar); 133.05 (q, $C$ - Ar ); 136.71 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 137.12 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 137.52 (q, $C$ - Bn ); $139.23(C H-A r) ; 146.72$ (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 151.34 (q, $C-\mathrm{OBn}$ ); 151.86 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 155.40 (q, $C-\mathrm{ArOBn}$ ).
anal. C 57.17, H $5.03 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, C $57.15, \mathrm{H} 5.02 \%$

## 2-(2-(benzyloxy)-5-(3-(benzyloxy)-7-(2-(benzyloxy)-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)heptyl)phenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (92)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{BO}_{7}$ Exact Mass: 756.42 g mol Molecular Weight: $756.77 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

A flame-dried flask was charged with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2} \mathrm{dppf}$ DCM ( 0,10 equiv., $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01 \mathrm{~g}$ ). Vaccum/Argon cycle was again repeated in the flask and a solution of starting iodide $\mathbf{8 5 a}$ ( 1 equiv., $0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.09 \mathrm{~g}$ )) in toluene ( 1 mL ) was added. Pinacolborane ( 1.7 equiv., $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.13 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added under argon. The flask was sealed and heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After cooling to rt , the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The crude was purified by coloumn chromatography $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(7 / 3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ to eliminate the excess of pinacoloborane A second purification was made $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}(9 / 1)$ which afford the right product as a deliquescent white solid.
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.87-1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$-pinacol); $2.56(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ) ; 2.71-2.52 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 3.48-3.36 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 4.49 (s, 2H, CH2-Bn, aliphatic chain); 5.06 (s, 2H, CH2-Bn); 5.11 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - Bn ); 6.64 (d, 1 H , $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 6.84 (d, 1H, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 6.87 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 7.60-7.29 (m, 16H, 15Bn- $H$, $1 \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 24.98\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, pinacol); $25.22\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 29.74\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 30.79$ $\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 31.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 33.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 35.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 56.05\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.90\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $70.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 70.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 75.16\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 78.35(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 83.45\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{x} 2\right.$ pinacol); 107.43 (CH-Ar); 112.33 (CH-Ar), 123.84 (CH-Ar); 126.76 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.21 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.36 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.77 (CH-Bn); 127.82 (CH-Bn); 128.02 (CH-Bn); 128.06 (CH-Bn); 128.26 (CH-Bn); 128.36 (CH-Bn); 128.86 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 132.25 (CH-Ar); 134.36 (q, CB-pinacol); 136.48 ( $C \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 137.80 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 137.97 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 138.96 (q, $C-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 142.38\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 150.69(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OBn}) ; 151.79\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 161.55(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{ArOBn})$.
${ }^{11}$ B NMR ( $\left.128 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 31.34$ anal. C 76.20, $\mathrm{H} 7.60 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{BO}_{7}, \mathrm{C} 76.18, \mathrm{H} 7.59 \%$

## 2,3-dimethoxy-6-propylphenol (93)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 196.11 g mol
Molecular Weight: $196.24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
A mixture of starting phenol 48 (1equiv., $5.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g}$,), ammonium formate ( 5 equiv., $25.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.60$ g), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%(0,2 e q u i v, 1.023 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(77 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 30 min . It was let to cool down to r.t.. The crude mixture was filtered over celite and evaporated to dryness to give a slightly pink solid. The residue was solubilize in water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were collected togheter, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under reduced pressure. Desired product was obtained as a transparent oil.

Time $=30 \mathrm{~min}$
Yield $=(5.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.99 \mathrm{~g}) 98 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1.66-1.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.62-$ $2.48\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.84(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : $13.97\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 23.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 31.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 55.79$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 60.88\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 103.21(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 121.77(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 124.09(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 135.32\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 147.29$ (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); $150.34\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
anal. C 67.33, H $8.23 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 67.32, \mathrm{H} 8.22$ \%

## 4-bromo-2,3-dimethoxy-6-propylphenol (94)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 274.02 g mol
Molecular Weight: $275.14 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The product was obtained performing the bromination as reported in the general procedure with NBS (see 7.3.3). Phenol 93 ( 1 equiv., $2.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was employed as strating material. The crude of reaction was purified on a silica gel pad to eliminate the succinimmide.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(2.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.670 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1.72-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.55$ (t, 2H, J=7.5Hz, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); 3.87 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); $3.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.76(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 13.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 22.73\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 31.44\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 60.65$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.08\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 106.26(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 125.96(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 127.57(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 140.33\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 146.81$ (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); $147.44\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
anal. C 48.05, $\mathrm{H} 5.53 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 48.02$, H $5.50 \%$

## 2-(benzyloxy)-5-bromo-3,4-dimethoxy-1-propylbenzene (95)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 364.07 g mol
Molecular Weight: $365.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Phenol 94 (1 equiv., $1.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was benzylated using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ base, as reported in the general procedure of paragraph 7.3.1. The crude of reaction was purified on a silica gel pad to eliminate the unreacted benzyl bromide $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.9, \mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$

Time $=5 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(1.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.650 \mathrm{~g}) 98 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1.68-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.51$ (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H); 7.49-7.31 (m, 5H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 13.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 22.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 31.48\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 60.55$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.10\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 75.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 105.98(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}) ; 123.76(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 125.43(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 127.32(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.67$ (CH-Bn), $128.85(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 141.30\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 145.83(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OH}) ; 149.34\left(\mathrm{q}, C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
anal. C $59.21, \mathrm{H} 5.80 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrO}_{3}, \mathrm{C} 59.19$, H $5.79 \%$

## 2-(4-(benzyloxy)-2,3-dimethoxy-5-propylphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane (96)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 412.24 g mol Molecular Weight: $412.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Boronic ester 96 was prepared following two procedure:
Procedure A: In a dried tube a solution of bromine 95 ( 1 equiv., $0,27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dried dioxane $(1.20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared. To this mixture were added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.05$ equiv., $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.003 \mathrm{~g})$, $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Phos}$ ( 0.2 equiv., $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.022 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and dry triethyilamine ( 4 equiv., 0.15 mL ). The resulting mixture solution was degassed with argon and pinacolborane ( 3 equiv., $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated solution of ammonium chloride and extracted with diethylether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers was treated with charcoal, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentred under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel (Cy/EtOAc 5:1) to afford the desired product in $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ yield $(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.061 \mathrm{~g})$.

Procedure B: A solution of bromine 95 ( 1 equiv., $0,22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.08 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in dried toluene ( 1.2 mL ) was prepared. To this mixture were added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})_{2}(0.05$ equiv., $0,01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.008 \mathrm{~g})$, and dry triethyilamine ( 8 equiv., $1.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting mixture solution was degassed with argon and pinacolborane ( 1.2 equiv., $0,27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction is heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated solution of ammonium chloride and extracted with diethylether ( $3 x 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers was treated with charcoal, dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentred under vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel ( $\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 5 / 1$ ) in $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ yield ( $0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.047 \mathrm{~g}$ ).
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.3(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 1.65-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.52$ (t, 2H, J=7.5Hz, CH2CH2CH3); $3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H); 7.49-7.31 (m, 5H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 14.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 24.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 24.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$-pinacole); 31.90 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 60.74\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.72\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 75.17\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 83.41\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ pinacole); $127.91(\mathrm{CH}-$
$\mathrm{Bn}), 128.42$ (CH-Bn); 131.56 (CH-Ar), 132.25 (q, C-Bn); 146.00 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 153.51 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ); 157.77 (q, $C-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{11} \mathbf{B}$ NMR $\left(128 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 31.06$
anal. C 69.90, H $8.05 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BrO}_{5}, \mathrm{C} 69.91$, H $8.07 \%$

## 1-allyl-2-(benzyloxy)-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (99)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 284.14 g mol Molecular Weight: $284.35 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Phenol 48 ( 1 equiv., $5.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was benzylated following the general procedure described in 7.3.1. The pure product was obtained as a yellow oil.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(5.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.45 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.6(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3,30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3,86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 3,88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;$ $4,99\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5,03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5,89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6,57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ); 6,83 (d, 1H, J=8.5Hz, Ar-H); 7,45-7,31 (m, 5H, Bn-H)
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.65\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 56.07\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.15\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 74.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 103.57$ ( CH -Ar); 115.46 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl); 120.13 (q, $C$-allyl); 124.67 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 135.78$ ( CH -allyl); 142.45 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 150.91 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 151.98 (q, Ar- COBn ).

EIMS $m / z 284[M]^{+}(75), 243(10), 193(50), 179(20), 91(100)$.

## 6-allyl-2,3-dimethoxyphenyl acetate (99a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Exact Mass: 236.10 g mol
Molecular Weight: $236.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Phenol 48 ( 1 equiv., $2.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in 2 mL of acetic anhydride and 2 mL of pyridine. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solution was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Organic layer was dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentered under reduced pressure. Pure compound was obtained as a yellow oil.

Time $=2 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(2.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.53 \mathrm{~g}) 82 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.55(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, acetyl); $3,21\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3,81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $3,84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5,85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6,75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $H$ ) $; 6,86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 34.21\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 56.10\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.21\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 107.67(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 115.86$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-allyl); 123.67 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 128.75$ (q, C-allyl); 136.57 ( CH -allyl); 141.43 (q, Ar-COAc); 141.32 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 150.98 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); $151.98(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.

EIMS $m / z 236[\mathrm{M}]^{+}(25), 194(100), 43(50)$.

## 4-(3-methoxy-3-oxopropyl)phenyl 4-nitrobenzoate (100)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 315.11 g mol
Molecular Weight: $315.32 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Ester 64 ( 1 equiv., $2.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was protected with para-nitrobenzylbromide following the general procedure described in 7.3.1. The crude of reaction was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=$ 8:2) and desired product was collected as very viscous oil.

Time $=1 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(2.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.90 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.45(\mathrm{Cy} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=8: 2)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.90\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; $3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ; 5.15\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$; 8.43 (d, 2H, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.12\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.92\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 51.62\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 68.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{Bn}) ; 114.93(C H-A r) ; 123.82(C H-A r) ; 127.65(C H-A r) ; 129.45(C H-A r) ; 133.63$ (q, $C$ - Ar aliphatic chain); 144.64 (q, $C$ - $\mathrm{ArNO}_{2}$ ); 147.62 (q, $C-\mathrm{ArNO}_{2}$ ); 156.73 (q, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{COAr}\right) ; 173.32$ (q, C=O).

## Methyl 3-(1-acetoxy-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-yl)propanoate (104)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Exact Mass: 238.08 g mol
Molecular Weight: $238.24 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Phenol 64 (1equiv., $1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in 8.8 mL of AcOH . To this solution was added dropwise at room temperature a solution of (Diacetoxyiodo)benzene (PIDA) ( 1.1 equiv., $1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.39 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in AcOH 5 mL . A change of colour solution was observed from transparent to yellow. The reaction was stopped after 1 h concentred the AcOH under reduced pressure. The residue was then quenched slowely with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under vacuum. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography (EP/EtOAc 9/1) to afford desired product as a transparent oil.

Time $=1 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.03 \mathrm{~g}) 11 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) ; 2.18\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.30(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Ar}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 21.10\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right) ; 28.05$ (dienone- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 33.77 (dienone- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $51.87\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 75.88$ (q, $C$-dienone); 129.34 ( CH -dienone); 147.45 ( CH -dienone); 123.82 ( CH - Ar ); 127.65 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 129.45 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 133.63 (q, $C$-Ar aliphatic chain); 144.64 (q, $C-\mathrm{ArNO}_{2}$ ); 169.19 (q, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ acetyl); $172.55\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OOCH}_{3}\right) ; 184.87$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ dienone).
anal. C 60.52, H 5.93 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, C 60.50, H 5.92 \%

## 1-(3-(benzyloxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)-4-(methoxymethoxy)benzene (106)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
Exact Mass: 326.19 g mol
Molecular Weight: $326.43 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Homoallylic alcohol 72 ( 1 equiv., $0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.230 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was protected with benzyl bromide ( 1.5 equiv.) using the general protocol of benzylation with NaH as base (see 7.3.1). Crude product showed that reaction was quantitative and because it appeared quasi-pure, crude was just characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and GC-MS and immediately use for successive reaction.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.65(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 1.86-1.56 (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOBn}\right) ; 2.50-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 2.65-2.60 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ); 2.83-2.78 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ); 3.58-3.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{MOM}$ ); $3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta v=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{MOM}\right) ; 5.90-5.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.

EIMS $m / z 326[M]^{+}(5), 209(25), 91(100), 45(50)$.

## 4-(3-(benzyloxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)phenol (107)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Exact Mass: 282.16 g mol
Molecular Weight: $282.38 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
106 (1 equiv., $6.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M})(5 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was then diluited with water ( 2 mL ), extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under vacuum pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{EP}_{\mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 7 / 3\right)$ to afford the pure product in a quantitative way.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~h}$
Yield $=(6.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.17 \mathrm{~g}) 99 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0-4\left(E P / E t_{2}=7: 3\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 1.96-1.76 (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOBn}\right) ; 2.50-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 2.63-2.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 2.79-2.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right) ; 3.58-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 4.55$ $\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.12\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.56(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$; 5.90-5.80 (m, 1H, CH=CH2); $6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 7.43-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 30.69\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 35.81\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 38.12\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 70.88$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 77.73(\mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 115.15$ (CH-Ar); $117.18\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 127.59(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 127.90(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.34$ (CH-Bn); 129.37 (CH-Ar); 134.13 (q, $C-A r)$; 134.57 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); 138.46 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 153.61 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 282[M]^{+}(5)$, 197(25), 133(30), 91(100).
anal. C 80.81, H $7.86 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C} 80.82, \mathrm{H} 7.85 \%$

## 1-(3-(benzyloxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-yl acetate (108)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
Exact Mass: 340.17 g mol
Molecular Weight: $340.41 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Phenol 107 (1equiv., $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.06 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in 1.6 mL of AcOH . To this solution was added dropwise at room temperature a solution of (Diacetoxyiodo)benzene (PIDA) ( 1.1 equiv., $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.07 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in AcOH 2 mL . A change of colour solution was observed from transparent to yellow. The reaction was stopped after 30 min concentred the AcOH under reduced pressure. The residue was then quenched slowely with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried on $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under vacuum. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography $\left({\left.\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 / 5\right) \text { to afford desired product as a transparent oil. }}_{\text {oil }}\right.$

Time $=30 \mathrm{~min}$
Yield $=(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04 \mathrm{~g}) 65 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4\left(\mathrm{EP}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=5 / 5\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.60-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dienoneCH2 $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 1.98-1,78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dienoneCH $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; 2.13-1,97 (m, 1H, CHCH $2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); $2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ; 2.30-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.58-$ $3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 4.49\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system }}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta v=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.89-5.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ; 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}-H) ;$ 7.38-7.31 (m, 5H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 21.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, acetyl); $27.20\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 34.76\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 37.99$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 71.03\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 77.70(\mathrm{CHOBn}) ; 117.61\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 127.73(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 128.34$ (dienone-CH ); 128.42 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); $129.10(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}) ; 134.14\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 138.41$ (q, $C$ - Bn ); 148.20 (dienone$C H$ ); 169.42 (q, C=O, acetyl); 185.23 (q, $C=O$, dienone).

EIMS $m / z 340[M]^{+}(5), 297(30), 206(10), 91(100), 43(50)$.
anal. C 74.10, H 7.12 \%, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 74.09, \mathrm{H} 7.11 \%$

## 5'-allyl-2',3'-dimethoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2,4'-diol (116)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ Exact Mass: 286.12 g mol Molecular Weight: $286.32 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

In a dried tube were added 57 ( 1 equiv., $0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10 \mathrm{~g}$ ), commercial 2-hydroxyphenyl boronic acid (1.5equiv., $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.056 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv., $0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{KF}(5$ equiv., 1.35 mmol , $0.078 \mathrm{~g})$ and degassed solvent $\operatorname{THF}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The tube was purged under argon and sealed. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 15 h . The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and then quenched with an aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ which contemporary deprotected coupled compound from MOM. The crude was purified by column chromatography EP/EtOAc 9:1 to afford the desired product as a transparent oil.

Time $=15 \mathrm{~h}$

Yield $=(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.039 \mathrm{~g}) 50 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.5(\mathrm{EP} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 4.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $5.09\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{cis}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {trans }}=17,0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl); $5.93(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) ; 6.18-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-allyl); 6.77 (bs, 1H, OH); 6.87 (s, 1H, Ar-H); 7.03 (m, 2H, Ar-H); 7.28 (m, 2H, Ar-H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 33.88\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 61.42\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 61.58\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; 116.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-allyl $) ; 117.78$ (CH-Ar), 121.05 (CH-Ar), 123.13 (q, C-allyl); 123.49 (q, C Ar-Ar); 125.73 (q, C Ar-Ar); 127.11 (CH-Ar); 129.06 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 130.99 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar);} 136.14$ (CH-allyl); 136.15 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ), 139.38 (q, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ); 147.15 (q, COH), 153.29 (q, $C-\mathrm{OH}$ ).

EIMS $m / z 286[M]^{+}(100), 239(25), 211(20), 165(10)$.
anal. C $71.32, \mathrm{H} 6.35 \%$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{C} 71.31, \mathrm{H} 6.34 \%$

## (2-(benzyloxy)-5-(3-(benzyloxy)hex-5-en-1-yl)phenyl)boronic acid (117a)



Chemical Formula: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BO}_{4}$
Exact Mass: $416.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Molecular Weight: $416.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Substrate 71c (1equiv., $0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0,05 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of anhydrous THF under inert atmosphere. The mixture was stirred and allowed to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ ( 1.5 equiv., $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.075 \mathrm{~mL}$, solution 2 M in THF) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during which a change of colour solution from transparent to yellow was observed. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ (6equiv., 0.60 mmol , 0.141 mL ) was slowly added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at same temperature after that the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 3 d . The reaction was quenched with 5 mL of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ and the resultant solution was extracted three times with 5 mL of diethyl ether. The collected organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentred under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 100 / 1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V}$ ) to obtain the desired product as white butter.

Time $=3 \mathrm{~d}$
Yield $=(0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010 \mathrm{~g}) 24 \%$
$\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.7$ (pure DCM)
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.79-1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 2.41-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; 2.62-2.45 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ); 2.74-2.63 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ ); 3.55-3.50 (m, 1H, CHOBn); $4.46\left(\mathrm{AB}_{\text {system, }}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 4.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right.$, aliphatic chain); $5.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 5.95-5.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 6.67\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {meta }}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right) ; 6.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {meta }}\right.$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H) ; 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {ortho }}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-H\right) \cdot 7 \cdot 42-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-H)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 31.51\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 36.23\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ; 38.26\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 71.05$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 71.96\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Bn}\right) ; 78.64(\mathrm{CHOH}) ; 113.43\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 117.54(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}) ; 124.01\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right)$; 127.10 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.54 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 127.61 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.15 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.22 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 128.48 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Bn}$ ); 129.00 (CH-Ar); 133.61 ( $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); $134.58\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ; 136.60$ (q, $C-\mathrm{Ar}$ ); 136.78 (q, $C$ - Bn ); 138.54 (CH$\mathrm{Ar}) ; 155.53$ (q, $C-\mathrm{ArOBn}$ ).
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## Résumé

Le myricanol est un [7,0]-metacyclophane naturel qui appartient à la famille des diarylheptanoïdes et qui possède des propriétés biologiques intéressantes. Ainsi le myricanol énantioenrichi possède un fort potentiel de réduction du niveau de protéines Tau dans les cellules (effet anti Alzheimer), et sous forme racémique, des propriétés anticancéreuses (cancer du poumon). Actuellement, seules deux synthèses racémiques du (+/-)-myricanol sont rapportées dans la littérature. L'objectif de ce travail de thèse est la préparation racémique puis stéréosélective du myricanol. Deux nouvelles approches rétrosynthétiques avec des étapes clés similaires ont été considérées pour la construction du [7,0]-metacyclophane. D'une part, le diarylheptanoïde linéaire a été préparé par le biais d'une réaction-clé de métathèse croisée suivie d'une réaction de Suzuki-Miyaura domino intramoléculaire pour conduire au macrocycle avec un rendement de $2.55 \%$ en 11 étapes. Dans l'objectif d'une synthèse atropostéréosélective, un des partenaires de couplage énantiopur porteur d'un carbinol stéréogène a été synthétisé (transfert chiralité centrale vers axiale). D'autre part le noyau biarylique du myricanol a été envisagé par une réaction de couplage intermoléculaire (couplage de Suzuki-Miyaura ou couplage oxydant) qui sera suivie d'une réaction de métathèse cyclisante, encore jamais testée pour la préparation de cette molécule. Notons que de nouveaux composés diarylheptanoides linéaires ont également été préparés et pour lesquels une activité intéressante comme inhibiteur ROS et NO pour les cellules redox U937 et BV-2 a été mise en évidence.

Mots clés: myricanol, diarylheptanoïdes, métathèse croisée, couplage de Suzuki-Miyaura domino.


#### Abstract

The myricanol, a natural [7.0]-meta-cyclophane which belongs to the family of diarylheptanoids, possess interesting biological activities. Indeed the enantioenriched myricanol has a great potential to reduce the level of tau protein in cells (anti Alzheimer effect) and in its racemic form it was described as a potentiel candidate for the prevention and treatment of lung cancer. Actually only two synthesis of racemic ( $+/-$ ) - myricanol have been reported in the literature. The goal of our research is the racemic and stereoselective preparation of myricanol. Two retrosynthetic approaches with similar key reactions have been investigated to build up the [7.0]-metacyclophane. On one side, the linear diarylheptanoid was prepared using an efficient cross-metathesis reaction followed by an intramolecular Suzuki-Miyaura domino reaction giving the desired cyclophane with $2.55 \%$ overall yield in 11 steps. On the other side, the biaryl core of myricanol was envisaged by an intermolecular coupling reaction (Suzuki-Miyaura coupling, oxidative coupling) between already highly functionalized fragments, followed by a ring closure metathesis, never tested for this type of compound. Noteworthy new linear diarylheptanoids have been achieved which revealed good ROS and NO inhibitor in U937 and BV-2 cell lines.


Keywords: myricanol, diarylheptanoides, cross metathesis, Suzuki-Miyaura domino coupling.
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